

Design by Contract and JML: concepts and tools

José Carlos Bacelar Almeida

Departamento de Informática
Universidade do Minho

MI/MEI 2008/2009

1

Talk Outline

- Design by Contract (DBC)
 - contracts in software
 - contract the design
- Java Modelling Language (JML)
 - basic usage
 - tool support
- References

these slides were prepared by adopting/adapting "teaching material" from the JML and ESC/Java2 sites.

2

Design by Contract

- Introduced by Bertrand Meyer [Mey97] for Eiffel...
- ... as a systematic approach to specifying and implementing object-oriented software components
- Interaction between these components is based on precisely defined specifications of their mutual obligations - the **contracts**
- Contracts allow for:
 - recording details of method responsibilities and assumptions
 - document intention (specification) of software components (object invariants; pre- and post-conditions of methods; etc.)
 - avoiding constantly checking arguments
 - assigning blame across interfaces

3

Contracts in Software (I)

- Software Requirements Specification...

O diagrama de *use cases* para a configuração dos parâmetros pode ser visualizado na Figura 11 e os requisitos pretendidos são os seguintes:

- * Possuir opção para ...
- * ...

- Several methodologies targeted to different abstraction levels... (often with an imprecise semantics).
- Purpose: refine them in order to reach clear and unambiguous specifications for each component (**contracts**)

Input: the DL domain parameters q, r and g associated with the keys s and w' .

Assumptions: private key s , DL domain parameters q, r and g , and public key w' are valid.

Output: the derived shared secret value, which is a nonzero field element $z \in GF(q)$

Operation. The shared secret value z shall be computed by the following or an equivalent sequence of steps:

1. Compute a field element $z = \exp(w', s)$.
2. Output z as the shared secret value.

4

Contracts in Software (II)

- Contracts are certainly needed to inform the programmer what are the requirements during the coding process...
- ... but are equally valuable for documenting purposes:

```
/** Calcula valor que, quando multiplicado por ele próprio, se aproxima
 * do argumento passado à função.
 * @param x : argumento
 * @return valor calculado
 */
public static double sqrt(double x)
{ ... }
```

- records the specification of the function;
- ...and details of the API usage.
- Utility depends heavily on the pertinence/quality of descriptions:
 - What does it mean “close to”???
 - Does it works with negative arguments?
 - ...

5

Contracts in Software (III)

- Ideally, we expect a description language that has:
 - Enough expressive power;
 - Precise meaning;

```
/*@ requires x >= 0.0;
 @ ensures Math.abs(\result*\result - x) < e;
 */
public static double sqrt(double x)
{ ... }
```

	Obligations	Rights
Client	Passes non-negative number	Gets square root approximation
Implementor	Computes and returns square root	Assumes argument is non-negative

6

Advantages of DBC

- Contracts are:
 - more abstract than code (e.g. `sqrt` might be implemented using linear search, Newton's method, ...)
 - not necessarily checkable (e.g. quantified over infinite types, or just textual strings...)
 - ...but in most cases it is possible to automatically generate verification code for the tests
 - can always be up-to-date with implementations during development
- Allow **blame assignment**. Who is to blame if:
 - Pre-condition doesn't hold?
 - Post-condition doesn't hold?
- Avoids inefficient defensive checks:

```
//@ requires a!=null && x!=null;  
//@ requires (* a is sorted *);  
public static int binarySearch(Thing[] a, Thing x)  
{ ... }
```

7

More Advantages...

- Modularity of Reasoning:

```
...  
source.close();  
dest.close();  
getFile().setLastModified(loc.modTime().getTime());  
...
```

...in order to understand this code we shall:

- read the methods contracts...
 - instead of looking at "all" the code...
- Evaluate system quality through rigorous testing (specification-driven code) or through formal verification of key subsystems
 - Refine design by refining contracts
 - (reverse DBC) Can be used to understand/document/improve/maintain an existing code base

8

Java Modelling Language (JML)

- A Behaviour Interface Specification Language for Java (Gary T. Leavens et al. [BCC+05])
- It permits to:
 - specify behaviour of Java classes
 - record design & implementation decisions
- ...by adding **assertions** to Java source code
- JML syntax is well integrated with Java:
 - JML assertions are added as comments in .java files, between `/*@ ... @*/`, or after `//@ ;`
 - Properties are specified as **Java boolean expressions**, extended with some operators (`\old`, `\forall`, `\result`, ...),
 - ...and some keywords (**requires**, **ensures**, **signals**, **assignable**, **pure**, **invariant**, **non_null**, ...).

