A Tool-based Methodology for Software Portfolio Monitoring

Tobias Kuipers

Software Improvement Group, The Netherlands

Joost Visser

Universidade do Minho, Portugal

Portfolio Evolution

Start automation in the 60s / 70s Cobol, files, batch. **Increasingly more, and more interdependent Mergers and acquisitions** New technology is adopted **Hierarchical, relational, CRM, ERP.** Interactive, web-based. Middelware. **4GL, OOP, scripting, DSLs. Old technology is not abandoned Homegrown glue**

Compiler extensions, assembly routines, code generators, communication utilities.

Portfolio Status

Large

In terms of functions, systems, data, lines of code, interfaces, . . .

Heterogeneous

PL/I, Cobol, C, Delphi, Java, JavaScript, VB, IMS, SQL, IDMS, MQ, . . .

Idiosyncratic

DSLs, glue, wrappers, adapters, libs, conventions, . . .

Undocumented

Interwoven

Objective

Put IT management back in control.

Contain, reduce, invert the natural enthropy growth in corporate software portfolios.

Nested Iterations



Organisational Context



SRA

Software Risk Assessment (incidental) DocGen Documentation Generation

Software Analysis Toolkit



Analysis

Examples

Lines of code and comment Function point by backfiring McCabe, Halstead, Maintainability Index Control flow analysis Clone detection, using metrics

Requirements

- Scalability (to cope with size)
- **Genericity** (to cope with heterogeneity, and idiosyncracy)

Visualization

Charts

Combine metrics. Visualize thresholds. Graphs Navigate hierarchical graphs Animation

Map time to time.

Charts



Graphs











Summary

Tool-based

Software Analysis Toolkit

Open, scalable, generic Metrics, Reachability, Clones, ... Charts, Graphs, Animations

Methodology

Nested iterations

Monthly, quarterly, annual reports Increasing aggregation Supplemented with SRAs and DocGen

for Software Portfolio Monitoring