

Dave Lage Moderno

# **Shadow Mapping and Ray-Tracing**

Tese de Mestrado Mestrado em Informática

Trabalho efectuado sob a orientação do **Professor Doutor António Ramires Fernandes** 

É AUTORIZADA A REPRODUÇÃO INTEGRAL DESTA TESE APENAS PARA EFEITOS DE INVESTIGAÇÃO, MEDIANTE DECLARAÇÃO ESCRITA DO INTERESSADO, QUE A TAL SE COMPROMETE.

Universidade do Minho, \_\_\_/\_\_/\_\_\_\_

Assinatura:

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank the following people:

- my family, and especially my parents Celeste and Albino for sponsoring this work (and my life in general);

- my friends, too many to be named here, be it those with whom I studied, those with whom I played (and still play) videogames or those with whom I just hang out with;

- my thesis advisor, PhD António Ramires Fernandes, for putting up with all of my questions (many of which weren't very smart);

- my girlfriend, Helena, for supporting me in finishing this work, even considering all the time it took to finish.

#### SHADOW MAPPING AND RAY-TRACING

#### ABSTRACT

Shadow mapping has been one of the most used algorithms for real time calculation of shadows, since it is extremely simple and quick in calculating said shadows, but not always presents the best results. On the other hand, ray-tracing presents pixel-perfect shadows, but it is more demanding from a computational point of view.

Shadow mapping has seen many proposals to increase its accuracy, while retaining its high performance nature. Some of the methods proposed, based solely on the standard shadow mapping technique, do improve significantly the standard shadow mapping result at the expense of a minor decrease in performance. Other approaches propose hybrid methods, using shadow mapping as a way of limiting the number of pixels that require ray-tracing. One of such approaches uses texel coherence to reduce the number of pixels that require testing.

These latter approaches establish the theme for this work. The goal is to narrow down as much as possible the amount of pixels that require a ray-tracer to determine its shadow status.

The first step was to identify the location of the errors present in a shadow map. The tests confirmed the intuition that most of these errors should be located in the contours of the shadow areas.

The next step focuses on these contour areas and looks for ways to determine the correctness of a pixel's shadow status. Several methods were proposed to achieve this goal. Some methods were capable of confirming pixels in shadow. Some were capable of correcting pixels in light.

Each method, with the exception of texel coherence, uses a very selective ray-tracer, i.e. only very few triangles are tested for intersection with a single light ray.

Since each method has its strengths and weaknesses an algorithm was proposed, chaining all these methods together. The first step is to determine the set of pixels in the contours of the shadow areas. Then each method is applied in turn, so that only the pixels the remaining unconfirmed/uncorrected pass on to the next stage.

At the end of the algorithm a very large percentage of pixels in shadow were confirmed and a significant number of pixels in light were corrected. The remaining pixels could then be fed to a full ray-tracer. The load of the ray-tracer is severely reduced under this approach making it an affordable solution to obtain pixel perfect shadows in the contours of the shadowed areas.

#### SHADOW MAPPING E RAY-TRACING

#### **RESUMO**

O *shadow mapping* tem sido um dos algoritmos mais utilizados para o cálculo de sombras em tempo real, já que é extremamente simples e rápido em calcular estas sombras, mas nem sempre apresenta os melhores resultados. Por outro lado, *ray-tracing* apresenta sombras perfeitas ao nível do pixel mas é mais exigente de um ponto de vista computacional.

Têm havido muitas propostas para o aumento de qualidade do *shadow mapping* sem afectar o seu desempenho. Alguns dos métodos propostos, baseados somente na técnica de *shadow mapping* padrão, de facto melhoram significativamente o resultado do *shadow mapping* padrão ao custo de uma pequena diminuição no desempenho. Outras abordagens propõem métodos híbridos, usando o *shadow mapping* para limitar o número de pixéis que requerem *ray-tracing*. Uma destas abordagens usa o *texel coherence* para reduzir o número de pixéis que precisam de ser testados.

Estas últimas abordagens estabelecem o tema deste trabalho. O objectivo é limitar o máximo possível a quantidade de pixéis que requerem um *ray-tracer* para determinar o seu sombreamento.

O primeiro passo foi identificar a localização dos erros presentes num *shadow map*. Os testes confirmaram a intuição de que a maior parte destes erros se deveriam encontrar nos contornos das zonas sombreadas.

O próximo passo foca-se nestas áreas de contorno e procura maneiras de determinar se o sombreamento de um pixel está correcto. Vários métodos foram propostos para conseguir este objectivo. Alguns métodos foram capazes de confirmar pixéis em sombra. Alguns foram capazes de corrigir pixéis em luz.

Cada método, com a excepção do *texel coherence*, usa um *ray-tracer* muito selectivo, isto é, apenas uma muito pequena quantidade de triângulos é testada para intersecção com cada raio de luz.

Como cada método tem as suas vantagens e desvantagens um algoritmo que encadeia todos estes métodos foi proposto. O primeiro passo é determinar o conjunto de pixéis nos contornos das áreas sombreadas. Depois cada método é aplicado à vez de modo a que os pixéis que se mantêm por confirmar ou corrigir passem para o próximo passo.

No fim do algoritmo uma grande percentagem de pixéis em sombra foi confirmada e um número significativo de pixéis em luz foi corrigido. O resto dos pixéis poderia então passar por um *ray-tracer* completo. A carga do *ray-tracer* é severamente reduzida sob esta abordagem tornando-o numa solução acessível à obtenção de sombras perfeitas ao nível do pixel nos contornos das áreas sombreadas.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| 1. | INT  | roi | DUCTION 1   |    |
|----|------|-----|---|----|
|    | 1.1. | Mo  | tivation  | .2 |
|    | 1.2. | Goa | als   | .2 |
|    | 1.3. | Me  | thodology   | .3 |
|    | 1.4. | The | esis Structure  | .4 |
| 2. | STA  | ATE | OF THE ART5   |    |
|    | 2.1. | Sha | dow Mapping   | .5 |
|    | 2.1. | 1.  | Shadow Mapping Basics                                     | .5 |
|    | 2.1. | 2.  | Shadow Mapping Problems                                   | .6 |
|    | 2.1. | 3.  | Shadow Mapping Approaches                                 | .7 |
|    | 2.2. | Ray | y-Tracing1  | 13 |
|    | 2.2. | 1.  | Ray-Tracing Basics  | 13 |
|    | 2.3. | Cor | nbining Both  | 15 |
|    | 2.3. | 1.  | Coherence-Based Ray-Tracing                               | 15 |
|    | 2.3. | 2.  | Hybrid GPU Rendering Pipeline for Alias-Free Hard Shadows | 16 |
|    | 2.3. | 3.  | Hybrid GPU-CPU Renderer                                   | 18 |
|    | 2.4. | Cor | nclusion1   | 9  |
| 3. | AL   | GOR | RITHM DESCRIPTION   |    |
|    | 3.1. | Sha | dow Mapping Errors2                                       | 21 |
|    | 3.1. | 1.  | Shadow Status and Errors                                  | 21 |
|    | 3.1. | 2.  | Error Location  | 23 |
|    | 3.2. | Usi | ng Texel Information2                                     | 24 |
|    | 3.3. | Usi | ng the Information of the Neighbouring Texels             | 28 |
|    | 3.4. | Usi | ng Texel Coherence  | 31 |
|    | 3.5. | Usi | ng Geometric Adjacency Information                        | 34 |

|    | 3.6. | Putting It All Together                                |
|----|------|--|
|    | 3.7. | Conclusion41   |
| 4. | A    | LGORITHM TESTING                                       |
|    | 4.1. | Test Scenes  |
|    | 4.2. | Ray-Tracer46   |
|    | 4.3. | Shadow Mapping Errors                                  |
|    | 4.4. | Using Texel Coherence                                  |
|    | 4.5. | Using Texel Information60                              |
|    | 4.6. | Using the Information of the Neighbours of the Texel63 |
|    | 4.7. | Using Geometric Adjacency Information67                |
|    | 4.8. | Putting It All Together71                              |
|    | 4.9. | Final Observations76                                   |
| 5. | C    | ONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK                             |
| 6. | B    | BLIOGRAPHY 85  |
| AI | PPEN | DIX  |

## **FIGURE INDEX**

| Figure 20: Pixel confirmation using texel information27                                       |
|---|
| Figure 21: The two cases of neighbouring texels   |
| Figure 22: Cases using neighbouring texel information with a triangle stored in the centre    |
| texel   |
| Figure 23: Cases using neighbouring texel information without a triangle stored in the centre |
| texel   |
| Figure 24: Pixel confirmation using neighbouring texel information with 8 neighbours          |
| Figure 25: Examples of PCF results  |
| Figure 26: Pixel confirmation using PCF with four texels                                      |
| Figure 27: Using adjacent geometry information for case a)                                    |
| Figure 28: Using adjacent geometry information for case b)                                    |
| Figure 29: Correcting a point wrongly defined in light using triangle adjacency               |
| Figure 30: Pixel confirmation using geometry adjacency information with 2 levels of           |
| adjacency   |

Figure 32: Average of corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods: a) contour pixels; b) shadow map results separated in shadow (black) and light (white); c) errors of the shadow map (gray); d) correct (blue) and incorrect (red) hints using texel coherence; e) confirmed shadow (dark green) and corrected light (light green) pixels by neighbouring texels; f) confirmed shadow pixels (orange) by adjacent geometry; g) nonhinted/uncorrected/unconfirmed pixels (yellow)................40

| Figure 35: The with (left), side (centre) and against (right) viewpoints of the third scene46  |
|--|
| Figure 36: The side (left), against (centre) and with (right) viewpoints of the fourth scene46   |
| Figure 37: Ray-tracer results for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Figure 38: Ray-tracer results for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Figure 39: Ray-tracer results for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Figure 40: Ray-tracer results for the side viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Figure 41: Ray-tracer results for the with viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Figure 42: Ray-tracer results for the against viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Figure 43: Ray-tracer results for the with viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 44: Ray-tracer results for the side viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 45: Ray-tracer results for the against viewpoint of the trees scene   |
| Figure 46: Ray-tracer results for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 47: Ray-tracer results for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 48: Ray-tracer results for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 49: Best case of shadow map errors being caught inside contours with a 2048x2048 shadow map   |
| Figure 50: Worst case of shadow map errors being caught inside contours with a 2048x2048 shadow map  |
| Figure 51: Average case of shadow map errors being caught inside contours  |
| Figure 52: Average shadow map results separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom) |
| Figure 53: Best case of texel coherence confirmation using four texels and a 2048x2048 shadow map  |
| Figure 54: Worst case of texel coherence confirmation using four texels and a 2048x2048 shadow map   |

| Figure 55: Average case of texel coherence confirmation using four texels   |
|---|
| Figure 56: Best case of texel coherence confirmation using nine texels and a 2048x2048 shadow map   |
| Figure 57: Worst case of texel coherence confirmation using nine texels and a 2048x2048 shadow map  |
| Figure 58: Average case of texel coherence confirmation using nine texels60   |
| Figure 59: Average results of texel coherence with four texels separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom)60     |
| Figure 60: Best case of only using centre texel information with a 2048x2048 shadow map61   |
| Figure 61: Worst case of using centre texel information by itself with a 2048x2048 shadow map   |
| Figure 62: Average case of using centre texel information by itself   |
| Figure 63: Best case of only using information of four neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map  |
| Figure 64: Best case of only using information of nine neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map  |
| Figure 65: Worst case of only using information of four neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map   |
| Figure 66: Worst case of only information of nine neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map   |
| Figure 67: Average case of only using information of four neighbouring texels   |
| Figure 68: Average case of only using information of nine neighbouring texels   |
| Figure 69: Average results of neighbouring texels with nine texels separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom)67 |
| Figure 70: Best case of only using centre and first level of adjacent geometry information with a 2048x2048 shadow map  |

| Figure 71: Best case of only using centre and second level of adjacent geometry information with a 2048x2048 shadow map  |
|--|
| Figure 72: Worst case of only using centre and first level of adjacent geometry information with a 2048x2048 shadow map  |
| Figure 73: Worst case of only using centre and second level of adjacent geometry information with a 2048x2048 shadow map   |
| Figure 74: Average case of only using centre and first level of adjacent geometry information.   |
| Figure 75: Average case of only using centre and second level of adjacent geometry information70   |
| Figure 76: Average results of adjacent geometry with two levels of adjacency separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom)  |
| Figure 77: Best case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map72  |
| Figure 78: Worst case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map72   |
| Figure 79: Average case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the neighbouring texels   |
| Figure 80: Average results of the algorithm after the neighbouring texel step separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom) |
| Figure 81: Best case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the adjacent geometry with a 2048x2048 shadow map74  |
| Figure 82: Worst case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the adjacent geometry with a 2048x2048 shadow map75   |
| Figure 83: Average case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the adjacent geometry   |

| Figure 84: Average results of the algorithm after the adjacent geometry step separated by      |
|--|
| contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map                 |
| (bottom)76   |
| Figure 85: Average results of the algorithm after the adjacent geometry step with pixels that  |
| were not confirmed, corrected or hinted marked, separated by contour thickness with a          |
| 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom)                                 |
| Figure 86: Marked errors of the best case when using a 2048x2048 shadow map78                  |
| Figure 87: Marked errors of the worst case when using a 2048x2048 shadow map78                 |
| Figure 88: Marked errors of the average case   |
| Figure 89: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene87 |
| Figure 90: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives      |
| scene  |
| Figure 91: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the primitives |
| scene  |
| Figure 92: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the primitives |
| scene  |
| Figure 93: Result of the single texel approach on the side viewpoint of the primitives scene89 |
| Figure 94: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the side          |
| viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Figure 95: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the side          |
| viewpoint of the primitives scene90  |
| Figure 96: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the side   |
| viewpoint of the primitives scene90  |
| Figure 97: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the side  |
| viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Figure 98: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution   |
| shadow map for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene                                      |

| Figure 99: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the side viewpoint of   |
|---|
| the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow   |
| map92   |
| Figure 100: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the side   |
| viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map  |
| Figure 101: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.  |
| Figure 102: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Figure 103: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Figure 104: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Figure 105: Result of the single texel approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.   |
| Figure 106: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene                                |
| Figure 107: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene                                |
| Figure 108: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene                         |
| Figure 109: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene                        |
| Figure 110: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene |

Figure 111: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map......109 Figure 112: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.....110 Figure 113: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives Figure 114: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives Figure 115: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the Figure 116: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the Figure 117: Result of the single texel approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives Figure 118: Result of the neighbour texels approach using three pixels for the against Figure 119: Result of the neighbour texels approach using eight pixels for the against Figure 120: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the Figure 121: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the Figure 122: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution 

| Figure 123: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the against           |
|--|
| viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom)             |
| resolution shadow map  |
| Figure 124: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the       |
| against viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom)     |
| resolution shadow man  |
|  |
| Figure 125: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene 136   |
| Figure 126: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.   |
|  |
| Figure 127: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the bench     |
| scene  |
|  |
| Figure 128: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the bench     |
| scene  |
| Figure 129: Result of the single texel approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene 138  |
| Figure 130: Result of the neighbour texels approach with four neighbours for the side          |
| viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Figure 131: Result of the neighbour texels approach with nine neighbours for the side          |
| viewpoint of the bench scene 139   |
|  |
| Figure 132: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the side  |
| viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Figure 133: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the side |
| viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Figure 134: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution  |
| shadow map for the side viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| $\Gamma = 1$   |
| rigure 155: Corrected/confirmed/ninted contour pixels by each method for the side viewpoint    |
| of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.     |
|  |

Figure 136: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the side viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map......142 Figure 137: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene. ... 152 Figure 138: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene. Figure 139: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the bench Figure 140: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the bench Figure 141: Result of the single texel approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene....154 Figure 142: Result of the neighbour texels approach with four neighbours for the with Figure 143: Result of the neighbour texels approach with nine neighbours for the with Figure 144: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the with Figure 145: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the with Figure 146: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution Figure 147: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the with viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map. Figure 148: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the with viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.....158

| Figure 149: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.168   |
|---|
| Figure 150: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Figure 151: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Figure 152: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Figure 153: Result of the single texel approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.   |
| Figure 154: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the against viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Figure 155: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the against viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Figure 156: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Figure 157: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Figure 158: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the against viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Figure 159: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the against viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map              |
| Figure 160: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the against viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (left) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map |
| Figure 161: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene 186  |

| Figure 162: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.  |
|---|
| Figure 163: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.   |
| Figure 164: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.   |
| Figure 165: Result of the single texel approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene188  |
| Figure 166: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the with viewpoint of the trees scene   |
| Figure 167: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the with viewpoint of the trees scene   |
| Figure 168: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 169: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the trees scene   |
| Figure 170: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the with viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 171: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the with viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.<br>        |
| Figure 172: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the with viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map |
| Figure 173: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene203   |
| Figure 174: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.  |
|   |

| Figure 175: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the trees scene. |
|---|
|   |
| Figure 176: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the trees scene. |
|   |
| Figure 177: Result of the single texel approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene205      |
| Figure 178: Result of the neighbour texels approach with four neighbours for the side             |
| viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 179: Result of the neighbour texels approach with nine neighbours for the side             |
| viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 180: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the side     |
| viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 181: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the side    |
| viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 182: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution     |
| shadow map for the side viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 183: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the side viewpoint       |
| of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.        |
|   |
| Figure 184: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the side     |
| viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution          |
| shadow map  |
| Figure 185: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene219    |
| Figure 186: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the trees          |
| scene   |
| Figure 187: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the trees     |
| scene   |

| Figure 188: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the trees scene  |
|--|
| Figure 189: Result of the single texel approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene. 221  |
| Figure 190: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the against viewpoint of the trees scene   |
| Figure 191: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the against viewpoint of the trees scene   |
| Figure 192: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 193: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two level of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 194: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the against viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Figure 195: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the against viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map             |
| Figure 196: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the against viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map |
| Figure 197: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene235  |
| Figure 198: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 199: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 200: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene   |