9

Pre- and Post-Conditions

- Pre and postconditions for methods are established through the “requires” and “ensures” clauses:

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;  
@ ensures balance == \old(balance)-amount;  
@ ensures \result == balance;  
@*/  
public int debit(int amount) {  
    ...  
}
```

- where
 - `\old(balance)` refers to the value of balance before the execution of the method;
 - the multiple ensures clauses are equivalent to their conjunction;
 - `\result` refers to the outcome of the method (return value).

10

JML properties

- JML properties are boolean Java expressions...
- ...with the proviso that their evaluation is “side-effect free” (i.e. does not change the internal state).
- A method without side-effects is called **pure**. Programmers might signal methods as pure:

```
public /*@ pure */ int getBalance(){...}
Directory /*@ pure non_null */ getParent(){...}
```

- The **non_null** clause signals that the result of `getParent()` can't be null (can also be used in arguments and instance variables).
- JML property language is extended with binding operators:
`\forallall`, `\exists`, `\sum`, `\product`, `\max`, `\min`, ...
E.g. `(\forallall int i ; 0<=i && i<N ; a[i]==null)`

11

Expressions and their Meaning (non-exhaustive list)

JML Expression	Meaning
<code>requires p ;</code>	<code>p</code> is a precondition for the call
<code>ensures p ;</code>	<code>p</code> is a postcondition for the call
<code>signals (E e) p ;</code>	When exception type <code>E</code> is raised by the call, then <code>p</code> is a postcondition
<code>loop_invariant p ;</code>	<code>p</code> is a loop invariant
<code>invariant p ;</code>	<code>p</code> is a class invariant (see next section)
<code>\result == e</code>	<code>e</code> is the result returned by the call
<code>\old(v)</code>	the value of <code>v</code> at entry to the call
<code>(\product int x ; p(x) ; e(x))</code>	$\prod_{x \in p(x)} e(x)$; i.e., the product of <code>e(x)</code>
<code>(\sum int x ; p(x) ; e(x))</code>	$\sum_{x \in p(x)} e(x)$; i.e., the sum of <code>e(x)</code>
<code>(\min int x ; p(x) ; e(x))</code>	$\min_{x \in p(x)} e(x)$; i.e., the minimum of <code>e(x)</code>
<code>(\max int x ; p(x) ; e(x))</code>	$\max_{x \in p(x)} e(x)$; i.e., the maximum of <code>e(x)</code>
<code>(\forallall type x ; p(x) ; q(x))</code>	$\forall x \in p(x) : q(x)$
<code>(\exists type x ; p(x) ; q(x))</code>	$\exists x \in p(x) : q(x)$
<code>p ==> q</code>	$p \Rightarrow q$
<code>p <== q</code>	$q \Rightarrow p$
<code>p <==> q</code>	$p \Leftrightarrow q$
<code>p <!=> q</code>	$\neg(p \Leftrightarrow q)$

12

Invariants

- Invariants (aka class invariants) are properties that must be maintained by all methods.

```
public class Wallet {
    public static final short MAX_BAL = 1000;
    private short /*@ spec_public @*/ balance;
    /*@ invariant 0 <= balance &&
        balance <= MAX_BAL;
        @*/
    ...
}
```

(`spec_public` turns visibility of `balance public` for specification purposes)

- (Conceptually) Invariants are implicitly included in all pre- and post-conditions.
- Invariants must also be preserved if an exception is thrown! (they must hold whenever the control is outside object's methods)
- Invariants allow to define:
 - acceptable states of an object (helps in understand the code),
 - and consistency of an object's state (valuable for testing/ debugging).

13

assert and assume clauses

- JML assert and assume clauses allow to attach a property to a given program location.

```
int x;
...
/*@ assert x>=0;
x = f(x);
...
/*@ assume x<0;
...

```

- The distinction is purely informative:
 - in an `assert` clauses, we take responsible for validating the property;
 - in `assume`, the property should follow from others guaranties (e.g. pre-conditions or methods post-conditions).
- In short, **it specifies who should be blamed** if the property does not hold.

14

DBC and JML

- DBC can roughly be seen as an expansion of pre- and post-conditions as `assert` and `assume` clauses.

```
//@ requires x >= 0.0;
//@ ensures Math.abs(\result*\result - x) < e;
public static double sqrt(double x)
{ ... }

...
b = sqrt(a);
...
```

- ...expanded into... (JML tools):

```
public static double sqrt(double x) {
  //@ assume x>=0.0;
  ...
  //@ assert Math.abs(r*r - x) < e;
  return r;
}

...
//@ assert a>=0;
b = sqrt(a);
//@ assume Math.abs(b*b - a) < e;
...
```

15

JML Tool Universe

Field Detail

SATURATED

public static final int SATURATED

Method Detail

adjustRed

public void adjustRed(int amount)

Specifications:
 requires 0 <= this.red-amount&&this.red-amount < 256;
 assignable red;
 ensures this.red == old(this.red-amount);

getRed

public int getRed()

Specifications: pure
 ensures result == this.red;

Package [Links](#) [Tree](#) [Deprecated](#) [Index](#) [Help](#)

PREV CLASS NEXT CLASS
 SUMMARY: [FIELD](#) | [CONST](#) | [METHOD](#)

JML Annotated Java

```
public class ArrayOps {
  private /*@ spec_public @*/ Object[] a;

  //@ public invariant 0 < a.length;

