

JML: beyond the basics

José Carlos Bacelar Almeida

Departamento de Informática
Universidade do Minho

MI/MEI 2008/2009

1

Talk Outline

- JML: beyond the basics
 - visibility
 - most used clauses...
- Problem: Aliasing
 - aliasing of arguments
 - reference escaping
- Specification Inheritance
 - inheritance rules
 - common mistakes
- Abstraction in Specifications
 - data groups
 - model fields and representation

...these slides were prepared by adopting/adapting "teaching material" from the JML and ESC/Java2 sites.

2

Visibility for Specifications

- JML adopts the same visibility rules from Java (`private`, `protected`, `public`)

```
public class Bag{
  private int n;
  ...
  //@ requires n > 0;
  public int extractMin(){ ... }
```

```
public class XXX {
  public int x; private int y; private /*@ spec_public @*/ int z;
  ...
  //@ requires x>z;
  public int fff(){ ... }

  //@ requires y>0;
  private int ggg(){ ... }
```

3

Multiple Specification Cases

- It is often easier to split complex specifications in multiple cases

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int age;

/*@   requires 0 <= a && a <= 150;
   @   assignable age;
   @   ensures age == a;
   @ also
   @   requires a < 0;
   @   assignable \nothing;
   @   ensures age == \old(age);
   @*/
public void setAge(int a)
{ if (0 <= a && a <= 150) { age = a; } }
```

4

Assertion Semantics

- A JML assertion is taken to be valid if and only if:
 - does not cause an exception to be raised
 - returns value “true”
- Exceptions **should be avoided** by the specifier and tools are encouraged to warn users when they detect them.
- To avoid exceptions during evaluation:
 - practice good Java coding habits
 - write specifications that prevent such exception:
 - use of short-circuit Java operators (“&&” and “||”)
 - multiple clauses

```
/*@ requires field != null;  
@ requires field.data > 0;  
@ ensures ...;  
@*/  
public void setField(MyObject field)  
{ ... }
```

5

Nested Specification Groups

- ...more about multiple specification cases...
- first “requires” clause distributes with each case

```
/*@ requires 0 < n;  
@ {  
@ requires n % 2 != 0;  
@ ensures \result == (3*n+1)/2;  
@ also  
@ requires n % 2 == 0;  
@ ensures \result == n/2;  
@ }  
@*/  
public static /*@ pure @*/ int h(int n) {  
...  
}
```

6

Ghost variables

- Ghost fields behave like normal Java fields, but only affects specifications (are ignored by the Java compiler...)

```
public class XXX {
    //@ public ghost boolean started = false;
    ...
    //@ require !started
    public void start() {
        //@ set started = true;
        ...
    }
    //@ require started
    public void end() {
        //@ set started = false;
        ...
    }
}
```

7

History Constraints

- Invariants specify state properties:

```
public class MonotoneCounter {
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ int val;
    //@ invariant val>=0;
    ...
    //@ ensures val==\old(val)+1;
    public void tickCounter() {
        val ++;
    }
}
```

- Sometimes, it is convenient to specify the admissible state transformations:

```
public class MonotoneCounter {
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ int val;
    //@ initially val==0;
    //@ constraint val>\old(val);
    ...
    public void tickCounter() {
        val ++;
    }
}
```

8

Frame conditions

- Frame conditions (**assignable** clause) restrict possible side-effects of the methods (i.e. “where” the method is allowed to make changes)

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;
    assignable balance;    //balance is an instance variable...
    ensures balance == \old(balance)-amount;
  */
public void debit(int amount) {
    balance = balance - amount;
}
```

- They are a crucial ingredient when reasoning about programs...

```
...
/*@ assume name!=null;
debit(50);
// ??? name!=null ???
...
```

- Default assignable clause: **assignable \everything**.
- Pure methods are implicitly **assignable \nothing**.
- Synonyms: **modifies**, **modifiable**; **ensures \only_assigned**(gender)

9

Loop Invariants

- When reasoning about cycles, we need to annotate them with **invariants** and **variants**.
- JML clauses:

```
int f = 1 ;
int i = 1 ;
/*@ loop_invariant i <= n &&
    f == (\product int j ; 1 <= j && j <= i ; j ) ;
    decreases n-i;
  */
while ( i < n ) {
    i = i + 1 ;
    f = f * i ;
}
```

- JML tools often translate these to “**appropriate**” assert/assume clauses
- ...but appropriateness in this context does not always mean “**sound**”...