Figure 201: Result of the single texel approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene..237

| Figure 202: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
|---|
| Figure 203: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 204: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 205: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 206: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 207: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map             |
| Figure 208: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map |
| Figure 209: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.  |
| Figure 210: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 211: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 212: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 213: Result of the single texel approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 214: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene  |

| Figure 215: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
|--|
| Figure 216: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 217: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 218: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 219: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map             |
| Figure 220: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map |
| Figure 221: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene269<br>Figure 222: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers<br>scene      |
| Figure 223: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 224: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 225: Result of the single texel approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene. 271   |
| Figure 226: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Figure 227: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene  |

| Figure 228: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the with  |
|--|
| viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 229: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the with |
| viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 230: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution  |
| shadow map for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Figure 231: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the with viewpoint    |
| of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow        |
| map  |
| Figure 232: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the with  |
| viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution     |
| shadow map275  |

### **TABLE INDEX**

| Table 1: Information of the first scene.  44   |
|--|
| Table 2: Information of the second scene.  45  |
| Table 3: Information of the third scene  |
| Table 4: Information of the fourth scene   |
| Table 5: Percentages of errors inside the contours   |
| Table 6: Percentage of contour pixels that are incorrect   |
| Table 7: Percentage of confirmations by PCF with four texels.  56  |
| Table 8: Percentage of confirmations by PCF with nine texels.  58  |
| Table 9: Percentage of errors by only using the information of the centre texel.   |
| Table 10: Percentage of errors by only using the information of the centre and neighbouring texels   |
| Table 11: Percentage of errors by only using the information of the centre texel and the adjacent geometry.                                |
| Table 12: Percentage of confirmations by algorithm after using neighbouring texel    information.  |
| Table 13: Percentage of confirmations by algorithm after using adjacent geometry information.  |
| Table 14: Percentage of wrong confirmations after applying the algorithm and ray-tracing uncertain pixels.    77                           |
| Table 15: Percentage of wrongly defined pixels if uncertain pixels after algorithm are left in    light                                    |
| Table 16: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene. |
| Table 17: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.  |

| Table 18: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the side viewpoint of the primitives   |
|--|
| scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of   |
| pixels lighted in the same way   |
| Table 19: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
|  |
| Table 20: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.   |
| Table 21: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the side    viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Table 22: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.   |
| Table 23: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Table 24: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Table 25: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.   |
| Table 26: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.       100  |
| Table 27: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.       101  |
| Table 28: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.   |
| Table 29: Algorithm results of the side viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Table 30: Difference between the approaches that use ray tracing and the actual ray tracer for   |
| the with viewpoint of the primitives scene 111   |
| and the point of the primer of been and a second se |

| Table 31: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in    |
|--|
| the with viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Table 32: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the with viewpoint of the primitives     |
| scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of       |
| pixels lighted in the same way   |
| Table 33: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the with            |
| viewpoint of the primitives scene112   |
| Table 34: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the |
| with viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Table 35: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the with            |
| viewpoint of the primitives scene113   |
| Table 36: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the |
| with viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Table 37: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping              |
| approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Table 38: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and       |
| the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene                       |
| Table 39: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and       |
| the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene                       |
| Table 40: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the     |
| with viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Table 41: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of              |
| adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.        |
|  |
| Table 42: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of             |
| adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.        |
|  |

| Table 43: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.       117   |
|---|
| Table 44: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.       118                      |
| Table 45: Algorithm results of the with viewpoint of the primitives scene   |
| Table 46: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for       the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.       127   |
| Table 47: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour inthe against viewpoint of the primitives scene.127  |
| Table 48: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the against viewpoint of the primitives scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way |
| Table 49: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Table 50: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.     129   |
| Table 51: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Table 52: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.     130   |
| Table 53: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.     131   |
| Table 54: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Table 55: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene  |

| Table 56: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.       132   |
|---|
| Table 57: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.                                  |
| Table 58: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.                                 |
| Table 59: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.       133  |
| Table 60: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.       134           |
| Table 61: Algorithm results of the against viewpoint of the primitives scene  |
| Table 62: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for       the side viewpoint of the bench scene.       143   |
| Table 63: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour inthe side viewpoint of the bench scene.143  |
| Table 64: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the side viewpoint of the bench scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way |
| Table 65: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the side    viewpoint of the bench scene.  |
| Table 66: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Table 67: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the side    viewpoint of the bench scene.  |

| Table 68: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the  |
|---|
| side viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Table 69: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping       approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene                        |
|   |
| Table 70: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and  |
| the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Table 71: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours andthe shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.147 |
| Table 72: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the  |
| side viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Table 73: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of   |
| adjacency and the shadow manning approach for the side viewnoint of the banch scene 148   |
| adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene 148   |
| Table 74: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of  |
| adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene148  |
| Table 75: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the   |
| Table 75. Average of thangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the  |
| side viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Table 76: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2  |
| levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the side viewpoint of the bench scene 150   |
| revers) approaches separated of inglining enange for the state viewpoint of the center sector in to s   |
| Table 77: Algorithm results of the side viewpoint of the bench scene.  151  |
| Table 78: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for  |
| the with viewpoint of the bench scene   |
|   |
| Table 79: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in   |
| the with viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Table 80: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the with viewpoint of the bench   |
| scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of  |
| pixels lighted in the same way  |

| Table 81: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.     160   |
|---|
| Table 82: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.     161   |
| Table 83: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.  161  |
| Table 84: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.     162   |
| Table 85: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mappingapproach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.163   |
| Table 86: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene                        |
| Table 87: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighboursand the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene                         |
| Table 88: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.  164  |
| Table 89: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene 164             |
| Table 90: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene 164            |
| Table 91: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.     165  |
| Table 92: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the with viewpoint of the bench scene166 |
| Table 93: Algorithm results of the with viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Table 94: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer forthe against viewpoint of the bench scene.175  |

| Table 95: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in   |
|---|
| the against viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Table 96: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the against viewpoint of the bench scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of     |
| pixels lighted in the same way  |
| Table 97: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.  176   |
| Table 98: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.     177  |
| Table 99: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.     177  |
| Table 100: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for       the against viewpoint of the bench scene.       178                                   |
| Table 101: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mappingapproach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Table 102: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach and the shadow mappingapproach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene   |
| Table 103: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.       181   |
| Table 104: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach and the shadow mappingapproach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene  |
| Table 105: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.     183  |
| Table 106: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the against viewpoint of the bench scene. |
| Table 107: Algorithm results of the against viewpoint of the bench scene.     185   |
| Table 108: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer forthe with viewpoint of the trees scene.193   |
|--|
| Table 109: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the with viewpoint of the trees scene.  |
| Table 110: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the with viewpoint of the trees scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way |
| Table 111: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.  194  |
| Table 112: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for       the with viewpoint of the trees scene.       195   |
| Table 113: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.  195  |
| Table 114: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for       the with viewpoint of the trees scene.       196   |
| Table 115: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.     197   |
| Table 116: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.       197   |
| Table 117: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.       198   |
| Table 118: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.     199  |
| Table 119: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for    the with viewpoint of the trees scene.   |
| Table 120: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the with viewpoint of the trees scene201                           |

| Table 121: Algorithm results of the with viewpoint of the trees scene  |
|--|
| Table 122: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.       210   |
| Table 123: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the side viewpoint of the trees scene.  |
| Table 124: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the side viewpoint of the trees scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way |
| Table 125: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the side    viewpoint of the trees scene.  |
| Table 126: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.     212   |
| Table 127: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the side    viewpoint of the trees scene.    212   |
| Table 128: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for    the side viewpoint of the trees scene.  |
| Table 129: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Table 130: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Table 131: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Table 132: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.   |
| Table 133: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene   |

| Table 134: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two level of   |
|--|
| adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene215   |
| Table 135: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for   |
| the side viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Table 136: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2  |
| levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the side viewpoint of the trees scene217   |
| Table 137: Algorithm results of the side viewpoint of the trees scene.  218  |
| Table 138: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for  |
| the against viewpoint of the trees scene   |
| Table 139: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour  |
| in the against viewpoint of the trees scene  |
| Table 140: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the against viewpoint of the trees  |
| scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of   |
|  |
| pixels lighted in the same way   |
| pixels lighted in the same way.227Table 141: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the against<br>viewpoint of the trees scene.227Table 142: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for<br>the against viewpoint of the trees scene.228Table 143: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the against<br>viewpoint of the trees scene.228Table 143: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the against<br>viewpoint of the trees scene.228Table 144: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for228 |
| pixels lighted in the same way   |

Table 147: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and Table 148: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the Table 149: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene....231 Table 150: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two level of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene....231 Table 151: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for Table 152: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the against viewpoint of the trees scene. Table 154: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for Table 155: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour Table 156: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the side viewpoint of the flowers scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of Table 157: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the side Table 158: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for Table 159: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the side 

| Table 160: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for                                      |
|--|
| the side viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 161: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping   |
| approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Table 162: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach and the shadow mapping   |
| approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Table 163: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene. |
| Table 164. Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach and the shadow mapping  |
| approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Table 165: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for   |
| the side viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 166: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2  |
| levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.251                                    |
| Table 167: Algorithm results of the side viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 168: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for                                    |
| the against viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Table 169: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour  |
| in the against viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 170: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the against viewpoint of the flowers                                      |
| scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of   |
| pixels lighted in the same way   |
| Table 171: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the against  |
| viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Table 172: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for                                      |
| the against viewpoint of the flowers scene   |

| Table 173: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.     262   |
|---|
| Table 174: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for       the against viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 175: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping       approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.       264                                     |
| Table 176: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene                            |
| Table 177: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene                            |
| Table 178: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.  265  |
| Table 179: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.                    |
| Table 180: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two level of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.                    |
| Table 181: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for       the against viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Table 182: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2       levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene. |
| Table 183: Algorithm results of the against viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 184: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for    the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.   |
| Table 185: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour       in the with viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| 1   |

| Table 186: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the with viewpoint of the flowers   |
|--|
| scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of   |
| pixels lighted in the same way   |
| Table 187: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the with   |
| viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Table 188: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for  |
| the with viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 189: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the with   |
| viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Table 190: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for  |
| the with viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 191: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping   |
| approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene   |
| Table 192: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and  |
| the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 193. Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and  |
| the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 104: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the  |
| with viewpoint of the flowers scene.   |
| Table 105. Direct exercises between the adjusted exercise exercise mith and here the   |
| rable 195: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow manning approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene 281   |
| The state of the s |
| Table 196: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two level of   |
| adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene281   |
| Table 197: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for   |
| the with viewpoint of the flowers scene  |
| Table 198: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2  |
| levels) approaches separated by lighting change for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.   |
|  |

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Since the appearance of computer rendered graphics, there have been two main approaches in order to render scenes: the first one is to obtain satisfactory images in a fraction of a second and the other is to obtain the best quality images, with no regard to the time spent in creating them. Both of these approaches have their uses, with the first one being important to real time rendering used in virtual interactive walkthroughs, and the second being used to create photo-realistic scenes, be it for simple images or for use in a frame of an animated movie.

One of the most important things in rendering a scene is lighting and consequently, shadows. While it is possible to render shadowed scenes in real time, there is clearly a loss in quality when comparing to more computationally intensive algorithms. Shadow algorithms are available for hard and soft shadows, where being able to establish the former is required for the latter. Hence hard shadows are a very relevant topic.

Real-time rendering shadow algorithms are dominated by two classes: shadow maps and shadow volumes. Shadow volumes are able to compute pixel perfect shadows yet are harder to implement and can suffer from severe overdrawing. Shadow maps, on the other hand, are very simple to implement, and are performance friendly.

Recent research has focused on improving the quality of the shadow map basic algorithm result, for instance combining it with other algorithms. Shadow maps have evolved a lot, fixing and improving the basic algorithm, hence providing better and better results.

Another solution that is now possible in real time (at least for direct hard shadows) is raytracing. However shadow mapping is much faster than ray-tracing, and while ray-tracing is now a possibility for real-time rendering, one has to consider that the quality standards have gone sky high and hard shadows are not jaw dropping anymore. Shadows are only one of many effects that are used nowadays to improve render quality hence shadows must be computed as fast as possible.

## **1.1.MOTIVATION**

As mentioned before, ray-tracing and shadow maps are two possibilities for computing hard shadows in real-time. Ray-tracing assures that the result is correct for each pixel, where shadow maps guarantee performance. The ideal would be to have the performance of shadow maps with the quality of ray-tracing, or at least some compromise that would improve quality without totally sacrificing performance.

Research has been conducted to achieve the best of both worlds: great quality images that take a small amount of time to render. However, so far no research has been performed to evaluate how good the information stored in a shadow map really is.

## 1.2.GOALS

Studying the value of the information stored in a shadow map is the main goal of this work.

For instance, quantifying the location of the errors in a shadow map, or how many texels in a shadow map are actually correct, i.e. report the closest triangle to the light in a given direction. And how far can an algorithm improve the basic shadow map just using the information stored in it? For instance, can the shadow map information be used to perform selective ray-tracing for certain pixels, and if so how many pixels are fixed and how many pixels are broken? Although some algorithms use this information to perform selective ray-tracing the published work only focuses on particular cases.

When using shadow mapping to evaluate the shadow areas of a scene, the most problematic areas are the contour regions where the real shadow occurs due to the lack of precision, and aliasing of the shadow mapping technique. Hence, when studying the quality of a solution based on shadow mapping it makes sense to concentrate efforts in the contours of the shadow map. But how thick must a contour be to contain a significant number of wrong pixels? And for those pixels in the contours which approaches can be used, based only on the shadow map information, to validate or fix their shadow status?

Ray-tracing can be a helpful tool, helping in fixing a number of pixels, but is the shadow map information sufficient so that the number of rays is cut down to a very low number? And can

pixels be fixed with just a very small number of ray-triangle intersections, where the triangles are selected based on the information stored in the shadow map?

By limiting the number of ray-triangle intersections new errors will be introduced. Hence quantifying the ratio of fixed/broken pixels, when performing this second pass, is essential to evaluate each approach or approach combinations.

The goal of this work is to provide an answer to these questions, with a quantitative analysis.

## **1.3.METHODOLOGY**

The Curitiba 3D engine is capable of using shadow maps and provides a lot of debug information. It is an extensible engine and adding the required features to quantify information, and test new algorithms is really easy. However no ray-tracing is available in Curitiba, hence the first step was to implement a very basic ray-tracer. This new implementation will contain the required functionalities to provide the answers required for this work. However no performance issues were taken into account due to the timeframe required for the completion of the work.

Once the implementation was completed focus could be directed on studying the shadow map generation process. This provided some clues to the type of problems one can expect when applying shadow maps. The next step was to determine where the majority of errors were located.

Then a study on the pixel shadow status versus its correctness was conducted and hypotheses on how to fix the pixels shadow status were constructed.

Each hypothesis was tested and its results quantified. This in turn gave rise to new hypothesis and the process was iterated and finally hypotheses were combined to evaluate if better results were obtained. Selective ray-tracing with a very limited number of ray-triangle intersections, where the triangles were selected based on the shadow map information, was used to attempt to fix pixels.

During all this process the ray-tracer for the whole image was used as ground truth, and each hypothesis, or combination, was tested both against the ground truth and the shadow map basic solution.

## **1.4.THESIS STRUCTURE**

In chapter 2 the state of the art for the shadow mapping and ray-tracing algorithms will be shown. In chapter 3 the main research of this work, as described in the motivation and goals section is presented. Chapter 4 will report on the tests made and present the results obtained. Finally, chapter 5 will present the conclusion of this work and point to some possible future work.

# 2. STATE OF THE ART

### 2.1.SHADOW MAPPING

#### 2.1.1. Shadow Mapping Basics

Shadow Mapping (Williams, 1978) is an algorithm that defines shadows in the scene by determining which areas are behind the closest objects to the light source. This is done in a two-step approach:

- 1. First, the algorithm renders the scene from the point of view of the light source, saving the shortest distances between the light source and the scene's triangles in the rendered grid. The information of each grid point will be called a shadow texel and the entire grid of shadow texels will be called the shadow map.
- 2. After obtaining the shadow map, the scene will be rendered from the point of view of the camera. Each 3D point that the camera sees will be tested, in order to verify if it is in the shadow of the light source. In order to do this, each point will be transformed from the coordinates of the camera view to the coordinates of the view of the light source view. With the new coordinates, the algorithm will be able to check which texel in the shadow map intersects the ray that goes from the source of light to the point that is being tested. Finally, the distance to the light source of the point being tested is compared to the distance stored in the texel of the shadow map. If the distance of the point being tested is greater than the stored distance, then it will be shadowed, otherwise, it will not.



Figure 1: How a Shadow Map works.

In Figure 1, point p is part of the shadow map obtained by the yellow light source, being the nearest surface point to the light source following the shown direction. Following said direction, point a is behind point p of the shadow map, so will be shadowed.

#### 2.1.2. Shadow Mapping Problems

As (Williams, 1978) shows, shadow mapping is a simple, two step way to compute which areas of the scene are shadowed. But it suffers from many problems, many of them related to aliasing. This aliasing is caused by under-sampling, due to insufficient resolution of the shadow map in certain locations. When observing the scenes with aliasing problems, shadows with the wrong contours or highly pixelated can be observed. These problems will be better detailed below.