  /*@ requires 0 < arr.length;
   @ ensures this.a == arr;
   @*/
  public void init(Object[] arr) {
    this.a = arr;
  }
}
```

Warnings

ESC/Java2

Daikon

Data trace file

jmldoc

Web pages

jmlunit

Unit tests

jmlc

Class file

XVP

Bogor

Model checking

JACK, Jive, Krakatoa,
KeY, LOOP

Correctness proof

16

Runtime Assertion Checking (jmlc/jmlrac/jmlunit)

- **jmlrac** compiler by Gary Leavens, Yoonsik Cheon, et al. (Iowa State Univ.)
- Explore the fact that **JML assertions** are essentially **Java boolean expressions**.
- Translates JML assertions into runtime checks
 - performed during execution;
 - all assertions (occurring on the execution path) are tested
 - any violation of an assertion produces an (informative) error
- Checks binding expressions (with finite domains)
- Generates complicated test-code for free (abnormal behaviour, inherited contracts, etc.)
- Particularly powerful when combined with **unit testing** (jmlunit):
 - cheap & easy to do as part of existing testing practice
 - better testing and better feedback, because more properties are tested, at more places in the code

17

Using JML-tools (JML2)

- JML-tools offer replacements to the standard Java compiler and runtime:
 - **jmlc** --- compiles an instrumented version of the code where JML-assertions are explicitly checked. Replaces **javac** command;
 - **jmlrac** --- environment for the execution of jmlc compiled programs (actually, a short script that adds **jmlruntime.jar** to the class path. Replaces **java** command.

```
$ jmlc -Q -e Prog.java
$ jmlrac Prog
...
Exception in thread "main"
org.jmlspecs.jmlrac.runtime.JMLInternalPreconditionError: by method
Prog.myMethod
    at Prog.main(Prog.java:1284)
```

- and also:
 - **jmldoc**
 - **jmlunit**
 - ...

18

Extended Static Checking (ESC/Java2)

- ESC/Java was originally developed by Rustan Leino (DEC SRC), and extended by David Cok and Joe Kirini (Eastman Kodak Company, University College Dublin).
- Extended static checking = fully automated program verification, with some compromises to achieve full automation.
- It verifies the code at **compile time**:
 - generates proof-obligations from the annotated code;
 - uses an automated prover (Simplify, ...) to check if generated conditions are provable.
- But, since it is intended to be run in a fully automated manner, has some shortcomings:
 - **it is not complete** - ESC/Java may warn of errors that are impossible;
 - **it is not sound** - ESC/Java may miss an error that is actually present.
- ...but finds lots of potential bugs quickly (good at proving absence of runtime exceptions and verifying relatively simple properties).

19

Using ESC/Java2

- ESC/Java2 can be used:
 - as a stand-alone tool;

```
$ escjava2 Prog.java
...
Prog: Prog() ...
  [0.033 s 17264696 bytes] passed
  [1.723 s 17264696 bytes total]
1 warning
```

- as an eclipse plugin... (real-time verification)
- Possible problems detected during analysis are always referred as **warnings** --- the programmer should judge their pertinence (real problem, lack of capability to derive the property, ...)
- obs.: default loop treatment is very primitive... (escjava unfolds its definition a small number of times).

20

Static Checking vs. Runtime Checking

- ESC/Java2 checks specs at compile-time, jmlrac checks specs at run-time.
- ESC/Java2 proves correctness of specs, jml only tests correctness of specs. Hence:
 - ESC/Java2 is independent of any test suite, results of runtime testing are only as good as the test suite;
 - ESC/Java2 provides higher degree of confidence.
- But, as soon as we depend on complex properties, ESC/Java2 is no longer able to deal with them. Jmlrac can (maybe with a performance penalty..., but that is something admissible in a testing phase).

21

Tool Download and Instalation

- Both tools are available for the major operating systems (macosx, linux, windows, ...)
- JML toolset:
 - <http://sourceforge.net/projects/jmlspecs/>
- ESC/Java2 standalone tool:
 - <http://kind.ucd.ie/products/opensource/ESCJava2/>
- ESC/Java2 Eclipse plugin (eclipse update site):
 - <http://kind.ucd.ie/products/opensource/ESCJava2/escjava-eclipse/updates>

22

References

- Meyer, B. - [Applying "Design by Contract"](#) - IEEE Computer (1992), 25(10): 40-51
- Leavens, G.; Poll, E.; Clifton, C.; Cheon, Y.; Ruby, C.; Cok, D.; Mueller, P.; Kiniry, J. & Chalin, P. - [JML Reference Manual](#) - (Draft), Nov. 2007
- Burdy, L.; Cheon, Y.; Cok, D.; Ernst, M.; Kiniry, J.; Leavens, G.; Leino, K. & Poll, E. - [An overview of JML tools and applications](#) - International Journal on Software Tools for Technology Transfer (STTT), Springer, 2005, 7, 212-232.
- Chalin, P.; Kiniry, J.; Leavens, G. & Poll, E. - [Beyond Assertions: Advanced Specification and Verification with JML and ESC/Java2](#) - Fourth International Symposium on Formal Methods for Components and Objects (FMCO'05), Springer, 2005, 342-363

23

Demo...

24

Exercises:

<http://www.cs.ru.nl/~erikpoll/Teaching/JML/bagamount.html>