Coupled Transformation of Schemas, Data, and Queries

Joost Visser

Joint work with Pablo Berdaguer Alcino Cunha José Nuno Oliveira Hugo Pacheco

> Universidade do Minho, Portugal CIC 2006

What?

A *two-level data transformation* consists of:

a type-level transformation of a *data format*

coupled with

value-level transformations of *data instances*

and

program transformations of *data operations*

What?

A *two-level data transformation* consists of:

a type-level transformation of a *data format*

coupled with

value-level transformations of *data instances*

and

program transformations of *data operations*

Examples:

XML schema evolution + document, query migration SQL schema evolution + data, query migration Data mappings (e.g. hierarchical-relational)

Transform format A into format B T : Type → Type



Transform format A into format B T : Type → Type



Format transformation T induces / is witnessed by instance conversions: to :: $A \rightarrow B$ from :: $B \rightarrow A$

Transform format A into format B T : Type → Type



Format transformation T induces / is witnessed by instance conversions: to :: $A \rightarrow B$ from :: $B \rightarrow A$

<u>Challenge</u>: capture **type-changing** transformations in a **type-safe** rewrite system (types and rewrite steps are **unknown statically!)**.





query $\mathbf{q} : A \rightarrow Y$ producer $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow A$



query $\mathbf{q} : A \rightarrow Y$ producer $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow A$

Challenge:

From the composition *q.from* or *to.p* compute optimized queries and producers not involving type **A** and original **p** or **q**.



query $\mathbf{q} : A \rightarrow Y$ producer $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow A$

Challenge:

From the composition *q.from* or *to.p* compute optimized queries and producers not involving type **A** and original **p** or **q**.

Apply **program calculus** laws for fusion, deforestation, specialization, generalization.















Hierarchical-relational data mapping (automatic)



GADTs

```
Traditional algebraic data type (ADT):
```

```
data F = Id | Comp F F | ...
```

```
In syntax of generalized ADT:
```

```
data F where
Id :: F
Comp :: F \rightarrow F \rightarrow F
```

Exploiting generalization:

```
data F f where
Id :: F (a \rightarrow a)
Comp :: F (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow F (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow F (a \rightarrow c)
```

GADTs

Proof-carrying code:

```
data Equal a b where
Eq :: Equal a a
```

Type-safe value-level type representations:

```
data Type a where
```

```
Int :: Type Int
```

```
List :: Type a -> Type [a]
```

```
.><. :: Type a -> Type b -> Type (a,b)
```

.--\. :: Type a -> Type b -> Type (Map a b)

Type-safe **dynamics**:

```
data Dynamic where
Dyn :: Type a -> a -> Dynamic
```

Masquerade changes as views:

```
data Rep a b = Rep { to :: a \rightarrow b, from :: b \rightarrow a }
```

```
data View a where
    View :: Rep a b → Type b → View (Type a)
```

type RULE = $\forall a$. Type a \rightarrow Maybe (View (Type a))



```
Strategic combinators:
```

```
nop :: RULE(▷) :: RULE → RULE → RULE(⊕) :: RULE → RULE → RULEeverywhere :: RULE → RULEetc.Basic type-changing rewrite steps:addField :: Type b → b → RULEaddField b y a = return (View (Rep (λx.(x,y)) fst) (a,b))
```

etc.

Compose basic rules and combinators to obtain a full rewrite system for two-level data transformation.

Hierarchical-relational mapping:

```
toDB :: RULE
toDB = ...
```

Evolution:

```
addTracks :: RULE
addTracks = ...
```

Compose basic rules and combinators to obtain a full rewrite system for two-level data transformation.

```
Hierarchical-relational mapping:
```

```
toDB :: RULE
toDB = ...
```

```
Evolution:
```

```
addTracks :: RULE
addTracks = ...
```

```
Helpers for staged application:
```

```
showType :: View (Type a) \rightarrow String
unView :: View (Type a) \rightarrow Type b \rightarrow Maybe (a\rightarrowb, b\rightarrowa)
```











Compute concrete data migration from abstract migration $to_2 \cdot to \cdot from_1$



query $\mathbf{q} : A \rightarrow Y$ producer $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow A$

Challenge:

From the composition *q.from* or *to.p* compute optimized queries and producers not involving type **A** and original **p** or **q**.

Apply **program calculus** laws for fusion, deforestation, specialization, generalization.

Not **functions**:

data Rep a b = Rep { to :: $a \rightarrow b$, from :: $b \rightarrow a$ }

Not **functions**, but function **representations**:

data Rep a b = Rep { to :: $F(a \rightarrow b)$, from :: $F(b \rightarrow a)$ }



Type-directed, type-safe rewriting of point-free functions:

```
type Rule = \forall a. Type a \rightarrow F a \rightarrow M (F a)
```

Strategic combinators:

```
nop :: Rule(\geq) :: Rule \rightarrow Rule \rightarrow Rule(\oplus) :: Rule \rightarrow Rule \rightarrow Ruleeverywhere :: Rule \rightarrow Rule
```

Basic rewrite steps, e.g. associativity of composition:

```
f . (g . h) = (f . g) . h
```

Compose basic rules and combinators to obtain a full rewrite system for simplification / optimization of point-free functions.

```
optimize :: Rule
optimize = many (prods ⊕ maps ⊕ sums)
where
prods :: Rule
prods = ... (everywhere comp_assocr) ...
```

For oxomple

For example:

> rewrite optimize (Comp Albums getArtists from)
ListMap (Comp .. Fst (Comp .. Snd Snd))













Compute concrete data migration from abstract migration $to_2 \cdot to \cdot from_1$



Compute concrete data migration from abstract migration to₂. to . from₁

More (1/2)

Front-ends (schemas+data) for: XML Schema, SQL, Haskell itself (done) VDM (underway)

Type-directed optimization of **structure-shy programs**, such as XML queries and transformations, or functional strategic programs (SYB,Strafunski).

Transformation of types with **invariants**. Carrying constructive proofs through rewrite steps.

Front-ends (programs) for: XPath, SYB, SQL



Generalize to **lenses**, a.k.a. bi-directional programming, applicable to the classical view-update problem, data synchronization.

Model transformation -- think UML, etc. Object-relational data mappings. Refinements with effects (time, mutable state).

Schema/grammar **matching**. Data synchronization. Interoperability.

Reverse direction: abstraction rather than refinement.



Type-safe Two-level Data Transformation. FM 2006. Alcino Cunha, José Nuno Oliveira, Joost Visser.

Strongly Typed Rewriting For Coupled Software Transformation. RULE 2006. Alcino Cunha, Joost Visser.

Coupled Schema Transformation and Data Conversion For XML and SQL. PADL 2007 Pablo Berdaguer, Alcino Cunha, Hugo Pacheco, Joost Visser.

http://wiki.di.uminho.pt/wiki/bin/view/PURe/2LT