Figure 2: The shadow of the tree presents aliasing.

Perspective aliasing is a common problem in shadow mapping, since scenes are usually rendered using a perspective view. With a perspective view, objects near the camera are larger than faraway objects. However, unless a headlight is used, the shadow map projection is not the same as the camera's. This creates a resolution mismatch. The problem appears when there are a lot of points near the camera, but these same points are distant from the light source. Due to the perspective view, a large amount of these points will be behind a single shadow texel, which will provoke aliasing in the shadow. On the other hand, there may be places far away in the camera view where the texel resolution is excessive, resulting in wasted shadow texels. Both these cases are called, respectively, under-sampling and oversampling.

With a directional light, this mismatch will have its maximum value if the direction of this light is perpendicular to the camera view and will have its minimum value when the light direction is the same as the camera view. For point lights, the mismatch will be greater when

the direction of the light and the camera views face each other, a.k.a. the duelling frusta, and minimum when both have the same direction.

Projection aliasing occurs when a surface is parallel (or almost parallel) to the direction of the light. In this case, a single shadow texel will be used to test the occlusion of the light source for a large amount of points, which will cause incorrect shadows. Another problem that comes with projection aliasing is shadow flickering when moving around the scene. This occurs because the perspective aliasing effect is dependent on the position and direction of the camera.

The resolution of the shadow map may also be a problem if it is used for large scenes. The shadow map is usually saved as a texture, but today's hardware limits the size of textures, which limits the size of the shadow map. For example, for a scene with one square kilometre and a perpendicular light direction, a 1024x1024 shadow map has shadow texels that take around one square meter each. While this may give satisfactory results for a bird's eye view over the entire scene, a close-up of this scene will show a large amount of aliasing.

Due to the limited precision of data in computers, calculated distances are in general not exact, but actually an approximation to the real value. Furthermore, the grid that stores the shadow map and the final viewed image are also discrete. These discrete properties and these approximations may cause distances to be calculated in an erroneous way. In the case where the calculated distance of a point to the light source during the second step of the algorithm does not match the distance of this same point when saved on the shadow map, but is actually bigger, the self-shadowing phenomenon will occur, in which the point shades itself.

### 2.1.3. Shadow Mapping Approaches

As detailed in the previous section, there are many problems with shadow mapping, mostly aliasing problems. This section will show some approaches to shadow mapping that try to correct these problems.

Percentage Closer Filtering (Reeves, Salesin, & Cook, 1987) is one of the first approaches used to solve the hard shadow problem. This technique will use a filter process in order to calculate which pixels are near the light area and give a percentage to this proximity. This

way, a shadow pixel near the light area will have a penumbra colour, instead of having the shadow colour.

Perspective Shadow Maps (Stamminger & Drettakis, 2002) try to correct aliasing by giving more resolution to objects nearby the camera and less resolution to far away objects. This is done by applying a perspective before generating the shadow map. With this perspective, objects near the camera are enlarged and far away objects are shrunk, resulting, respectively, in better and worse shadow map resolutions for near and far objects.



Figure 3: Perspective Shadow Map example.

A Light Space Perspective Shadow Map (Wimmer, Scherzer, & Purgathofer, 2004) uses a perspective transform in light space that does not change the directions of the light sources and allows treating all lights as directional lights. This allows perspective shadow mapping problems, like missed shadow casters and singularities in post-perspective space, to be avoided.



Figure 4: Light Space Perspective Shadow Map example.

Practical Shadow Mapping (Barbec, Annen, & Seidel, 2002) adjusts the view frustum of the light with the visualised objects in mind. Since the view frustum is smaller, the same shadow map resolution can be used for a smaller area, diminishing aliasing. In this case the depth values are distributed uniformly since, as opposed to the camera, objects near the light source might have the same or more importance than those near it, or all important objects could be far from the light source.

The Adaptive Light Frustum technique adapts the view frustum of the light source so that it only includes the objects that are visible from the camera view, allowing for better shadow map resolutions, or more precisely, allows the use of a bigger number of the shadow map's texels for the visible objects. A problem arises from this technique when the camera is moved around. If another object enters or leaves the camera view, the frustum will be readjusted to include the new object, changing the number of texels for the first object and consequently changing the resolution of the shadow of the first object. With camera motion the shadows will flicker.

Trapezoidal Shadow Mapping (Martin & Tanin, 2004) increases the resolution of shadow maps by using trapezoidal approximating to the eye's frusta, as seen from the light source, instead of using the bounding box used in Practical Shadow Mapping. Consecutive approximations generated by camera moving are treated with smooth changes to the size and shape of the trapezoid, so that shadow flickering is avoided.



Figure 5: Trapezoidal Shadow Mapping movement flickering.

Adaptive Shadow Mapping (Fernando, Fernandez, Bala, & Greenberg, 2001) is an approach that uses a hierarchical grid structure. With this structure, areas that need a better resolution will have a new child node created, which will increase the resolution of that area. This greatly reduces aliasing artefacts, but the refinement operations require many rendering passes, making this approach not suitable for real time rendering.



Figure 6: Adaptive Shadow map result compared to a shadow mapping result (2048x2048 shadow map versus an effective 524288x524288 shadow map result).

The Plural Sunlight Depth Buffers Shadow Mapping (Tadamura, Qin, Jiao, & Nakamae, 2001) approach, the shadow map is split in various shadow maps with multiple resolutions.

This way places that need better resolutions will be covered by a shadow map with better resolution.

Parallel-Split Shadow Mapping (Zhang, Sun, Xu, & Lun, 2006) splits the view frustum and then creates a shadow map for each one of these splits. The difference between this one and Plural Sunlight Depth Buffers Shadow Mapping is the pre-determined rules for splitting and a uniform resolution distribution, which avoid the need for optimisation computations.



Figure 7: Parallel-Split Shadow Maps example.

Variance Shadow Mapping (Donnelly & Lauritzen, 2006) is a technique that calculates, besides the usual depth value, the depth-squared values. These values will then be used to calculate the probability of each point being lit or not. But due to the fact that the lower bound of brightness is an approximate value derived from using only one single occluder, if a scene has a high depth complexity, there might be light leaking artefacts (areas appearing lit instead of shadowed).



Figure 8: Variance Shadow Map light leaking example.

Convolution Shadow Mapping (Annen, Mertens, Bekaert, Seidel, & Kautz, 2007) avoids aliasing by filtering the shadow map with arbitrary convolution filters. Blurring can be applied afterwards in order to soften the shadow borders, allowing elimination of discretization artefacts and penumbra simulation. Of course, inclusion of many filters can slow down shadow computation, turning this approach into a less desirable one when doing real time rendering.

Exponential Shadow Mapping (Annen, Mertens, Seidel, Flerackers, & Kautz, 2008) is an algorithm inspired on convolution shadow maps, but uses a single term approximation, while convolution shadow maps usually uses sixteen terms. This makes exponential shadow mapping a much faster algorithm, while still avoiding light leaking as seen in variance shadow maps.



Figure 9: Comparison between Convoluted, Variance and Exponential Shadow Maps respectively.

## 2.2.RAY-TRACING

### 2.2.1. Ray-Tracing Basics

Ray-tracing (Whitted, 1980) is an algorithm based on the physical properties of light. In the real world, a source of light emanates light rays in many directions. These rays then hit surrounding objects, possibly many times, until they reach the eye of the observer. The surrounding objects may also have different properties, which affect the direction light rays take after hitting these surfaces. For example, they may have a reflective surface, or be translucent, on which a light would bounce off or go through, respectively.

Ray-tracing tries to emulate these physical properties of light on a virtual world. The camera in the scene represents the eye of the viewer. In the real world, there are many light rays that do not reach the eye of the viewer. Obviously, trying to simulate all these rays in a computer would take an enormous amount of time, which would be a waste, since the rays that don't hit the camera don't contribute to what is being seen at the moment. To avoid this, things will be done in reverse order of what actually happens in the real world, that is, rays will be shot from the camera's position into the scene.

The basic ray-tracer works in the following manner:

- The final view of the camera is basically an image, which corresponds to what the camera is seeing in the actual position and direction. An image is composed of various pixels, which will be used to define the rays that will be shot.
- 2. For each pixel, a ray will be traced, starting at the camera position and going through the pixel into the scene. These rays are called primary rays.
- 3. Then for each of these primary rays, a test will be made in order to check if it hits an object in the scene. If it doesn't, the pixel that originated the ray will have the background's colour. If it does, it will declare the place where it hit as the intersection point and the following step will ensue.
- 4. First, a ray must be traced from the intersection point into each light source in existence in the scene. This way, the contribution of each light source to the intersection point's colour will be known. After this, extra rays will be shot if the object has reflective or refractive surfaces. In these cases, the contribution from the reflection or refraction direction on the intersection

point must be calculated, by respectively tracing a reflective ray or a refractive ray in the direction of reflection or refraction of the ray that originated this calculation. Then for this ray, the process described for the primary rays will be repeated.

5. After calculating all the contributions listed above, the colour of each pixel can finally be calculated.



Figure 10: Simple example of Ray-Tracing.

After tracing primary rays, if an object is hit, a ray will be traced from the intersection point into the direction of the light source (see Figure 10), or in the case of various light sources, rays will be traced in the direction of each one of them. If a ray intersects any opaque geometry between the object and the light source then the object is in shadow, otherwise it is lit.

As shown in the algorithm above, ray-tracing can also deal with indirect lighting and transparent surfaces, however in this work only direct lighting and hard shadows will be dealt with.

For this purpose, the initial step of the ray-tracing algorithm, tracing primary rays from the camera into the scene, can be replaced with rasterization. Hence the first step can be performed using standard graphics APIs such as OpenGL. The results obtained using rasterization and ray-tracing for the primary rays are identical. The only requirement is that the coordinates of the 3D point in the scene must be available so that light rays can be cast from these points in the direction of the light source. Casting light rays for each scene point will allow the determination of the shadow status of each pixel.

To improve the performance of the ray-tracer it is fundamental to limit the number of intersection tests performed. Obviously, testing intersection of a ray with every object is an

enormous waste of time, because most objects would probably not be intersected, hence being redundant to the final result. One way to achieve a more rational selection of geometry to intersect is to use hierarchic bounding volumes or spatial partitioning. Under these approaches, various objects will be grouped together in a bounding volume. Checking for intersections is done first with the bounding volume itself, and only if an intersection with the volume exists will the geometry inside be tested. If the ray doesn't intersect the bounding volume, it obviously won't intersect any of the objects within it. A hierarchy of bounding volumes will potentially accelerate the process even further. The most common bounding volumes are the bounding spheres and bounding boxes, which are simple polygons and allow for quick intersection tests. Examples of spatial subdivision include octrees, binary space partitioning (BSP), kD-trees and grids.

## **2.3.COMBINING BOTH**

As seen before shadow mapping is a very efficient algorithm performance wise, yet it is prone to all sorts of aliasing errors, producing shadows containing a large number of artefacts. On the other hand ray-tracing is capable of producing pixel perfect shadows at the expense of a mode computational expensive algorithm.

Some researchers have attempted to combine both the performance of the shadow mapping algorithm and the accuracy of the ray-tracer solution.

The common approach behind these methods starts by first computing a shadow map. Raytracing is then used selectively for particular pixels that are classified as having an unreliable shadow status.

This greatly reduces the number of rays, and as a consequence the number of intersections to be performed. The results clearly show great improvements when comparing to the shadow map initial result, while still being far lighter than an exclusive ray-tracing solution.

### 2.3.1. Coherence-Based Ray-Tracing

The Coherence-Based Ray-Tracing (Agrawala, Ramamoorthi, Heirich, & Moll, 2000) algorithm combines a hierarchical ray-tracing technique and a coherence-based sampling technique in order to create soft shadows from area light sources. It starts by creating various reference images from various locations in the scene and saves object depths the same way

shadow maps do. The reference views for these reference images are usually the exterior vertices of the light areas, although no restriction is placed by the algorithm. To shade each point, a shadow ray is traced through each shadow map, until an intersection is met or until the ray passes all shadow maps. To test intersection of this shadow ray with a given reference shadow map the ray will be projected onto the image plane of the shadow map and the resulting epipolar ray will then be followed, from texel to texel, checking for intersections with the geometry of the scene. This intersection will be done in two steps. First, the depth at which the epipolar ray enters and exits a texel will be compared against the depth that the geometry in the texel is found. If the depth of the geometry is between the depths of the epipolar ray then the second step will follow, which is to find the exact depth of intersection.



Figure 11: Reference image examples for the coherence based ray-tracing.

The coherence-based sampling algorithm decreases the shadow rays casted by checking where the light source visibility has a higher chance of changing. This may lead to prediction errors where a block or a hole is missed. These may be attenuated by increasing surface sampling density and light sampling respectively,

#### 2.3.2. Hybrid GPU Rendering Pipeline for Alias-Free Hard Shadows

This Hybrid GPU Rendering Pipeline (Hertel, Hormann, & Westermann, 2009) will be used to create alias-free hard shadows. To do this, this algorithm starts by creating a conservative shadow map that woks similarly to the usual shadow map, but in this case a triangle will be saved in a pixel if it overlaps said pixel in any place, not only in the centre. This is done by resizing the edges of the triangles in the direction of the normal of the edge by the length of the diagonal of a texel.



Figure 12: Resizing the triangle by moving its edges.

Normally, if a point is under a pixel, a simple test is made to see if it is lit or shadowed. But in this case, only if a pixel is entirely covered by a triangle will the points that project on it be considered in shadow or in light, depending on the distance of the triangle saved and the points being tested. If a point projects onto one of the pixels that has a triangle that doesn't cover said pixel in its totality, its shadowing will be determined "uncertain". This calculation can be done by testing the distances of the centre of the texel in relation to the triangles edges. To do this, the texel will store the ID of the triangle that covers it. Afterwards, for cases where the shadowing is deemed as uncertain, the GPU will use ray-tracing to verify if the point is actually shadowed or not. This ray-tracer will use the information of the triangle saved by the pixel and a kD-tree in order to speed up intersection tests. The information of the depth at which the triangle is found will allow for the ray-tracer to only start testing for intersections from there, as there should be no other triangle between this point and the light source.

As can be seen in Figure 13, there are many areas classified as uncertain that commonly produce correct results using shadow maps, namely the triangle junctions for triangles in light. The performance of this algorithm is highly dependent on the geometry tessellation hence for highly tessellated models a large number of light rays will be required.



Figure 13: Example of the uncertain areas when using the Hybrid GPU Rendering Pipeline for Alias-Free Hard Shadows.

## 2.3.3. Hybrid GPU-CPU Renderer

This Hybrid GPU-CPU Renderer (Beister, Ernst, & Stamminger, 2005) also mixes shadow mapping and ray-tracing. This algorithm starts by creating a shadow map with bilinear percentage closest filtering. Then for each pixel the interpolated result is verified and if the result is 0 or 1 then the four surrounding shadow map pixels will agree and the pixel is considered in light or in shadow. If the four surrounding pixels don't agree and the interpolated result is between 0 and 1, then the pixel will be marked and ray-tracing will be used to calculate the shadowing of the point that the pixel observes.



Figure 14: Green pixels mark where shadow mapping samples disagree in the Hybrid GPU-CPU Renderer.

If the light source is a point light a standard shadow map with bilinear percentage closer filtering is used. If the light source is an area light source, the area light source will be replaced by eight point light sources, one in the centre of the area and the other seven will surround this area. In this case, the agreement will be done between the results of the eight shadow maps.

This algorithm is robust if the shadow map resolution is adequate to the tessellation of the scene. Yet this is difficult to achieve overall unless the scene is carefully modelled using a constant tessellation parameter across all geometric objects. Errors may occur if, despite the fact that the four pixels are in agreement the shadow status reported by the algorithm is incorrect. For instance a pixel may project in a triangle that is not captured by the shadow map because it does not cover the centre of a texel, and the three surrounding texels may also be empty. In this situation all texels agree that the point is lit, yet the point should be in shadow.

## **2.4.CONCLUSION**

Shadow mapping was initially presented at Siggraph 1978 by Williams. It is a very simple technique, easy to implement, hardware friendly, and performance wise very efficient. Yet, it suffers from severe aliasing, which causes severe artefacts in the computed shadows. There are many issues related to sampling issues that may cause very poor results in some circumstances.

The perspective mismatch and projection issues, together with limited hardware precision gave rise to a lot of research to improve this algorithm. Several methods are based on the computation of the light frustum to improve the texel usage of the shadow map. Others such as Cascade Shadow Maps propose the computation of a set of shadow maps to cope with the under-sampling issue. Algorithms such as Perspective Shadow Mapping and Light Image Space Shadow Mapping transform the projection itself to deal with the same issue.

These methods have produced great improvements on the shadow mapping result, producing shadows far more perfect than the original algorithm, without significantly overloading the algorithm performance wise.

The ray-tracing approach is far more capable quality wise, producing pixel perfect shadows. However it requires a much larger number of computations, hence it is computationally more expensive than shadow mapping.

Researchers have combined both methods, in an attempt to get the performance of the shadow map algorithm, and the accuracy of the ray-tracer solution. These approaches initially compute a shadow map and then perform selective ray-tracing for a comparatively small number of pixels.

Although the results are far superior to the standard algorithm, all solutions above are not error free.

# 3. ALGORITHM DESCRIPTION

As mentioned in chapter 2, shadow maps are highly prone to errors due to all sorts of aliasing. Perspective mismatch, sampling mismatch, projection aliasing and limited precision are the most prominent causes of errors. Ray-tracing on the other hand is pixel perfect, but far more demanding from a computational point of view.

Researchers have developed methods that combine these two approaches in an attempt to improve the shadow mapping quality using ray-tracing selectively to fix potential errors present in the shadow mapping technique.