10

Exceptional Behaviour

- The “ensures” clause characterises only the “normal” control flow of methods.
- To specify properties under “exceptional” results, the `signals` clause can be used:

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;
    signals (BankAccountException e) balance==\old(balance);
    ensures balance == \old(balance)-amount;
  @*/
public void debit(int amount) {
    balance = balance - amount;
}
```

- meaning: if “`BankAccountException`” is thrown, balance remains unchanged.
- By default, exceptions (declared as “throwable”) are allowed (the default clause is “`signals (Exception e) true;`”)
- To disallow them, an explicit “`signals (Exception e) false;`” must be given.

11

Lightweight vs. Heavyweight Specifications

- In fact, JML distinguishes between two forms of specifications:
 - lightweight specifications: specify “normal behaviour” (possibly with “`signal`” clauses)
 - heavyweight specification: separate “normal” and “exceptional” behaviour specification.

```
/*@ normal_behavior
    requires amount <= balance;
    ensures ...
  also
    exceptional_behavior
    requires amount > balance
    signals (BankException e) ...
  @*/
public int debit(int amount) throws BankException
{ ... }
```

- “`normal_behavior`” has an implicit “`signals (Exception e) false;`”
- “`exceptional_behavior`” has an implicit “`ensures false;`”

12

- “signals_only E₁, ..., E_n” limits the set of allowed exceptions.
- “signals_only E₁, ..., E_n” is a synonymous for:

```
signals (Exception e) e instanceof E1
                || ...
                || e instanceof En;
```

Warning: exceptional specifications are easy to get wrong!

13

Aliasing

- Does the following method satisfy its contract?

```
public class Counter {
    private /*@ spec_public */ int val;
    /*@ invariant val>=0;
    ...
    /*@ ensures val==\old(val)+c.val;
    public void addCounter(/*@ non_null */ Counter c) {
        val += c.val;
    }
}
```

14

Aliasing

- Does the following method satisfy its contract?

```
public class Counter {
    private /*@ spec_public */ int val;
    /*@ invariant val>=0;
    ...
    /*@ ensures val==\old(val)+c.val;
    public void addCounter(/*@ non_null */ Counter c) {
        val += c.val;
    }
}
```

- ...in fact, ESC/Java warns about a post-condition violation...
- But this actually anticipates deeper concerns when **aliasing** comes into play:

“Modular verification is not possible in the presence of aliasing”

- ...and Java doesn't constrain “reference leaks” in methods...

```
public class MyClass {
    private /*@ spec_public */ int a[];
    /*@ invariant (\forall int i; 0<=i && i<N; a[i]>=0);
    ...
    /*@ ensures result==a;
    public int[] getArray() { return a; }
}
```

14

- Solution #1 (ESC/Java)

- explicitly handles the ghost “owner” field (declared in the Object class)

- Solution #2 (jmlc)

- Universes Type System (P. Müller) - statically enforces the “**owner as modifier**” discipline

- small overhead on the programmer (**rep**, **peer** and **readonly** type annotations)

- **rep** - owner is the receiver;

- **peer** - same owner as the receiver.

- but excludes some unproblematic situations...

15

Non-Functional Requirements

- JML supports some non-functional requirements
 - time and space constraints (`\duration`, `\space`, `\working_space` operators)
 - concurrency (`\when`, `\lockset`, ...)
 - ...
- Moreover, clever uses of ghost variables often allow for sound encodings of some of these requirements (specification patterns)
 - Method-call sequencing constraints
 - Non-interference
 - ...
- And, and course, a new extension can always be proposed...
 - Architectural constraints (embedded ACL in JML),
 - ...

16

Exercises:

<http://www.cs.ru.nl/~erikpoll/Teaching/JML/taxpayer.html>

17

Inheritance of Specifications

- Inheritance of specifications occur when:
 - a class extends another (sub-classing);
 - implementation of interfaces.
- All the behaviour specifications are inherited:
 - invariants, initially and history constraints;
 - methods pre and post-conditions (actually, all the specification cases)

```
class Parent {
private /*@ spec_public */ int age;
/*@ invariant age <= 150;
...
}
class Child extends Parent {
/*@ invariant age <= 18;
...
}
```

18

behavioural sub-typing

- Behavioural subtyping:
 - objects from subclass Child “behave like” objects from superclass Parent.
- Principle of substitutivity [Liskov]:
 - code will behave “as expected” if we provide a Child object where a Parent object was expected.
- Consider the following example:

