For-loops for free

In other words, students' calculations above already deploy a CbC (correct by construction) "for-loop" implementation of multiplication:

a .* n = for (a+) 0 n

something to be encoded (much later!) imperatively, eg. in C:

```
int mul(int a, int n)
{
    int s=0; int i;
    for (i=1;i<n+1;i++) {s += a;}
    return s;
};</pre>
```

Not so immediate for-loops

Now consider the challenge of encoding the square function, sq $n = n^2$. Following the same approach, let students first recall known facts about squares, including Newton's binomial formula:

$$0^2 = 0$$

 $1^2 = 1$
 $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$

Playing the same game, the following will be obtained:

$$sq \ 0 = 0$$

$$sq \ (n+1) = sq \ n + \underbrace{2n+1}_{odd \ n}$$

By the way: students aware that n^2 is the sum of the first *n* odd numbers.

Not so immediate for-loops

- However, sq is not a for-loop because each additive contribution odd n = 2n + 1 is dependent on n.
- What about *odd* itself? Ask the students to try and exploit "its maths",

 $odd \ 0 = 1$ $odd(n+1) = 2 + odd \ n$

which lead immediately to for-loop for (2+) 1.

 Still, students don't know what to do with sq. What can we do about this?

Two-variable for-loops

By putting sq and odd side by side,

observe that both functions share the same input pattern and can thus run "together", co-operating with each other. Thus proceed to **tupling**,

 $\langle sq, odd \rangle x = (sq x, odd x)$

only to exploit "the maths" of this pair of functions:

 $\langle sq, odd \rangle 0 = (0, 1)$ $\langle sq, odd \rangle (i + 1) = let (s, o) = \langle sq, odd \rangle i in (s + o, 2 + o)$

Clearly, this is for-loop $for((s, o) \mapsto (s + o, 2 + o))(0, 1)$ which computes i^2 on variable s and odd i on variable o. Thus the code which follows:

Calculation

$$\begin{cases} sq \cdot in = [\underline{0}, +] \cdot F\langle sq, odd \rangle \\ odd \cdot in = [\underline{1}, (2+) \cdot \pi_2] \cdot F\langle sq, odd \rangle \end{cases}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad \{ \text{ mutual recursion law } \} \\ \langle sq, odd \rangle = ([\langle [\underline{0}, +], [\underline{1}, (2+) \cdot \pi_2] \rangle]) \\ \Leftrightarrow \qquad \{ \text{ exchange law } \} \\ \langle sq, odd \rangle = ([\langle \underline{0}, \underline{1} \rangle, \langle +, (2+) \cdot \pi_2 \rangle]]) \\ \Leftrightarrow \qquad \{ ([\underline{i}, b]) = \text{for } b \ i \text{ (going pointwise into for-loop) } \} \\ \langle sq, odd \rangle = \text{for } \langle +, (2+) \cdot \pi_2 \rangle (0, 1) \\ \Leftrightarrow \qquad \{ \text{ unfolding loop body } \} \\ \langle sq, odd \rangle = \text{for } \lambda(s, o) \cdot (s + o, 2 + o) (0, 1) \end{cases}$$

Two-variable for-loops

```
C code for sq (and odd, implicitly):
```

```
int sq(int n)
{
    int s=0; int i; int o=1;
    for (i=1;i<n+1;i++) {s+=0; o+=2;}
    return s;
};</pre>
```

Learning outcome

The number of **variables** required by a for-loop implementation of a given function over the natural numbers is the number of **mutually recursive functions** which such given function "unfolds" into once "their maths" are inspected.