This chapter will explore the information that is stored in a shadow map to evaluate how far can this information be helpful in fixing the shadow map errors by using ray-tracing in a very selective fashion, to reduce its impact in obtaining the final solution.

The shadow mapping used in here is based on the original algorithm, with adaptive light frustum adapted to the view frustum of the camera as an optimization of the shadow map usage, front face culling to prevent self shadowing and using normals to dismiss all scene points which are not facing the light.

In this work, besides recording the depths, the IDs of the triangles will also be stored in the shadow map texels. Hence for each point it is possible to check if the corresponding light ray really intersects the triangles whose ID is stored on the texel of the shadow map that the point being tested projects upon.

First the location of the errors found with shadow mapping will be discussed. Afterwards, once error location is established, several techniques will explore the shadow map information to discover which pixels are correctly shadowed.

## **3.1.SHADOW MAPPING ERRORS**

### 3.1.1. Shadow Status and Errors

When performing simple shadow mapping, a pixel is classified as either lit or in shadow. Ray-tracing the corresponding scene points with light rays provides the ground truth. If for a given scene point the shadow mapping provides the same status (lit/shadowed) as the raytracer, then the pixel has been correctly classified. Otherwise the pixel has a wrong status as reported by the shadow mapping algorithm.

Regarding the shadow mapping technique, the status of a scene is determined by the comparison of the distance of the point to the light source and the distance stored in the shadow map texel where the scene point projects. If the distance is larger than the recorded depth the point is classified as in shadow, otherwise it is classified as lit.

If a point is reported as in shadow by the shadow mapping technique, then the pixel projects into a texel that has a recorded depth smaller than the distance from the point to the light source. However the triangle that has its depth recorded in the texel may in fact not intersect a light ray from the scene point to the light source as shown in Figure 15.



Figure 15: Correctly (in green) and incorrectly (in blue) shadowed points by shadow mapping.

Based on Figure 15, since both points are further away from the light source than the red triangle, both points will be shadowed since both points project upon the texel where the depth of the red triangle is stored. But the triangle doesn't actually shade the blue point, which should be lit, so in this case the point will be incorrectly shadowed when using shadow mapping.

For a lit scene point, as reported by shadow mapping, the depth recorded in the texel is greater than the distance from the point to the light source, be it by the triangle that had its depth recorded being farther away, or by the fact that there was no stored triangle at all. Errors can result if there is a triangle that actually intersects a light ray from the point but the triangle is not registered in the texel where the point projects.



Figure 16: Correctly (in green) and incorrectly (in blue) lit points by shadow mapping.

In Figure 16, the depth stored in the texel is the maximum value possible since there is no triangle that projects upon its centre. Since the depth stored is higher than the depth of the two points, both will be lit when using shadow mapping. But the blue point has another triangle, the red one, which shades it. But as the depth of this triangle isn't stored in the texel, since the triangle doesn't cover the centre of the texel, it won't be able to shadow the point. The same would happen if the stored depth came from a triangle further away than the points being tested.

## **3.1.2. Error Location**

A naked eye comparison between shadow mapping and ray-tracer solutions shows that shadow mapping errors are mostly present in the contours. This can be observed in Figure 17. Figure 17 marks the contours in green, the errors inside the contours in red if the pixel is incorrectly lit and in yellow if the pixel is incorrectly shadowed and in blue if the error is outside the contour. The larger the width of the contour line the more errors are contained in the contour. To create an unbiased contour, i.e. a contour that has roughly 50/50 shadow/light pixels a contour with an even width is used.

The resolution of the shadow map plays a crucial role in the percentage of errors caught in the contours. Higher resolutions have a better definition of the shadowed areas hence require narrower contours, whereas lower resolutions need thicker contour lines to catch a similar percentage of errors.



Figure 17: Marked contours and errors of the scene.

As the contours are computed for the rendered image, narrower contours will decrease the amount of tests that will be done as fewer pixels are contained inside the contour.

The tests realized for this work corroborate the above hypothesis, with averages of 90% of incorrect points inside the contours when using a shadow map resolution that is the double of the camera viewport. The remaining errors are caused by lack of shadow map resolution where small holes in the geometry, or small geometry, aren't caught by the shadow map, not being able to correctly shadow some points in the scene.

As there are no clues as to the location of the remaining errors and the vast majority of errors are concentrated on the contours of the shadow/light boundary of the rendered scene, all tests from this point forward will only take into account these points.

In our tests the points in the contours are roughly equally divided between lit and in shadow points, with the percentage of correctly classified points ranging from 68 to almost 100% in each category.

## **3.2.USING TEXEL INFORMATION**

Regarding scene points classified as in shadow two possible outcomes are possible:

- a) the light ray intersects the triangle whose ID is stored in the texel;
- b) the light ray does not intersect the triangle.

In a) it can be concluded that the pixel is correctly classified. However situation b) is not conclusive, as there may be a triangle that really intersects the light ray but its ID is not stored in the shadow map. An example of both these situations can be found in Figure 18.



Figure 18: Cases of using texel information.

The yellow triangle is stored in the texel since it covers the centre of the texel. As for the points, both the red point, corresponding to a), and the green point, corresponding to b), project on the texel where the yellow triangle is stored. In the case of the red point, the intersection with the yellow triangle will succeed and the point will be correctly maintained shadowed. But in the case of the green point, the intersection will fail hence the point shadow status cannot be confirmed. The problem here is that there is no way to be sure if the point will be correctly lit due to there being no triangle that shades it, or if the point will be incorrectly lit due to the fact that another triangle shades it, for instance the one represented by the blue dashed line.

Hence for points classified as in shadow a single intersection test will allow finding out that all points in a) are correctly classified and that no further testing is required. For points in b) further tests are required, but there is no more information of use in the texel that treats the shading of the tested point.

Test results below show that the average of points inside a contour of type a) increase with the number of pixels in the contours, as well as with the resolution of the shadow map. According to the tests described in the next chapter, it compensates to use larger shadow maps as more points are confirmed even when using narrower contours. A very significant percentage of points reported as in shadow by the shadow mapping technique is in case a), the percentage varying from 52.71% to 93.54%, depending on the thickness of the contours and the resolution of the shadow map.

These points are confirmed by this simple test as being correctly classified by the shadow map as in shadow. Taking into account the ground truth of the ray-tracer, and considering all the correctly classified shadow points of the shadow map, this simple test can detect a large number of these points, with percentages varying from 69.20% to 97.96% reported in testing. Unfortunately, when considering the points that, although correctly classified by the shadow map, are not confirmed by this test, a wide range of percentages has been found, ranging from 7.03% to 80.69%.

For points classified as lit by shadow mapping this test may seem useless, as the depth recorded in the texel is larger than the distance from the point to the light source, hence the triangle stored in the texel shouldn't be between the light source and the point being tested. There are however situations where, for a point classified as lit, the light ray actually intersects the triangle whose ID is stored in the shadow map.



Figure 19: Correcting a point wrongly defined in light with the triangle stored in the projected texel.

In Figure 19, the green point projects upon the centre of the texel of the shadow map, so the blue triangle will be saved in the texel, with the distance saved being the one from the light source to the green point. This is an important observation, since other parts of the triangle are at a different distance from the light source. The camera, for one particular pixel, catches the red point. When testing the red point the shadow map will define this point in light, since

the red point is closer to the light source than the green point, the distance saved in the texel. But when testing for intersection, the ray shooting out of the red point will intersect the blue triangle in a place that is actually closer to the light source than the green point (and the red point), and the point will be shadowed.

Tests show that this case seldom occurs, with an average of 0.09% of pixels being corrected this way. Since the number of lit points is significant, the benefits of performing these tests for lit points can be arguable.

All these cases can be seen in Figure 20. Here, the red and orange pixels are the pixels that started in light and shadow respectively and were corrected to shadow or confirmed in shadow respectively. The green and blue pixels represent pixels that started in light and shadow respectively but still need further testing.



Figure 20: Pixel confirmation using texel information.

Concluding, this test consists of a single intersection test for each point reported as in shadow by the shadow mapping algorithm. The test will assure that all points where an intersection occurs are correctly in shadow hence no further testing is required in this case. Considering all tests, an average of 76.39% of the points in shadow in the contours is confirmed by this test. Still remaining for further testing are all the lit points and the remaining shadow points. From these remaining shadow points, 10.71% should be in light and 12.90% should be in shadow.

#### **3.3.USING THE INFORMATION OF THE NEIGHBOURING TEXELS**

As referred above, there are still many pixels that, after testing for intersection with the triangle stored in the texel where the point being tested projects upon, require further testing. The problem is that the texel doesn't always have the information of the triangle that actually shades the point, which may happen if this triangle doesn't project onto the centre of the pixel. So in order to improve the odds of finding the triangle that actually shades the point intersections tests will be performed with nearby triangles. In this section the triangles that are stored in the texels neighbouring the texel that the point projects upon will be checked. Two levels of neighbouring will be detailed. The first, besides verifying the centre texel, will verify the three closest neighbours to the quadrant of the texel where the point being tested projects upon. The second one will verify all of the nine texels surrounding the projected point.



Figure 21: The two cases of neighbouring texels.

The outcomes for points classified as in shadow by the shadow mapping algorithm are similar to the ones when testing with only one texel. So regarding points classified in shadow the outcomes will once again be:

- a) the light ray intersects one of the triangles saved on the neighbouring texels;
- b) the light ray doesn't intersect any of these triangles.

Once again, points in a) can be concluded to be correctly in shadow, while points in b) will need even further testing. An example of both cases can be found in Figure 22.


Figure 22: Cases using neighbouring texel information with a triangle stored in the centre texel.

In Figure 22 are the cases referred above, with the red point representing case a) and the green point representing case b). The texel where the points project upon has information of the orange triangle stored and the texel below it has information of the yellow triangle stored. For the red point, intersection with the yellow triangle will succeed and the point will be correctly shadowed. But for the green point, the intersection with the yellow triangle will fail and the point will be defined as lit. But once again, it is unknown if the point is correctly lit or if there is a triangle that shades the point that wasn't stored in any of the neighbouring texels, a possible case represented by the blue dashed lines.

Using only the closest four neighbours tests with this method have confirmed 58.52% to 95.65% of the points classified as in shadow by the shadow map. If only the correctly classified shadowed points are taken into account, then the percentage of confirmed points ranges from 76.82% to 99.86%. Considering the unconfirmed points, the percentage of correctly classified points ranges from 1.65 to 69.75%. When using nine neighbours these percentages are clearly superior, with the range of confirmed points going from 62.77% to 95.74%. Considering only the correctly shadowed points the range of confirmed points is from 79.83% to 99.96%. Finally, amongst the unconfirmed points, between 0.54% and 65.39% are correctly classified as in shadow.

For points classified as lit by shadow mapping, and as opposed to the single texel test, there may be information in the neighbour texels that is helpful in correcting the pixel. So for each

scene point, and considering the neighbour texels, cases a) and b) will be considered again. If a neighbour texel contains a triangle that is intersected by the light ray from the point, case a), then the point was mislabelled as lit by the shadow map algorithm. All scene points that are contained in this case can be safely classified as in shadow and no further testing is needed for these points. As for points in b) these scene points may have no triangle recorded in the neighbour texels as shown for the green point. Hence using this test there is no conclusive information regarding the shadow status of the point.



Figure 23: Cases using neighbouring texel information without a triangle stored in the centre texel.

The tests performed report that, when using four neighbours, the percentage of initially lit points that can be corrected ranges from 0.64% to 29.25%. When considering only the misclassified lit points the percentages of corrected pixels range from 29.73% to 97.94%. Regarding points that can't be corrected, because no suitable triangle intersecting the light ray is found on the neighbourhood, 82.86% to 99.91% are correctly classified as lit. As in the shadowed points, these percentages get better with a larger neighbourhood. Using the nine neighbouring texels the percentage of corrected points ranges from 0.81% to 30.03%. Considering only the incorrectly classified points, the percentage of correct points ranges from 37.07% to 98.97%. Regarding the points that the method is unable to either correct or confirm, the percentage of correctly classified points goes from 84.16% to 99.96%.

All these cases can be seen in Figure 24. Here nine neighbouring texels are used. The red and orange pixels are the pixels that started in light and shadow respectively and were corrected

to shadow or confirmed in shadow respectively. The green and blue pixels represent pixels that started in light and shadow respectively but still need further testing. Basically the same colour scheme that was used with the single texel approach.



Figure 24: Pixel confirmation using neighbouring texel information with 8 neighbours.

Concluding, this test consists in intersecting the light ray with the triangles stored in the texels neighbouring the texel where the point being tested projects upon. Regarding the points initially classified as in shadow by the shadow mapping algorithm, only those that were not verified by the single texel test should be tested. All points that fall in case a) are confirmed as in shadow. For lit points, as defined by the shadow mapping approach, this test allows the correction of initially incorrectly classified points, and their lighting status can be safely updated from lit to shadow. Results show that a significant number of pixels originally classified as lit are corrected, and also a significant number of points originally in shadow are confirmed.

#### **3.4.USING TEXEL COHERENCE**

As seen in (Sen, Cammarano, & Hanrahan, 2003), (Chan & Durand, 2004) and (Beister, Ernst, & Stamminger, 2005), a strong hint for the shadow status of a pixel comes from looking not only at the respective texel, but also to the texel neighbourhood coherency. The approach considers whether the neighbouring texels are coherent regarding the shadow status of the pixel.

It uses the neighbourhood texels to determine whether a scene point is in shadow. For each texel in the neighbourhood the test compares if the depth stored in the shadow map is greater than the distance from the scene point to the light, and classifies it accordingly. If all neighbour texels agree then it can be said that there is coherency regarding the shadow status of a pixel, or scene point.

Note that this differs from the approach from the previous section, as in here only the depths are being tested. There is no intersection test. Therefore this test is faster than the previous ones, where actual intersection tests were used, but it provides no guarantee for the pixel shadow status, it is merely a hint. Miscalculations are possible, i.e. a scene point with texel coherency may in fact be misclassified.

When the neighbourhood includes only four pixels this is equivalent to using Percentage Closer Filtering (PCF) when only results of zero or one, the results that show texel coherency, are considered.



Figure 25: Examples of PCF results.

As mentioned before, tests show that the percentage of miscalculations for scene points where there is texel coherence is fairly small. If the user is willing to accept these small error rates then PCF can be applied and texel coherence can be used to determine which points are likely in shadow or lit. This greatly reduces the number of points which require testing.

In Figure 26 some examples of these cases can be observed. PCF with 4 texels is used to check for texel coherency. The purple pixels represent the pixels of the contour that don't have texel coherency. The pixels in red are pixels that were hinted correctly as being in light, with green pixels being pixels that were incorrectly hinted as in light. Similarly, the pixels in

orange and in blue represent pixels hinted as shadowed and incorrectly hinted as shadowed respectively.



Figure 26: Pixel confirmation using PCF with four texels.

Considering only the points initially classified as in shadow, the percentage of points that have texel coherence goes from 2.37% to 90.15%. Incorrect hints are rare, with percentages up to 0.75%.Regarding points initially classified as in light, the percentage of points that have texel coherence ranges from 2.42% to 91.70%. The range of percentages for incorrect hints is higher tough, ranging going up to 7.07% in some scenes.

The test can be expanded to cover a larger adjacency, for instance using 9 texels instead of 4. Testing with larger adjacencies is only useful if the contours are thicker than two points. The larger the adjacency the less points should gather texel coherence, but the result should be more accurate. As expected, tests confirm both these tendencies. Considering points initially classified as shadow, the percentage of points that have texel coherence with 9 texels varies between 0.00% and 80.86%, with incorrect coherence ranging from 0.00% to 0.10%. As for points classified initially as in light, the percentage of pixels that have coherence with nine texels ranges from 0.00% to 82.27% and incorrect coherences range from 0.00% to 1.32%.

Concluding, texel coherence is a good indicator of a point status given the small error margins in average. Note however that the percentage of hinted points has a very wide range of values.

#### **3.5.USING GEOMETRIC ADJACENCY INFORMATION**

Another possibility to test the pixel shadow status is to use geometric adjacency information. The goal is to test intersections not only with the triangle whose ID is stored in the texel, but also with all triangles that share an edge or a vertex with the aforementioned triangle.

Unlike the previous tests, the adjacency information isn't readily available in the shadow map, but this information can easily be accessed if lists with the IDs of the adjacent triangles to each triangle of the scene are previously created. These lists can be created as a pre-processing stage since the adjacency of a scene is usually static, even in dynamic scenes. There are effects that may alter the models of the scene, altering the adjacency information, but these effects are rare, and the particular models that are subject to these effects could be left out of this test.

As mentioned before, two levels of adjacency can be considered: edge and vertex, referred in here as first and second level adjacencies. A triangle in the first level is considered to be adjacent if it shares an edge with the triangle stored in the texel. In the second level any triangle that shares a vertex shall be considered adjacent.

Considering only the points originally reported as in shadow by the shadow mapping algorithm, the light ray will either intersect one of the triangles being tested or it won't intersect any of the triangles, resulting in the same a) and b) cases above respectively. And once more, the points in case a) will be correct and will need no further testing, while the points in case b) will need further testing. An example of case a) and b) for this test will be viewed below in Figure 27 and Figure 28 respectively.



Figure 27: Using adjacent geometry information for case a).



Figure 28: Using adjacent geometry information for case b).

In Figure 27 both points represent case a). The texel has the information of the orange triangle stored. When testing intersection for both points, the yellow point will be shadowed by the blue triangle found in the first level of adjacency of the orange triangle and the red point will be shadowed by the green triangle found in the second level of adjacency. As for case b), this case is represented in Figure 28 by the black point. The texel, the whole box, has the information of the orange triangle stored. When testing the black point for intersection with every adjacent triangle, these intersections will fail, so once more it is unknown if this point should be changed to light due to having no triangles shading it or if there exists a triangle, marked by the dashed blue line, out of the adjacency of the orange triangle that would shade the point.