```
class Parent {
/*@ requires i >= 0;
/*@ ensures \result >= i;
int m(int i){ ... }
}
class Child extends Parent {
/*@ also
/*@ requires i <= 10;
/*@ ensures \result <= i;
int m(int i){ ... }
}
```

19

behavioural sub-typing

- We might expect that method “m()” on the Child class “specialises” the pre-condition...
- ... but the resultant specification is:

```
class Child extends Parent {
/*@ requires i >= 0;
@ ensures \result >= i;
@ also
@ requires i <= 10;
@ ensures \result <= i;
@*/
int m(int i){ ... }
}
```

- Which specifies a “special case” (it does not override the inherited one):

```
class Child extends Parent {
/*@ requires i <= 0 || i >= 0;
@ ensures \old(i >= 0) ==> \result >= i;
@ ensures \old(i <= 0) ==> \result <= i;
@*/
int m(int i){ ... }
}
```

20

behavioural sub-typing

- When we are interested in characterising the exact behaviour of methods acting on objects with a specific dynamic type, we can do something like:

```
public class Object {
/*@ ensures (this == o) ==> \result;
@ ensures \typeof(this) == \type(Object)
@ ==> (\result == (this==o));
@*/
public boolean equals(Object o);
}
```

```
/*@ requires p instanceof Doctor
@ || p instanceof Nurse; @*/
public boolean isHead(final Staff p) {
if (p instanceof Doctor) {
Doctor doc = (Doctor) p;
return doc.getTitle().startsWith("Head");
} else {
Nurse nrs = (Nurse) p;
return nrs.isChief();
}
}
```

21

Datagroups

- Assignable clauses are crucial for reasoning about specifications, but they tend to:
 - expose implementation details:
 - become very long:

```
public class Timer{
  /*@ spec_public @*/ int time_hrs, time_mins, time_secs;
  /*@ spec_public @*/ int alarm_hrs, alarm_mins, alarm_secs;

  /*@ assignable time_hrs, time_mins, time_secs;
  public void tick() { ... }

  /*@ assignable alarm_hrs, alarm_mins, alarm_secs ;
  public void setAlarm(int hrs, int mins, int secs) { ... }
}
```

- Datagroups provide an abstraction mechanism for assignable clauses.

22

Datagroups

```
public class Timer{
  /*@ public model JMLDatagroup time, alarm;
  int time_hrs, time_mins, time_secs; /*@ in time;
  int alarm_hrs, alarm_mins, alarm_secs; /*@ in alarm;

  /*@ assignable time;
  public void tick() { ... }

  /*@ assignable alarm;
  public void setAlarm(int hrs, int mins, int secs) { ... }
}
```

- Datagroups can be nested

```
/*@ public model JMLDatagroup time, alarm;/*@ in objectState;
```

- There's a default datagroup `objectState` defined in "Object.java"
- It's good practice to declare that all instance fields are in `objectState`

23

Abstraction in Specifications

- Model fields:
 - used for specification purposes;
 - “represent” concrete fields.

```
public interface Gendered {
  //@ public model instance String gender;

  //@ ensures \result == gender.equals("female");
  public /*@ pure @*/ boolean isFemale();
}
```

obs: “instance” modifier overrides default Java’s “static” modifier for interface fields.

- Actual fields can be “abstracted by” the model field:

```
public class Animal implements Gendered {
  protected boolean gen; //@ in gender;
  /*@ protected represents
   @ gender <- (gen ? "female" : "male");
  @*/
  public /*@ pure @*/ boolean isFemale() {
    return gen;
  }
}
```

24

Abstract types for Specifications

- JML defines a rich set of data-types often used during specifications:
 - Object and Value Collections (Set, Bag, Collection, ...)
 - Maps, Relations, ...
- Access to these types requires:

```
//@ model import org.jmlspecs.models.*;
```
- In general, these are immutable “pure” Java objects (suitable for using in specifications).
- ...they shall be used with a “functional flavour”...

```
//@ model import org.jmlspecs.models.*;

...
/*@
 @ ...
 @ ensures \result
 @ ==> theCollection.equals(\old(theCollection.insert(o)));
 @*/
```

25

Using Separate Files for Specifications

- Sometimes, it is convenient (or necessary) to separate the JML annotations from the java files
 - in situations where we have no source files (e.g. specifying a library, or in an early specification phase);
 - in order to keep java files “clean” (avoiding cluttering up the code).
- It is recommended that
 - “.spec” or “.jml” for the first case;
 - “.refines-java” for the second (and include a “refines XXX.java” annotation in it).
- When we start by specifying the class, it is recommended to name it “XXX.java-refined” and include, in the implementation “XXX.java” the refine clause “refines XXX.java-refined”.