This method is expected to confirm more points in shadow than the single texel approach, and the tests confirm an average increase in the number of point confirmations. In fact, the results point to this being the strongest method to confirm shadow points, topping even the texel neighbouring approaches both with four and nine neighbours.

Regarding points classified as in shadow, this method confirmed a very significant number of those points, with percentages varying from 64.12% to 96.26% with edge adjacency and 67.92% to 97.76% with vertex adjacency. When considering only the correctly classified points, the confirmation percentages range from 78.84% to 99.80% with edge adjacency and 85.69% to 99.98% with vertex adjacency. Focusing on the unconfirmed points, the percentage of correctly classified points goes from 1.15% to 67.85% with edge adjacency, and 0.30% to 56.20% with vertex adjacency.

As for the points defined as lit by shadow mapping, this test will suffer from the same problem as when using only the centre triangle. A point is lit either if there is no triangle covering the texel it projects onto, or there is a single triangle but the distance stored is larger than the distance of the point to the light.

In the first case the method can't be applied since there is no triangle to get adjacencies from. Regarding the second case it is unlikely that a triangle that is further away than the point being tested, actually has adjacent triangles that are closer to the light than the point.

Nevertheless this is possible as shown in Figure 29.



Figure 29: Correcting a point wrongly defined in light using triangle adjacency.

Figure 29 is similar to Figure 19, with the difference that now the ray doesn't intersect the blue triangle. But the ray will intersect the green triangle that is adjacent to the blue triangle, correcting the point that is wrongly defined in light.

But once more, this case rarely happens, so once more the benefits of performing these tests are minimal so this test could be skipped for all lit points.

Figure 30 shows the cases stated above, with the same colouring scheme as seen in previous examples. Red and orange pixels are pixels confirmed that started in light and shadow respectively, where the green and blue pixels are uncertain pixels that started in light and shadow respectively.



Figure 30: Pixel confirmation using geometry adjacency information with 2 levels of adjacency.

To conclude, this test consists of intersections with the geometric adjacency of the triangle stored in the texel. As mentioned before the benefits of applying it to originally lit points are marginal, hence it could be applied only to points shadowed by the shadow map. And once more, the test will assure that for all points where an intersection with the tested triangles occurs no further testing will be needed since these points will definitely be in shadow.

#### **3.6.PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER**

In the previous sections several approaches were proposed to check the shadow status of a pixel as determined by the shadow map approach. All the work was performed only in contour pixels since these are where the majority of errors are to be found.

It is important to note that a point incorrectly classified as in shadow can never be corrected using only these methods. This is due to the fact that there may be a triangle that shades said point which is not a part of the small subset of triangles tested with these methods. On the other hand it is possible to correct a point initially lit as this operation only requires that a triangle be found which is intersected by the point's light ray. Since only a small subset of triangles is being tested it is likely that some points don't get corrected. Similarly it is not possible to confirm a point as being lit and only points initially classified as in shadow can be confirmed.

Texel coherence is a method that relies solely on the texel neighbourhood, and while not able to confirm or correct points, it provides a strong hint regarding the shadow status of a pixel of a significant average number of points. The remaining methods, although computationally more expensive, can actually confirm/correct the shadow status of a pixel.



A summary of each one of the methods can be seen in below in Figure 31.



In Figure 31 the first bar represents the amount of pixels in the contour. The second bar represents the amount of shadow (black) and light (white) pixels after shadow mapping. The third bar marks in grey the pixels that the shadow map incorrectly states as in light or as in

shadow. The fourth bar represents the hinted pixels by the texel coherence method, where the blue light and dark blue colours represent the correctly hinted pixels and the red colour represents the incorrectly hinted pixels. The fifth bar represents the results of the neighbouring texel method, where the dark green represents confirmed shadow pixels and the light green represents corrected light pixels. Finally, the sixth bar represents the results of the adjacent geometry method, where the orange colour represents the confirmed shadow pixels. The colour code found above will be used in similar graphics from here on forth.

From all the methods above, only the neighbouring texels method is actually capable of correcting the shadow status of a point. Hence this method could in principle be applied as a standalone method. Although only points initially classified as in light can be corrected the range of improvement can go all the way up 97.94%. However the improvements are not perceptually significant as the incorrectly classified pixels in shadow are too prominent.

Another option is to consider these methods as a step whose goal is to reduce the workload of a regular ray-tracer. In this context, all confirmed/hinted/corrected points would not require further work, hence reducing the burden of the ray-tracer to verify only the remaining points.

In this section some ways of chaining the previous methods together to improve the shadow map result and to reduce the workload of a full ray-tracer to obtain the correct shadows will be proposed.

Texel coherence, as mentioned before, does not correct the shadow status of a pixel, yet it provides a strong hint of its status. It could be used as the first step, to significantly reduce the workload of the remaining steps. In a progressive approach, this method could be used in the first step when the camera is moving to speed things up, and afterwards, when the camera is still, it could be discarded. Another scenario is for this method to kick in when the frame rate drops below a defined threshold.

Texel coherence hints from 2.37% to 90.15% of the points initially classified as in shadow, and 2.42% to 91.70% for those initially classified as lit.

As for the remaining methods these should be applied starting from the least computationally expensive, the texel neighbourhood, followed by the adjacent triangles method. These methods will only be applied on points that have not been resolved in the previous steps.

After applying the texel neighbourhood method (nine neighbours) to the non-hinted points, and considering the shadow map classification, from 63.03% up to 96.77% of the points initially classified as in shadow are confirmed/hinted, and 26.97% - 92.50% of the previously lit points are now either hinted or corrected.

The adjacent triangles approach is only applied to points initially classified as in shadow, and it shall be applied to the points that have not been hinted/confirmed before. The range of hinted/confirmed points goes up to 68.07% to 97.78% after applying all the methods.

These methods combined are able to confirm a very significant number of initially shadowed pixels shadow, and even correct an also significant number of pixels initially reported as in light. As for the pixels that still remain unconfirmed after all the previous steps, (2.22%-31.93% in shadow and 7.50%-73.03% in light), these can be confirmed/corrected by using ray-tracing. This way the amount of pixels that need ray-tracing will be greatly reduced. Figure 32 below represents the average of results of the combination provided above.



Figure 32: Average of corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods: a) contour pixels; b) shadow map results separated in shadow (black) and light (white); c) errors of the shadow map (gray); d) correct (blue) and incorrect (red) hints using texel coherence; e) confirmed shadow (dark green) and corrected light (light green) pixels by neighbouring texels; f) confirmed shadow pixels (orange) by adjacent geometry; g) nonhinted/uncorrected/unconfirmed pixels (yellow).

In Figure 32 the first four bars represent the same as in Figure 31. The remaining bars show further steps in the chaining of methods. The fifth bar represents the results after the neighbouring texels method and the sixth bar represents the results after using the adjacent geometry method. The final bar marks in yellow all pixels that remained non-hinted, unconfirmed or uncorrected.

#### **3.7.CONCLUSION**

This chapter presented a study on the location and identification of shadow mapping errors. The first goal was to narrow down the location of errors. Shadow map errors can be present in any part of the image, yet the vast majority seems to be located in average on the contours of the shadows. Focusing on this area reduces significantly the search for shadow mapping errors.

The next step was to figure out if it is possible to evaluate the correctness of the shadow mapping shadow or light status. Several methods were proposed that can confirm the correctness of a pixel in the rendered scene for pixels in shadow and some of these methods are even able to determine if a pixel is incorrectly lit.

These methods use information readily available on the shadow map. The adjacency method also requires a table of adjacencies for each triangle. Adjacencies can be vertex or edge based.

One of the methods, texel coherence, is not able to either correct/confirm any pixel, yet it provides a strong hint about its correctness. Few errors are to be found in these hints, and the method is extremely fast, in fact as fast as PCF shadow mapping.

Since each method has its strengths and weaknesses, an algorithm was proposed to chain these methods together. The algorithm starts by identifying the contours of the shadow areas and then proceeds to use each of the methods described in this section. Each method corrects/confirms a set of pixels, and the remaining uncorrected/unconfirmed pixels pass on to the next stage.

The methods are only able to confirm that a pixel in shadow is actually in shadow, i.e. they are unable to determine if a pixel in shadow should be lit. The test is performed with a limited number of triangles hence there is no guarantee that there is not a triangle in the full data set

that covers it. Similarly, for pixels that remain uncorrected in light, these were only tested with a limited number of triangles, so the same reasoning applies.

At the end of the chain, the percentage of uncorrected/unconfirmed pixels is severely reduced. These pixels can be correctly shadowed/lit but the information available on does not allow its verification. To determine the shadow status of these remaining pixels a full ray-tracer is required. However, applying a full ray-tracer to only these pixels severely reduces this last step, making it affordable for real-time shadows.

# 4. ALGORITHM TESTING

The descriptions of the approaches referred above were put together after an observation of some test results of these approaches. In this chapter some of these tests will be observed in greater detail. These tests consisted in using the proposed approaches to obtain the image viewed by the camera in a scene. Afterwards these images were compared to their ray-tracer and shadow mapping equivalents in order to ascertain the quality of the results of the approach.

This chapter will start by presenting the scenes and viewpoints being tested and the ray-traced shadows of these scenes. Afterwards this chapter will follow the algorithm proposed in the previous chapter, presenting an average of all of the tests and the best and worst case scenarios of the tests of each respective approach when used by itself and also for the proposed algorithm after passing through the specified approach. As for specifics of the tests there will be three contour thicknesses that will be tested. These contours will be two, four and six pixels thick and may also be described by referring to dilations, corresponding to the simple contour and the contours with one and two dilations respectively. Tests will also be done with shadow map resolutions of 1024x1024 and 2048x2048, a viewport resolution of 1024x1024 and three viewpoints for each one of the four scenes. The view frustum will have the minimum size needed to contain the objects being seen by the camera, including also all the geometry that could influence lighting, from each one of the viewpoints. The light used will be a directional light in all cases. In order to not clutter up this chapter with result tables, only some of the results will be displayed here. The results that will be displayed are the best and worst cases for each shadow map resolution for each one of the approaches by itself, the proposed algorithm, which will be observed throughout each algorithm step, and also the average of every result. There will also be an average case that will be followed throughout the chapter, the "with" viewpoint of the "bench" scene (information of scenes and viewpoints tested will be observed in detail below) when using a two pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map. This case was chosen due to its results being close to those of the average results. Except if stated otherwise, the results presented here will be the percentage of pixels in relation to the total amount of pixels inside the contour being tested, be it the actual total amount, when nothing is referred, or the total amount of light or shadow

pixels, when the percentage is explicitly related to light or shadow pixels. The remaining results, not presented in this chapter, can be seen in detail in the appendix.

#### **4.1.TEST SCENES**

The following images will show the scenes and that will be used for testing and the various viewpoints that will be used for said tests.

The first scene, represented in Figure 33, consists of a simple scene with a plane with a torus, a cylinder, a pyramid and a sphere on top. All this geometry has a total of 4944 triangles. This scene will be called "Primitives". Information of light, field of view and cameras of each viewpoint can be observed in Table 1.

| Vieumoint       |           | Coordinates    |                |          |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------|--|--|--|
| viewpoliit      |           | Х              | у              | Z        |  |  |  |
| Sida            | Position  | 35.0           | 50.0           | -43.0    |  |  |  |
| Side            | Direction | -1.0           | -1.2           | 1.0      |  |  |  |
| With            | Position  | -28.0          | 38.0           | -40.0    |  |  |  |
|                 | Direction | 0.4            | -0.7           | 0.6      |  |  |  |
| Against         | Position  | 31.0           | 54.0           | 38.0     |  |  |  |
| Against         | Direction | -0.4           | -0.7           | -0.5     |  |  |  |
| Light Direction |           | 0.528542       | -0.376004      | 0.761095 |  |  |  |
| View Frustum    |           | Far Plane: 250 | Near Plane: 20 | FoV: 60° |  |  |  |

Table 1: Information of the first scene.



Figure 33: The side (left), with (centre) and against (right) viewpoints of the first scene.

The second scene, represented in Figure 34 consists of a scene with two trees, a lamp, a flower box and a bench on a plane. The scene has a total of 55026 triangles. This scene will be called "Bench". Information of light, camera and field of view of this scene can be observed in Table 2.

| Viewpoint       |           | Coordinates    |                |           |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| viewpoliit      |           | Х              | у              | Z         |  |  |
| Sida            | Position  | -23.277        | 18.541         | 30.143    |  |  |
| Side            | Direction | 0.397          | -0.644774      | -0.652    |  |  |
| With            | Position  | -37.034573     | 35.208973      | -8.597797 |  |  |
|                 | Direction | 0.605439       | -0.732089      | 0.312232  |  |  |
| Against         | Position  | 27.214222      | 27.875109      | 27.032139 |  |  |
| Against         | Direction | -0.560848      | -0.777942      | -0.283293 |  |  |
| Light Direction |           | 0.744          | -0.408         | 0.527     |  |  |
| View Frustum    |           | Far Plane: 120 | Near Plane: 15 | FoV: 60°  |  |  |

Table 2: Information of the second scene.



Figure 34: The side (left), with (centre) and against (right) viewpoints of the second scene.

The third scene, called "Trees", will use the same models as the second scene, but will focus attention on an area of the ground where only the shadows of the trees will be seen. Since the trees are constituted by big triangles, this will allow the evaluation of the effect of big triangles on the results. Information of cameras of each viewpoint can be observed in Table 3.

| Viewpoint       |           | Coordinates    |                |            |  |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|------------|--|
| viewpoint       |           | Х              | У              | Z          |  |
| With            | Position  | 42.947086      | 24.103859      | -27.831772 |  |
| vv Itil         | Direction | 0.415959       | -0.784187      | 0.460467   |  |
| 0'1             | Position  | 76.844704      | 28.391548      | -31.870102 |  |
| Side            | Direction | -0.232891      | -0.79644       | 0.558073   |  |
| Against         | Position  | 90.805244      | 35.846294      | 24.061787  |  |
| Agamst          | Direction | -0.421061      | -0.852832      | 0.350347   |  |
| Light Direction |           | 0.744          | -0.408         | 0.527      |  |
| View Frustum    |           | Far Plane: 120 | Near Plane: 15 | FoV: 60°   |  |

Table 3: Information of the third scene.



Figure 35: The with (left), side (centre) and against (right) viewpoints of the third scene.

The fourth scene, named "Flowers", will also use the same models as the second scene, but will closely observe the shadows cast by the flowers. The flowers are modelled with very small triangles, allowing the visualization the effect of small geometry on the algorithm. In Table 4 the information of the camera of each viewpoint can be viewed.

| Viewpoint       |           | Coordinates    |                |           |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| viewpoliit      |           | Х              | У              | Z         |  |  |  |
| Side            | Position  | -3.615331      | 22.376335      | 2.338565  |  |  |  |
| Side            | Direction | -0.387214      | -0.852832      | 0.350347  |  |  |  |
| Anningt         | Position  | -3.263903      | 24.423452      | 12.998949 |  |  |  |
| Agamst          | Direction | -0.239566      | -0.958412      | -0.155095 |  |  |  |
| With            | Position  | -17.561422     | 24.968716      | 4.010894  |  |  |  |
| vv Itli         | Direction | 0.386402       | -0.873032      | 0.297505  |  |  |  |
| Light Direction |           | 0.744          | -0.408         | 0.527     |  |  |  |
| View Frustum    |           | Far Plane: 120 | Near Plane: 15 | FoV: 60°  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Information of the fourth scene.



Figure 36: The side (left), against (centre) and with (right) viewpoints of the fourth scene.

#### **4.2.RAY-TRACER**

The first step is to show the results for each scene and viewpoint obtained by the implemented ray-tracer. The ray-tracer results are the ground truth that will be used to test

everything else against. The results below will show each scene when shadowed and a binary lit/shadowed image of the shadowing of each scene.



Figure 37: Ray-tracer results for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 38: Ray-tracer results for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 39: Ray-tracer results for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 40: Ray-tracer results for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 41: Ray-tracer results for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 42: Ray-tracer results for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 43: Ray-tracer results for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 44: Ray-tracer results for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 45: Ray-tracer results for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 46: Ray-tracer results for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 47: Ray-tracer results for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 48: Ray-tracer results for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.

# **4.3.SHADOW MAPPING ERRORS**

Now the shadow mapping results will be compared against the results of the ray-tracer. With the shadow mapping results calculated the contours can be found and with the errors calculated tests can be made in order to find out if in fact the contours contain the majority of the errors of the shadow mapping approach. In this sub-chapter the percentages of errors caught inside contours will be presented.

|                   |         |                       | Augrago    |            |            |          |
|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|
|                   | Average | 1024x1024             |            | 2048x2048  |            | Average  |
|                   |         | Best Case             | Worst Case | Best Case  | Worst Case | Case     |
| Scene             |         | Primitives Primitives |            | Primitives | Flowers    | Bench    |
| Viewpoint         |         | Side/With             | Against    | Side       | Side       | With     |
| Contour Size      |         | 6 pixels              | 2 pixels   | 6 pixels   | 2 pixels   | 2 pixels |
| Caught Errors (%) | 92.41   | 100.00                | 57.42      | 100.00     | 81.00      | 97.12    |

Table 5: Percentages of errors inside the contours.

As seen in Table 5, in average over 90% of the shadow map errors are caught inside the contours. Below are the images of the results of the best, worst and medium case scenarios. The contours are marked with green, the errors outside the contour are in blue and the errors inside the contour are in red and yellow if the pixel is incorrectly in light and shadow respectively.



Figure 49: Best case of shadow map errors being caught inside contours with a 2048x2048 shadow map.

In the best case scenarios seen in above, with both shadow map resolutions the results have a small amount of errors, so by using a 6 pixel thick contour, this small amount of errors will be caught inside the contours.



Figure 50: Worst case of shadow map errors being caught inside contours with a 2048x2048 shadow map.

The worst case scenarios seen above use thinner contours and the big amount of aliasing causes the thin contour to not be able to catch many of the errors of the shadow map. The worst case when using a 1024x1024 shadow map has a lower percentage of errors caught, since with smaller shadow map resolutions bigger aliasing will be present.



Figure 51: Average case of shadow map errors being caught inside contours.

The medium case catches a big amount of the errors inside the contours, even when using a two pixel thick contour. The errors that aren't caught inside the contours can be found in the area shadowed by the small geometry that constitutes the flowers.

|                         |         |                   | A                |           |            |          |
|-------------------------|---------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|----------|
|                         | Average | 10242             | x1024            | 2048x2048 |            | Average  |
|                         |         | Best Case         | Worst Case       | Best Case | Worst Case | Case     |
| Scene                   |         | Primitives        | Primitives Trees |           | Trees      | Bench    |
| Viewpoint               |         | With Against      |                  | With      | With       | With     |
| Contour Size            |         | 6 pixels 2 pixels |                  | 6 pixels  | 2 pixels   | 2 pixels |
| Errors (%)              | 11.09   | 3.40              | 26.68            | 1.75      | 20.50      | 5.06     |
| Errors in Light (%)     | 11.50   | 3.06              | 25.41            | 1.41      | 18.93      | 4.31     |
| Errors in Shadow<br>(%) | 10.71   | 3.89              | 27.93            | 2.22      | 22.07      | 5.99     |

Also, to be used as comparison with the proposed approaches, the information of the percentage of incorrect pixels of the contour is presented below.

Table 6: Percentage of contour pixels that are incorrect.

Figure 52 below presents the average of results for the shadow map separated by contour thickness. Each bar is divided in three smaller bars corresponding, from top to bottom, to the 2, 4 and 6 pixel thick contours.



Figure 52: Average shadow map results separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom).

## **4.4.USING TEXEL COHERENCE**

Now the results of the texel coherence will be presented. Since this is the first step in the proposed algorithm, the results of using this approach by itself and within the algorithm are the same.

|   |         | Shadow Map |            |                      |          | A        |
|---|---------|------------|------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
|   | Average | 1024       | x1024      | 2048x2048            |          | Average  |
|   | _       | Best Case  | Worst Case | Worst Case Best Case |          | Case     |
| Scene                                   |         | Primitives | Trees      | Primitives           | Trees    | Bench    |
| Viewpoint                               |         | With       | Against    | With                 | With     | With     |
| Contour Size                            |         | 6 pixels   | 2 pixels   | 6 pixels             | 2 pixels | 2 pixels |
| Confirmations (%)                       | 58.30   | 82.80      | 2.39       | 91.06                | 21.24    | 57.98    |
| Confirmations in<br>Light (%)           | 58.52   | 84.26      | 2.42       | 91.70                | 21.13    | 59.69    |
| Confirmations in<br>Shadow (%)          | 57.96   | 80.76      | 2.37       | 90.15                | 21.35    | 56.25    |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light (%)  | 0.55    | 0.04       | 0.00       | 0.03                 | 0.00     | 0.56     |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow (%) | 0.12    | 0.00       | 0.00       | 0.00                 | 0.00     | 0.17     |

Table 7: Percentage of confirmations by PCF with four texels.

As seen in Table 7, in average almost 60% of the pixels inside a contour are confirmed when using texel coherence with four texels. Of the pixels confirmed in light or in shadow the average of incorrect confirmations is less than 1%, so the amount of errors is small. The images of the best and worst cases will be shown below. In these images, the purple pixels are uncertain pixels, the light grey pixels are pixels confirmed as lit, in dark grey are pixels confirmed as shadowed, in red are pixels incorrectly confirmed as lit and in yellow are pixels incorrectly confirmed as shadowed.



Figure 53: Best case of texel coherence confirmation using four texels and a 2048x2048 shadow map.

In the best case scenarios the scene and the viewpoint are the same in both shadow map resolutions. In both cases the amount of confirmed pixels is high because a big amount of the shadowed area appears due to objects facing away from the light source instead of shadowing due to other objects, which helps in the confirmation of a bigger amount of pixels since all approaches use normals to check if an object is facing away from the direction of the light or not.



Figure 54: Worst case of texel coherence confirmation using four texels and a 2048x2048 shadow map.

In the worst case scenarios barely any pixel is confirmed with the use of texel coherence. All of the shadowed area in these images comes from shadows cast by other objects, so the PCF used will not have any shadow confirmed due to surfaces facing away from the light source. Also, it is known that the bigger amount of errors of the shadow map can be found in the contours, so it is logical that all of the indecisions of using texel coherence can be found in the contours. By using such a thin contour, this contour will not be able to catch much more than uncertain pixels.



Figure 55: Average case of texel coherence confirmation using four texels.

In the medium case the uncertain pixels can be found in bigger quantity around the shadows cast by the flowers and the bench. A thin line of uncertain pixels can also be found surrounding the shadows cast by the trees.

|   |         | Shadow Map |              |            |            | Average  |  |
|---|---------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------|--|
|   | Average | 1024       | x1024        | 2048       | x2048      | Average  |  |
|   |         | Best Case  | Worst Case   | Best Case  | Worst Case | Case     |  |
| Scene                                   |         | Primitives | Trees        | Primitives | Trees      | Bench    |  |
| Viewpoint                               |         | With       | With/Against | With       | Against    | With     |  |
| Contour Size                            |         | 6 pixels   | 2 pixels     | 6 pixels   | 2 pixels   | 2 pixels |  |
| Confirmations (%)                       | 43.02   | 73.56      | 0.00         | 81.69      | 2.31       | 34.23    |  |
| Confirmations in<br>Light (%)           | 42.76   | 75.47      | 0.00         | 82.27      | 2.29       | 36.00    |  |
| Confirmations in<br>Shadow (%)          | 43.15   | 70.86      | 0.00         | 80.86      | 2.32       | 32.43    |  |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light (%)  | 0.28    | 0.03       | 0.00         | 0.01       | 0.00       | 0.29     |  |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow (%) | 0.02    | 0.00       | 0.00         | 0.00       | 0.00       | 0.00     |  |

Table 8: Percentage of confirmations by PCF with nine texels.

When using 9 texels for texel coherence, the amount of confirmations averages a little over 40%. As expected, the amount of confirmations is lower, but also the amount of errors introduced. The best case scenarios are the same as when using texel coherence with four texels. In the worst case scenarios, when using a  $2048 \times 2048$  shadow map the worst case scenario is different and when using a  $1024 \times 1024$  shadow map besides the worst case

scenario when using four texels, there is another case that ties with it for worst case, with both these tied cases having no pixel confirmed. The reasoning behind these cases is similar as when using four texels for coherence, so no need to repeat the explanation again.



Figure 56: Best case of texel coherence confirmation using nine texels and a 2048x2048 shadow map.



Figure 57: Worst case of texel coherence confirmation using nine texels and a 2048x2048 shadow map.



Figure 58: Average case of texel coherence confirmation using nine texels.

As observed above, when using four texels for coherence the amount of confirmations is in average 15% more than when using nine texels, with the amount of confirmation errors still staying below 1%. So in the proposed algorithms four texels will be used for coherence.

Below is a graphic with the averages of results of texel coherence with four texels.



Figure 59: Average results of texel coherence with four texels separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom).

## **4.5.USING TEXEL INFORMATION**

Following below are the results when using the triangle stored in the centre texel. The tables below will show the results of exclusively using this approach. The results of using this approach in the proposed algorithm won't be shown as these results are included in the neighbouring texels step. The results of the algorithm used alone will display two percentages. The first percentage is the percentage of errors in the contour after using the approach, since in this case rays that don't intersect the triangle being tested will be considered in light instead of uncertain. As observed in the previous chapter, all of the errors are pixels that should be in shadow but will be in light due to the fact that the triangle that actually shades the point being tested isn't the one stored in the texel. The second percentage, between parentheses, presents the percentage of light pixels that were corrected in relation to the total amount of incorrect light pixels or the percentage of shadow pixels that were confirmed in relation to the total amount of correct shadow pixels, depending if the pixel started as lit or as shadowed.

|                               |             |                      | A             |             |               |             |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
|                               | Average     | 1024                 | x1024         | 2048        | Average       |             |
|                               |             | Best Case Worst Case |               | Best Case   | Worst Case    | Case        |
| Scene                         |             | Trees                | Trees Flowers |             | Flowers       | Bench       |
| Viewpoint                     |             | Side                 | With          | Side        | With          | With        |
| Contour Size                  |             | 6 pixels 2 pixels    |               | 6 pixels    | 2 pixels      | 2 pixels    |
| Errors (%)                    | 12.06       | 5.72                 | 24.78         | 3.11        | 21.37         | 13.09       |
| Light Pixels<br>Corrected (%) | 0.09 (0.77) | 0.00 (0.00)          | 0.33 (1.31)   | 0.00 (0.06) | 0.59 (3.09)   | 0.11 (1.02) |
| Shadow Pixels                 | 76.39       | 87.38                | 57.03         | 93.54       | 62 72 (72 01) | 75.38       |
| Confirmed (%)                 | (85.50)     | (95.33)              | (70.13)       | (97.65)     | 02.72 (72.01) | (82.79)     |

Table 9: Percentage of errors by only using the information of the centre texel.

As observed in Table 9, the amount of pixels that should be in shadow instead of light is a bit over 12% in average. Also as observed in this table, the amount of light pixels corrected is in average 0.09%, which is a really small amount. This is the reason why in the previous chapter it was stated that it wouldn't be worthwhile to apply this approach on light pixels. The image results of the best and worst cases can be seen below.



Figure 60: Best case of only using centre texel information with a 2048x2048 shadow map.

Observing the images of the best cases, which are results from the same scene and viewpoint, it can be observed that the image isn't as aliased as when using shadow mapping. But there is some light leaking in the areas of the contour, due to the fact that the triangle covering these points wasn't the one stored in the centre texel.



Figure 61: Worst case of using centre texel information by itself with a 2048x2048 shadow map.

In the worst cases images, also from the both scene and viewpoint, it can be observed that there is still a lot of aliasing. By using a thin contour many of the errors were not caught and were not corrected. This aliasing is most visible in the shadows cast by the flowers.



Figure 62: Average case of using centre texel information by itself.

In the medium case the shadow cast by the trees seems a little bit aliased, but the shadow cast by the flowers and the bench seem to have more errors.

#### 4.6. USING THE INFORMATION OF THE NEIGHBOURS OF THE TEXEL

Here the results of using the information stored in the neighbouring texels will be displayed. As before, when using this approach by itself the result will be a binary shadow/lit image, where the errors will all be in the lit area.

|            |               |         |           | Shadow Map |            |           |          |  |
|------------|---------------|---------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|--|
| Number of  |               | Augraga | 1024      | x1024      | 2048       | 2048x2048 |          |  |
| Neighbours |               | Average | Past Casa | Worst      | Past Casa  | Worst     | Case     |  |
|            |               |         | Dest Case | Case       | Dest Case  | Case      |          |  |
|            | Scene         |         | Trees     | Flowers    | Trees      | Flowers   | Bench    |  |
|            | Viewpoint     |         | Side      | With       | Side       | With      | With     |  |
|            | Contour Size  |         | 6 pixels  | 2 pixels   | 6 pixels   | 2 pixels  | 2 pixels |  |
| 4          | Errors (%)    | 6.39    | 0.38      | 16.99      | 0.11       | 14.03     | 7.04     |  |
| neighbours | Light Pixels  | 6.80    | 6.92      | 10.02      | 3.92       | 7.83      | 6.47     |  |
|            | Corrected (%) | (58.20) | (95.74)   | (39.82)    | (97.94)    | (43.48)   | (61.62)  |  |
|            | Shadow Pixels | 81.03   | 91.21     | 62.64      | 95.65      | 69.69     | 81.03    |  |
|            | Confirmed (%) | (90.68) | (99.51)   | (77.02)    | (99.86)    | (80.01)   | (89.00)  |  |
|            | Scene         |         | Trees     | Flowers    | Trees      | Flowers   | Bench    |  |
|            | Viewpoint     |         | Side      | With       | Side       | With      | With     |  |
|            | Contour Size  |         | 6 pixels  | 2 pixels   | 4/6 pixels | 2 pixels  | 2 pixels |  |
| 9          | Errors (%)    | 5.30    | 0.15      | 15.17      | 0.05       | 11.96     | 5.74     |  |
| neighbours | Light Pixels  | 7.46    | 7.08      | 11.41      | 3.96       | 9.13      | 7.07     |  |
| _          | Corrected (%) | (64.25) | (97.96)   | (45.24)    | (98.97)    | (49.84)   | (67.21)  |  |
|            | Shadow Pixels | 82.61   | 91.51     | 64.93      | 95.74      | 72.65     | 83.03    |  |
|            | Confirmed (%) | (92.46) | (99.83)   | (79.83)    | (99.95)    | (83.41)   | (91.20)  |  |

Table 10: Percentage of errors by only using the information of the centre and neighbouring texels.

The cases above are the same as when using only the centre texel (with the exception of one of the best cases sharing its status when using only 4 pixel thick contours), but with better results. The average of errors here are below 7% and 6% when using four and nine neighbours respectively. The results also show that using nine neighbours offers better results than when using only four, so in the algorithm nine neighbours will be used. Below follow the images of these cases. Since the reasoning in this cases is the same as when using only the centre texel, there will be no need to repeat what was said before.



Figure 63: Best case of only using information of four neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map.



Figure 64: Best case of only using information of nine neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map.


Figure 65: Worst case of only using information of four neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map.



Figure 66: Worst case of only information of nine neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map.



Figure 67: Average case of only using information of four neighbouring texels.



Figure 68: Average case of only using information of nine neighbouring texels.

Below is Figure 69 that displays the averages of results when using the neighbouring texels method with nine texels.

| 100% | 90% | 80% | 70% | 60% | 50% | 40% | 30% | 20% | 10% | 0% | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 100% |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| 100% | 90% | 80% | 70% | 60% | 50% | 40% | 30% | 20% | 10% | 0% | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 100% |

Figure 69: Average results of neighbouring texels with nine texels separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom).

#### **4.7.USING GEOMETRIC ADJACENCY INFORMATION**

--

--

7.19

0.25

(2.14)

86.19

(96.51)

Scene

Viewpoint

Contour Size

Errors (%)

Light Pixels

Corrected (%)

Shadow Pixels

Confirmed (%)

2 levels

Shadow Map 1024x1024 2048x2048 Adjacency Average Average Levels Worst Worst Case Best Case Best Case Case Case Primitives Scene Flowers Primitives Flowers Bench Viewpoint With With With With With --Contour Size 6 pixels 2 pixels 6 pixels 2 pixels 2 pixels --Errors (%) 8.93 3.38 21.17 1.46 16.27 9.64 1 level Light Pixels 0.17 0.00 0.00 0.22 0.68 (2.66) 1.12 (5.91) Corrected (%) (1.42)(0.00)(0.00)(2.03)92.27 64.12 72.53 Shadow Pixels 82.71 96.26 82.21 (90.29) (92.59)(96.00)(78.84)(98.44)(83.27)Confirmed (%)

Bench

With

2 pixels

7.29

0.28

(2.64)

86.87

(95.41)

In this section the results of using the information of the adjacent geometry will be displayed.

Table 11: Percentage of errors by only using the information of the centre texel and the adjacent geometry.

Primitives

With

6 pixels

1.85

0.00

(0.00)

95.97

(99.86)

Flowers

With

2 pixels

18.25

1.11 (4.33)

69.69

(85.69)

Primitives

With

6 pixels

0.84

0.00

(0.00)

97.76

(99.97)

Flowers

With

2 pixels

12.28

1.55 (8.13)

80.21

(92.09)

As observed in the previous chapter, the average percentage of errors when using adjacent geometry is higher than when using neighbouring texel information, due to the fact that this case isn't very effective in correcting pixels that are incorrectly in light. Also, as what happened when using the information of the centre texel only, the percentage of light pixels corrected by this approach is extremely low, so this approach won't be used on light pixels in the algorithm. As also observed in the table, by using two levels of adjacency the results are better, so this is what will be used in the algorithm. The observation of the best, worst and middle cases are below.



Figure 70: Best case of only using centre and first level of adjacent geometry information with a 2048x2048 shadow map.





The best case here has changed in relation to the previous approaches. This may be due to the fact that the "trees" scene has many overlapping branches and this approach isn't very effective in getting information of triangles from another branch. On the other hand, in the best case presented here there is barely any object overlap, with almost all of the shadows seen being from the torus, so getting nearby triangles here is much more effective.



Figure 72: Worst case of only using centre and first level of adjacent geometry information with a 2048x2048 shadow

map.



Figure 73: Worst case of only using centre and second level of adjacent geometry information with a 2048x2048 shadow map.

The worst cases are still the same, with the same reasoning as before behind the problems found.



Figure 74: Average case of only using centre and first level of adjacent geometry information.



Figure 75: Average case of only using centre and second level of adjacent geometry information.

In the medium case the aliasing in the shadows cast by the trees is slightly visible, where in the neighbouring texel results it has practically disappeared. The shadows cast by the bench seem good, but in the shadows cast by the flowers some errors can still be observed.

And below Figure 76 shows the average results for the adjacent geometry approach when used with two levels of adjacency.



Figure 76: Average results of adjacent geometry with two levels of adjacency separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom).

### **4.8.PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER**

Here the results of chaining the methods will be presented. Since the texel coherence step is the first one the result of using it by itself an in this chaining will be the same, so the information will not be repeated here.

Below follows the table of the next step of the algorithm that uses the neighbouring texels to confirm extra shadow pixels and correct some of the light pixels. The percentage of the light pixels that will be corrected will be added to the confirmations in light of the texel coherence step to attain the total percentage of light pixels that don't need confirmation or correcting.

|   |         |            |            | Average    |            |          |  |
|---|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|--|
|   | Average | 1024x      | x1024      | 2048       | Average    |          |  |
|   |         | Best Case  | Worst Case | Best Case  | Worst Case | Case     |  |
| Scene                                     |         | Primitives | Trees      | Primitives | Trees      | Bench    |  |
| Viewpoint                                 |         | With       | Against    | With       | With       | With     |  |
| Contour Size                              |         | 6 pixels   | 2 pixels   | 6 pixels   | 2 pixels   | 2 pixels |  |
| Confirmations (%)                         | 75.04   | 88.16      | 49.09      | 94.27      | 58.71      | 76.36    |  |
| Confirmations/Corrections<br>in Light (%) | 65.98   | 85.43      | 26.97      | 92.50      | 39.68      | 66.79    |  |
| Confirmations in Shadow<br>(%)            | 84.13   | 92.00      | 71.13      | 96.77      | 77.68      | 86.04    |  |

Table 12: Percentage of confirmations by algorithm after using neighbouring texel information.

The average percentages of confirmations have risen about 5% each in relation to the previous step. Now the pixels that are confirmed average 75% of the total amount of pixels in the contours, so only 25% pixels remain for confirmation. The best, worst and middle case scenario images are shown below.



Figure 77: Best case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map.

The best case scenarios still are the same, with a slight increase of percentage of confirmed pixels, between a 1% and 2% increase.



Figure 78: Worst case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the neighbouring texels with a 2048x2048 shadow map.

The worst cases seen above have an increase of confirmations of over 10% in relation to the previous step. Now even the worst cases have almost or even over 50% of pixel confirmations, depending in the resolution of the shadow map.



Figure 79: Average case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the neighbouring texels.

The amount of confirmed pixels in the medium case has increased by approximately 5%, with light pixels seeing a 7% confirmation increase and shadow pixels seeing an increase of over 3% of pixels confirmed. By observing Figure 79, the shadows cast by the trees are steadily losing unconfirmed pixels, and even in the shadows cast by the flowers and the bench the grey pixels demarking confirmed pixels are starting to be more visible.

The graphics below present the average of results of the algorithm after the neighbouring texel step.



Figure 80: Average results of the algorithm after the neighbouring texel step separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom).

Following below are the results of the algorithm after passing through the adjacent geometry step.

|                                |         |            |            | Average    |            |          |  |
|--------------------------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|--|
|                                | Average | 1024       | x1024      | 2048       | Gase       |          |  |
|                                |         | Best Case  | Worst Case | Best Case  | Worst Case | Case     |  |
| Scene                          |         | Primitives | Trees      | Primitives | Trees      | Bench    |  |
| Viewpoint                      |         | With       | Against    | With       | With       | With     |  |
| Contour Size                   |         | 6 pixels   | 2 pixels   | 6 pixels   | 2 pixels   | 2 pixels |  |
| Confirmations (%)              | 76.74   | 89.81      | 49.52      | 94.68      | 58.82      | 78.00    |  |
| Confirmations in<br>Shadow (%) | 87.63   | 96.00      | 71.98      | 97.78      | 85.63      | 89.34    |  |

Table 13: Percentage of confirmations by algorithm after using adjacent geometry information.

As seen above, the average of confirmations has risen almost 2%, with the confirmations in shadow increasing 3% in average. The best, worst and middle cases will be seen below.



Figure 81: Best case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the adjacent geometry with a 2048x2048 shadow map.

The best cases see a slight increase in confirmations, with a 1% increase when using a 1024x1024 shadow map and an under 0.5% increase when using a 2048x2048 shadow map.



Figure 82: Worst case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the adjacent geometry with a 2048x2048 shadow map.

The worst cases have increases in confirmation of less than 1%, so in these cases this step didn't change the result much.



Figure 83: Average case of algorithm pixel confirmation after using information of the adjacent geometry.

In the medium case result the increase of pixel confirmation is over 1%, with the confirmation of shadow pixels increasing over 3%, almost reaching a 90% pixel confirmation. This is visible in Figure 83 with the increase of grey pixels in the shadows cast by the flowers.

The graphics seen in Figure 84 below show the average of results of the algorithm after the adjacent geometry step.



Figure 84: Average results of the algorithm after the adjacent geometry step separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom).

And finally below the graphics will mark with yellow the pixels that remained not hinted, uncorrected or unconfirmed.



Figure 85: Average results of the algorithm after the adjacent geometry step with pixels that were not confirmed, corrected or hinted marked, separated by contour thickness with a 1024x1024 shadow map (top) and a 2048x2048 shadow map (bottom).

#### **4.9.FINAL OBSERVATIONS**

As seen above, after the proposed algorithm an average of 75% of the pixels in the contours is confirmed, leaving around 25% unconfirmed pixels. These pixels can then be passed to a ray-tracer to be tested, effectively decreasing the pixels in the contour that need ray-tracing to an average of one fourth of the total amount of contour pixels. After using ray-tracing, the errors that the contours will have will only be those introduced in the texel coherence step.

|   |         |            | Shadow Map |              |            |          |  |  |
|---|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|----------|--|--|
|   | Average | 10242      | x1024      | 2048         | x2048      | Average  |  |  |
|   |         | Best Case  | Worst Case | Best Case    | Worst Case | Case     |  |  |
| Scene                                   |         | Trees      | Flowers    | Trees        | Flowers    | Bench    |  |  |
| Viewpoint                               |         | Side       | With       | Side         | With       | With     |  |  |
| Contour Size                            |         | 2/4 pixels | 2 pixels   | 2/4/6 pixels | 2 pixels   | 2 pixels |  |  |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations (%)              | 0.33    | 0.00       | 1.59       | 0.00         | 0.93       | 0.37     |  |  |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light (%)  | 0.55    | 0.04       | 0.00       | 0.03         | 0.00       | 0.56     |  |  |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow (%) | 0.12    | 0.00       | 0.00       | 0.00         | 0.00       | 0.17     |  |  |

Table 14: Percentage of wrong confirmations after applying the algorithm and ray-tracing uncertain pixels.

The best cases are only an example, as the other viewpoints of the "trees" scene also have examples where the amount of confirmation errors is zero. The best cases come from the scene where the triangles are bigger and the worst cases come from the scene where the triangles are smaller and the viewpoint captures much of the shadows of these small triangles. This might imply that bigger triangles allow for fewer errors in the results of the algorithm.

But as it has also been observed throughout the chapter, the amount of shadow pixels that remain unconfirmed and that should be in light seems bigger than those that are also unconfirmed but should stay in shadow. To better observe this, following below are the percentages of incorrect pixels if uncertain pixels are left in light.

|   |         |           | Shadow Map |           |            |          |  |  |
|---|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|--|--|
|   | Average | 10242     | x1024      | 2048      | x2048      | Average  |  |  |
|   |         | Best Case | Worst Case | Best Case | Worst Case | Case     |  |  |
| Scene                                   |         | Trees     | Flowers    | Trees     | Flowers    | Bench    |  |  |
| Viewpoint                               |         | Side      | With       | Side      | With       | With     |  |  |
| Contour Size                            |         | 6 pixels  | 2 pixels   | 6 pixels  | 2 pixels   | 2 pixels |  |  |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations (%)              | 2.98    | 0.08      | 11.19      | 0.02      | 7.15       | 2.80     |  |  |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light (%)  | 4.05    | 0.15      | 14.16      | 0.04      | 9.81       | 3.55     |  |  |
| Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow (%) | 1.89    | 0.01      | 8.03       | 0.00      | 4.40       | 2.05     |  |  |

Table 15: Percentage of wrongly defined pixels if uncertain pixels after algorithm are left in light.

The scenes and viewpoints here are the same as in the previous table, so the introduction of errors by letting all of the uncertain pixels stay in light doesn't seem to change much in the difference of quality between scenes. As observed above, if unconfirmed pixels are changed

to light, the average of errors is less than 3%, which presents a better result than the 11% average of the shadow mapping results. Although this result is better, it isn't totally sure that the quality increase is actually perceptible when observing the image. Below are the images of the cases shown in the tables above. The orange pixels are pixels that were incorrectly confirmed by texel coherence and the red pixels are pixels that are incorrectly lit if the uncertain pixels are left as lit. Besides this, there are also blue pixels that mark errors that weren't caught inside the contours. There isn't any information of these pixels in the two previous tables but this allows the visualization of all of the errors that remained in each case.



Figure 86: Marked errors of the best case when using a 2048x2048 shadow map.



Figure 87: Marked errors of the worst case when using a 2048x2048 shadow map.



Figure 88: Marked errors of the average case.

# 5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Shadow mapping is probably the most used algorithm to compute shadows in real time. The performance of the algorithm is very high, but it has severe issues that cause aliased shadow contours.

Ray-tracer on the other hand is capable of producing pixel perfect shadows. Yet, from a performance point of view is not as friendly.

Shadow mapping has been a deeply studied algorithm, with many researchers proposing improvements to its quality without compromising its efficiency. Most of the new approaches focus only on the original method, or variations thereof.

Nevertheless some researchers have developed hybrid methods, combining shadow mapping with ray-tracing. The main goal of these hybrid methods is to obtain visual results that closely match ray-traced shadows, while not fully compromising performance. The main approach is therefore to use a first pass with shadow mapping and use this information to determine which pixels should be ray-traced. Ideally this set of pixels should be a small subset of the original rendered image.

One of these methods focuses on the contours of the shadows, and uses texel coherence to determine if a pixel should be ray-traced. Pixels that have texel coherence are those that project onto a texel which has an identical shadow status to the neighbouring texels. For instance if a pixel projects onto a texel which indicates a shadow, and the neighbouring texels also indicate a shadow, then said pixel has texel coherence.

This work established the theme for this thesis. The main goals are to quantify the assumptions being made on the referred work, and to discover other methods to reduce the subset of pixels that require ray-tracing, i.e. those whose shadow status can't be determined for sure using only the shadow map information.

As for the first goal, it was verified that in average the vast majority of shadow mapping errors are indeed in the contour areas of the shadows in the rendered image. As for using texel coherence as a hint for the shadow status it was verified that in average roughly half of the contour pixels have texel coherence, with only a small percentage of those being incorrectly hinted. However it was also found that the percentage varies wildly with the scene, achieving values as low as less than 3% of the contour pixels.

The second goal was to reduce the subset of pixels that require ray-tracing. To achieve this a number of techniques that significantly reduce the size of this subset have been developed. These methods rely solely on the information about which triangles are recorded on the shadow map, and one of them also uses geometric adjacency information.

The techniques are able to confirm pixels in shadow and/or detect incorrect pixels in light. Note that it is not possible to correct a pixel in shadow with this limited information, since that would imply a guarantee that there is no triangle in the scene that causes a shadow to the said pixel. The methods work on very limited information, having access to only the triangles that are recorder on the shadow map, and geometric adjacency information, hence no assurances can be made regarding the remaining triangles. Similarly, for a pixel originally in light it is not possible to confirm it, as that would imply a guarantee that no triangle in the scene intersects the light ray.

The first technique is to determine if the light ray for that pixel intersects the triangle which covered the respective texel. For pixels in shadow if an intersection occurs then the pixel is definitely in shadow, otherwise the test is not conclusive. For pixels in light, it is usually the case that the triangle that covers the texel is further away from the light than the said pixel. Special situations where this is not the case have been properly identified but their occurrence is too rare to compensate the effort.

Extending this test to neighbouring texels provides potentially more triangles to test, with the upper limit of as many triangles as neighbouring texels. With this approach not only a larger set of confirmed pixels in shadow can be obtained but also a good amount of pixels erroneously in light can be corrected.

The adjacency information allows to further confirmation of pixels in shadow, but it is not very successful at correcting pixels originally in light.

Since each method has its strengths, a pipeline was proposed where in each stage the input are the pixels that were not confirmed/correct in the previous stages. The lightest methods

were placed on the beginning of the pipeline to limit the heavier methods to as few pixels as possible.

While texel coherence is not capable of actually confirming/correcting pixels it does provide a strong hint regarding the shadow status, and the number of pixels hinted can be very significant. Under this context, and since this method is as fast as shadow mapping itself, texel coherence could be used in a dynamic approach when the frame rate drops below a certain threshold.

The final number of pixels that remain unconfirmed/uncorrected is significantly reduced with this pipeline when compared to texel coherence per se hence the goals of this work have been achieved.

As further work we would like to implement the above mentioned pipeline as a GPU solution, using only shaders, and evaluate its performance. Ray-tracing the unconfirmed/uncorrected pixels could be performed for instance with OptiX. A full evaluation performance could then be completed to evaluate the merits of the proposed solution.

### 6. BIBLIOGRAPHY

An Efficient Hybrid Shadow Rendering Algorithm2004*Proceedings of the Eurographics Symposium on Rendering*185-195Norrköping, SwedenEurographics Association

Annen, T., Mertens, T., Bekaert, P., Seidel, H., & Kautz, J. (2007). Convolution Shadow Maps. *Rendering Techniques 2007, volume 1 of Eurographics/ACMSIGGRAPH Symposium Proceedings* (pp. 51-60). Eurographics.

Annen, T., Mertens, T., Seidel, H., Flerackers, E., & Kautz, J. (2008). Exponential Shadow Maps. *Proceedings of Graphics Interface 2008* (pp. 155-161). Ontario, Canada: Canadian Information Processing Society.

Barbec, S., Annen, T., & Seidel, H. (2002). Practical Shadow Mapping.

Beister, M., Ernst, M., & Stamminger, M. (2005). A Hybrid GPU-CPU Renderer. VMV 2005 .

Dempski, K., & Viale, E. (2004). *Advanced Lighting and Materials with Shaders*. Wordware Publishing Inc.

Donnelly, W., & Lauritzen, A. (2006). Variance Shadow Maps. ID3.

Efficient Image-Based Methods for Rendering Soft Shadows2000Proceedings of SIGGRAPH, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Anual Conference Series375-384ACM

Fernando, R., Fernandez, S., Bala, K., & Greenberg, D. P. (2001). Adaptive Shadow Maps. Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH 2001, ACM Press/ACM SIGGRAPH, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series (pp. 387-390). ACM.

Hertel, S., Hormann, K., & Westermann, R. (2009). A hybrid GPU rendering for alias-free hard shadows. In D. Ebert, & J. Krüger (Ed.), *Eurographics 2009 Areas Papers* (pp. 59-66). Müchen, Germany: Eurographics Association.

Jensen, H. W. (2001). Realistic Image Synthesis Using Photon Mapping. A. K. Peters.

Lafortune, E. P., & Willems, Y. D. (1993). Bidirectional Path Tracing. *Compugraphics '93*, (pp. 95-104).

Martin, T., & Tanin, T. (2004). Anti-aliasing and Continuity with Trapezoidal Shadow Maps. *Proceedings of Eurographics Symposium on Rendering.* 

Möller, T., & Trumbore, B. (1997). Fast, Minimum Storage Ray/Triangle Intersection. *journal* of graphics, gpu, and game tools, 2, 21-28.

Reeves, W. T., Salesin, D. H., & Cook, R. L. (1987). Rendering antialiased shadows with depth maps. *Proceedings of the 14th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques* (pp. 283-291). New York: ACM.

Sen, P., Cammarano, M., & Hanrahan, P. (2003, July). Shadow Silhouette Maps. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) - Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH 2003, 521-526.

Stamminger, M., & Drettakis, G. (2002). Perspective Shadow Maps. *Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH 2002*.

Tadamura, K., Qin, X., Jiao, G., & Nakamae, E. (2001). *The Visual Computer* (Vol. 17). Springer.

Whitted, T. (1980). *An Improved Illumination Model for Shaded Display* (6 ed., Vol. 23). New York, NY, USA: ACM.

Williams, L. (1978). Casting Curved Shadows on Curved Surfaces. *Computer Graphics* (SIGGRAPH 1978 Proceedings), (pp. 270-274).

Wimmer, M., Scherzer, D., & Purgathofer, W. (2004). Light Space Perspective Shadow Maps. *Proceedings of the 2nd EG Symposium on Rendering*. Springer Computer Science, Eurographics.

Xie, F., Tabellion, E., & Pearce, A. (2007). Soft Shadows by Ray Tracing Multilayer Transparent Shadow Maps. *Proceedings of the Eurographics Symposium on Rendering*.

Zhang, F., Sun, H., Xu, L., & Lun, L. K. (2006). Parallel-split Shadow Maps for Large-scale Virtual Environments. *Proceedings of the 2006 ACM International Conference on Virtual Reality Continuum and its Applications* (pp. 311-318). New York: VRCIA '06, ACM.

## APPENDIX

This appendix will display results for the tested scenes that weren't presented in chapter 4. The results being presented for these viewpoints will be the same as in the viewpoints displayed in the referred sub-chapters.

The results of the "side" viewpoint of the "primitives" scene follow below.



Figure 89: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 90: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.

3

Figure 91: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 92: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 93: Result of the single texel approach on the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 94: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 95: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 96: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 97: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 98: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.





Figure 99: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 100: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map | Ammanah              |                | Contour       | Thickness     |                   |  |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|--|
| Resolution | Approach             | Two Pixels     | Four Pixels   | Six Pixels    | Whole Image       |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour | 11000          | 21482         | 31683         | 1048576           |  |
|            | Shadow Map           | 1769 (16.08%)  | 2138 (9.95%)  | 2164 (6.83%)  | 2164 (0.21%)      |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 1973 (17.94%)  | 3418 (15.91%) | 4458 (14.07%) | 17500 (1.67%)     |  |
|            | Neighbour            |                |               |               |                   |  |
|            | Texels               | 1384 (12.58%)  | 2256 (10.50%) | 2790 (8.81%)  | 7345 (0.70%)      |  |
|            | (4Neighbours)        |                |               |               |                   |  |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbour            |                |               |               | 5004 (0.48%)      |  |
|            | Texels (9            | 1086 (9.87%)   | 1729 (8.05%)  | 2077 (6.56%)  |                   |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |                |               |               |                   |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |               |               |                   |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 1401 (12.74%)  | 2068 (9.63%)  | 2403 (7.58%)  | 4812 (0.46%)      |  |
|            | Level)               |                |               |               |                   |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |               |               |                   |  |
|            | Geometry             | 903 (8.21%)    | 1129 (5.26%)  | 1184 (3.74%)  | 1290 (0.12%)      |  |
|            | (Two Level)          |                |               |               |                   |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour | 10750          | 21022         | 31047         | 1048576           |  |
|            | Shadow Man           | 1111 (10 33%)  | 1123 (5 34%)  | 1123 (3.62%)  | 1123 (0.11%)      |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 1452 (13 51%)  | 2136 (10.16%) | 2833 (9.12%)  | 9989 (0.95%)      |  |
|            | Neighbour            | 1152 (15.5170) | 2150 (10.10%) | 2033 (7.1270) | (0.95 <i>l</i> () |  |
|            | Texels (4            | 796 (7 40%)    | 1027 (4 89%)  | 1231 (3.96%)  | 2486 (0 24%)      |  |
|            | Neighbours)          | 190 (1.1070)   | 1027 (1.0970) | 1251 (5.96%)  | 2100 (0.2170)     |  |
|            | Neighbour            |                |               |               |                   |  |
| 2048x2048  | Texels (9            | 608 (5.66%)    | 733 (3.49%)   | 858 (2.76%)   | 1620 (0.15%)      |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |                | ()            | ,             |                   |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |               |               |                   |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 855 (7.95%)    | 990 (4.71%)   | 1115 (3.59%)  | 1754 (0.17%)      |  |
|            | Level)               |                | · · · · ·     | · · · · ·     |                   |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |               |               |                   |  |
|            | Geometry             | 609 (5.67%)    | 630 (3.00%)   | 638 (2.05%)   | 682 (0.07%)       |  |
|            | (Two Level)          |                |               |               |                   |  |

Table 16: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the side viewpoint of the

primitives scene.

| Shadow Man Pasalution | Contour Thickness     |                        |                        |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels            | Four Pixels            | Six Pixels             |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 1769 of 2164 (81.75%) | 2138 of 2164 (98.80%)  | 2164 of 2164 (100.00%) |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 1111 of 1123 (98.93%) | 1123 of 1123 (100.00%) | 1123 of 1123 (100.00%) |  |  |  |  |

Table 17: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the side viewpoint of

the primitives scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel S        | Shading       |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow        |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 845 of 5633    | 924 of 5367   |
| 1024-1024             | Four Pixels       | 1022 of 11189  | 1116 of 10293 |
| 1024x1024             | Six Pixels        | 1034 of 16711  | 1130 of 14972 |
|                       | Whole Image       | 1034 of 979478 | 1130 of 69098 |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 575 of 5541    | 536 of 5209   |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels       | 580 of 11067   | 543 of 9955   |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 580 of 16564   | 543 of 14483  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 580 of 979611  | 543 of 68965  |

| Table 18: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the side viewpoint of the primitives scene, separated in pixel | s |
|--|---|
| defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.                        |   |

| Shadaw             |              | Texel Coherence |                          |           |           |                          |           |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Map                | Contour      |                 | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |  |  |  |
| Resolution         | Thickness    | Confirmed       | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |  |  |  |
|                    | Two Pixels   | 2755            | 3 (0.05%)                | 2878      | 2570      | 0(0.00%)                 | 2797      |  |  |  |
|                    | I WO I IACIS | (48.91%)        | 5 (0.05 %)               | (51.09%)  | (47.89%)  | 0 (0.00 %)               | (52.11%)  |  |  |  |
|                    | Ease Discale | 6674            | 3(0.03%)                 | 4515      | 5953      | 0(0.00%)                 | 4340      |  |  |  |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Fixers  | (59.65%)        | 5 (0.05%)                | (40.35%)  | (57.84%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (42.16%)  |  |  |  |
| 1024X1024          | Sin Divala   | 11288           | 2(0.0207)                | 5423      | 9787      | 0(0,0007)                | 5185      |  |  |  |
|                    | SIX PIXEIS   | (67.55%)        | 5 (0.02%)                | (32.45%)  | (65.37%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (34.63%)  |  |  |  |
|                    | Whole        | 973603          | 2(0,000)                 | 5875      | 63578     | 0(0,0007)                | 5520      |  |  |  |
|                    | Image        | (99.40%)        | 3 (0.00%)                | (0.60%)   | (92.01%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (7.99%)   |  |  |  |
|                    | Two Divola   | 3356            | 2(0.05%)                 | 2185      | 3064      | 0(0,0007)                | 2145      |  |  |  |
|                    | I wo Pixels  | (60.57%)        | 5 (0.05%)                | (39.43%)  | (58.82%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (41.18%)  |  |  |  |
|                    | Equa Divala  | 8276            | 2(0.0207)                | 2791      | 7233      | 0(0,0007)                | 2722      |  |  |  |
| 2048-2048          | Four Pixels  | (74.78%)        | 5 (0.05%)                | (25.22%)  | (72.66%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (27.34%)  |  |  |  |
| 204882048          | Six Divola   | 13713           | 2(0.02%)                 | 2851      | 11715     | 0(0.00%)                 | 2768      |  |  |  |
|                    | SIX PIXEIS   | (82.79%)        | 5 (0.02%)                | (17.21%)  | (80.89%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (19.11%)  |  |  |  |
|                    | Whole        | 976720          | 2(0,000)                 | 2891      | 66193     | 0(0,0007)                | 2772      |  |  |  |
|                    | Image        | (99.70%)        | 5 (0.00%)                | (0.30%)   | (95.98%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (4.02%)   |  |  |  |

Table 19: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.

|                         |                |                     | Texel Shadowing                              |                     |   |                     |  |                     |   |  |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--|
| 0                       | less           |                     | Lig  | ght                 |   |                     | Sha  | dow                 | -   |  |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolution | Contour Thickr | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 629                 | 437  | 1038                | 1004  | 922                 | 892  | 666                 | 462   |  |
| 1024                    | Four<br>Pixels | 675                 | 454  | 1671                | 1630  | 1461                | 1428   | 717                 | 489   |  |
| 024x                    | Six<br>Pixels  | 675                 | 454  | 2028                | 1987  | 1763                | 1728   | 717                 | 489   |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 675                 | 454  | 2377                | 2336  | 1992                | 1957   | 717                 | 489   |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 310                 | 229  | 774                 | 737   | 718                 | 698  | 326                 | 227   |  |
| 2048                    | Four<br>Pixels | 310                 | 229  | 1046                | 1009  | 952                 | 932  | 326                 | 227   |  |
| 2048x                   | Six<br>Pixels  | 310                 | 229  | 1103                | 1066  | 994                 | 974  | 326                 | 227   |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 310                 | 229  | 1143                | 1106  | 998                 | 978  | 326                 | 227   |  |

| Table 20: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the |
|--|
| primitives scene.  |

| Shadow     |                | Texel Coherence    |                          |                  |                   |                          |                   |  |  |  |  |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Map        | Contour        |                    | Light                    |                  |                   | Shadow                   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Resolution | Thickness      | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided        | Confirmed         | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided         |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024  | Two Pixels     | 2572<br>(45.66%)   | 1 (0.02%)                | 3061<br>(54.34%) | 2505<br>(46.67%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 2862<br>(53.33%)  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Four Pixels    | 5358<br>(47.89%)   | 1 (0.01%)                | 5831<br>(52.11%) | 4820<br>(46.83%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 5473<br>(53.17%)  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Six Pixels     | 8677(51.92<br>%)   | 1 (0.01%)                | 8034(48.08<br>%) | 7512(50.17<br>%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 7460(49.83<br>%)  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 967426(98.<br>77%) | 1 (0.00%)                | 12052(1.23<br>%) | 58152(84.1<br>6%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 10946(15.8<br>4%) |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048  | Two Pixels     | 2719<br>(49.07%)   | 2 (0.04%)                | 2822<br>(50.93%) | 2564<br>(49.22%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 2645<br>(50.78%)  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Four Pixels    | 6400<br>(57.83%)   | 2 (0.02%)                | 4667<br>(42.17%) | 5577<br>(56.02%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 4378<br>(43.98%)  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Six Pixels     | 11034<br>(66.61%)  | 2 (0.01%)                | 5530<br>(33.39%) | 9290<br>(64.14%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 5193<br>(35.86%)  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 973682<br>(99.39%) | 2 (0.00%)                | 5929<br>(0.61%)  | 63477<br>(92.04%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 5488<br>(7.96%)   |  |  |  |  |

Table 21: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| 0                |                         | Shadow Map    |                |               |                |               |                |               |                |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Map              | B<br>II.                |               | 1024:          | x1024         |                |               | 20482          | x2048         | Six Whole      |  |  |  |  |
| lhadow<br>Lighti | Texel                   | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |  |  |  |  |
| Š                | 8 S-1 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |  |  |  |  |
| Light            | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 7 S-2 L                 | 14            | 22             | 22            | 22             | 2             | 2              | 2             | 2              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 14            | 18             | 18            | 18             | 2             | 2              | 2             | 2              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 6 S-3 L                 | 35            | 42             | 42            | 42             | 5             | 5              | 5             | 5              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 18            | 19             | 19            | 19             | 2             | 2              | 2             | 2              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 5 S-4 L                 | 52            | 91             | 99            | 99             | 23            | 23             | 23            | 23             |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 13            | 15             | 15            | 15             | 5             | 5              | 5             | 5              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 4 S-5 L                 | 952           | 1581           | 1739          | 1742           | 763           | 842            | 842           | 842            |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 466           | 999            | 1157          | 1160           | 462           | 540            | 540           | 540            |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 3 S-6 L                 | 1219          | 2280           | 3069          | 4455           | 1265          | 2026           | 2378          | 2443           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 925           | 1914           | 2691          | 4077           | 1005          | 1762           | 2114          | 2179           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 2 S-7 L                 | 455           | 892            | 1337          | 2226           | 398           | 737            | 916           | 1021           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 437           | 872            | 1317          | 2206           | 397           | 736            | 915           | 1020           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 1 S-8 L                 | 334           | 923            | 1726          | 3466           | 366           | 1032           | 1364          | 1593           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 333           | 922            | 1725          | 3465           | 364           | 1030           | 1362          | 1591           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 8 S-1 L                 | 146           | 593            | 1233          | 2637           | 246           | 852            | 1139          | 1308           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 145           | 591            | 1230          | 2634           | 246           | 852            | 1139          | 1308           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 7 S-2 L                 | 428           | 839            | 1217          | 1922           | 347           | 647            | 821           | 880            |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 409           | 817            | 1192          | 1897           | 337           | 637            | 811           | 870            |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 6 S-3 L                 | 1174          | 2230           | 3054          | 4431           | 1251          | 2008           | 2362          | 2429           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 834           | 1811           | 2625          | 4002           | 1022          | 1774           | 2128          | 2195           |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 5 S-4 L                 | 1025          | 1694           | 1832          | 1832           | 756           | 825            | 825           | 825            |  |  |  |  |
| wol              | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 541           | 1116           | 1254          | 1254           | 495           | 562            | 562           | 562            |  |  |  |  |
| had              | 4 S-5 L                 | 23            | 37             | 44            | 44             | 22            | 23             | 23            | 23             |  |  |  |  |
| S                | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 16            | 20             | 20            | 20             | 13            | 13             | 13            | 13             |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 3 S-6 L                 | 27            | 28             | 28            | 28             | 11            | 11             | 11            | 11             |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 25            | 25             | 25            | 25             | 11            | 11             | 11            | 11             |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 2 S-7 L                 | 11            | 11             | 11            | 11             | 4             | 4              | 4             | 4              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 11            | 11             | 11            | 11             | 4             | 4              | 4             | 4              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 1 S-8 L                 | 28            | 41             | 41            | 41             | 8             | 8              | 8             | 8              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 28            | 39             | 39            | 39             | 8             | 8              | 8             | 8              |  |  |  |  |

Table 22: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the

primitives scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour        | Corrected |      | Turne | d Bad | Maintaine | d Correct | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|----------------|-----------|------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S   | S→L   | L→L       | S→S       | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024x1024  | Two<br>Pixels  | 3         | 924  | 0     | 1131  | 4966      | 3312      | 842                     | 0   |
|            | Four<br>Pixels | 3         | 1116 | 0     | 2399  | 10487     | 6778      | 1019                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels     | 3         | 1130 | 0     | 3427  | 15984     | 10415     | 1031                    | 0   |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 3         | 1130 | 0     | 16469 | 978444    | 51499     | 1031                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Two<br>Pixels  | 1         | 536  | 0     | 878   | 4966      | 3795      | 574                     | 0   |
|            | Four<br>Pixels | 1         | 543  | 0     | 1557  | 10487     | 7855      | 579                     | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels     | 1         | 543  | 0     | 2254  | 15984     | 11686     | 579                     | 0   |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 1         | 543  | 0     | 9410  | 979031    | 59012     | 579                     | 0   |

 Table 23: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint

 of the primitives scene.

| / Map<br>ation<br>er of<br>ours    |               | our<br>ness    | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |       | Maintained<br>Incorrect |   |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|---|
| Shadow<br>Resolu<br>Numb<br>Neighł | Cont<br>Thick | L→S            | S→L       | L→S  | S→L        | L→L  | S→S                | L→L   | S→S                     |   |
|                                    | Two Pixels    | 311            | 924       | 0    | 850        | 4788 | 3593               | 534   | 0                       |   |
|                                    |               | Four Pixels    | 334       | 1116 | 0          | 1568 | 10167              | 7609  | 688                     | 0 |
|                                    | 3             | Six Pixels     | 334       | 1130 | 0          | 2090 | 15677              | 11752 | 700                     | 0 |
| 24x1024                            |               | Whole<br>Image | 334       | 1130 | 0          | 6645 | 978444             | 61323 | 700                     | 0 |
|                                    |               | Two Pixels     | 401       | 924  | 0          | 642  | 4788               | 3801  | 444                     | 0 |
| 100                                | 8             | Four Pixels    | 453       | 1116 | 0          | 1160 | 10167              | 8017  | 569                     | 0 |
|                                    |               | Six Pixels     | 453       | 1130 | 0          | 1496 | 15677              | 12346 | 581                     | 0 |
|                                    |               | Whole<br>Image | 453       | 1130 | 0          | 4423 | 978444             | 63545 | 581                     | 0 |
|                                    |               | Two Pixels     | 259       | 536  | 0          | 480  | 4966               | 4193  | 316                     | 0 |
|                                    |               | Four Pixels    | 259       | 543  | 0          | 706  | 10487              | 8706  | 321                     | 0 |
|                                    | 3             | Six Pixels     | 259       | 543  | 0          | 910  | 15984              | 13030 | 321                     | 0 |
| 2048                               |               | Whole<br>Image | 259       | 543  | 0          | 2165 | 979031             | 66257 | 321                     | 0 |
| 48,                                |               | Two Pixels     | 333       | 536  | 0          | 366  | 4966               | 4307  | 242                     | 0 |
| 20                                 |               | Four Pixels    | 334       | 543  | 0          | 487  | 10487              | 8925  | 246                     | 0 |
|                                    | 8             | Six Pixels     | 334       | 543  | 0          | 612  | 15984              | 13328 | 246                     | 0 |
|                                    |               | Whole<br>Image | 334       | 543  | 0          | 1374 | 979031             | 67048 | 246                     | 0 |

Table 24: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side

viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.7095     | 1.6777      | 1.6571     | 0.2447      |
| (10                      | 3                       | Available           | 1.9614     | 2.0321      | 2.0965     | 2.3679      |
| 24x                      | 0                       | Used                | 3.1852     | 3.1525      | 3.1125     | 0.4395      |
| 100                      | 0                       | Available           | 3.6357     | 3.6266      | 3.6446     | 4.0428      |
| 48                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.5052     | 1.4487      | 1.4053     | 0.1923      |
| 20                       | 5                       | Available           | 1.8179     | 1.8994      | 1.9452     | 1.9112      |
| 48,                      | 0                       | Used                | 2.5110     | 2.4245      | 2.3448     | 0.3018      |
| 202                      | ð                       | Available           | 2.8848     | 2.8997      | 2.9437     | 2.9213      |

Table 25: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the side viewpoint of the

primitives scene.

| v Map<br>ution<br>ency<br>el |                                  | our<br>ness        | Corrected Turned E |      | d Bad Maintained<br>Correct |                   | Maintained<br>Incorrect |       |      |   |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|------|---|
| Shadow<br>Resolu             | Shadow<br>Resolu<br>Adjac<br>Lev | Conte              | L→S                | S→L  | L→S                         | S→L               | L→L                     | S→S   | L→L  | S→S   |
| ne Level                     |                                  | Two<br>Pixels      | 3                  | 924  | 0                           | 559               | 4788                    | 3884  | 842  | 0   |
|                              | Level                            | Four<br>Pixels     | 3                  | 1116 | 0                           | 1049              | 10167                   | 8128  | 1019 | 0   |
|                              | ne                               | Six Pixels         | 3                  | 1130 | 0                           | 1372              | 15677                   | 12470 | 1031 | 0   |
| 1024                         | 0                                | Whole<br>Image     | 3                  | 1130 | 0                           | 3781              | 978444                  | 64187 | 1031 | 0   |
| 1024x1                       | S                                | Two<br>Pixels      | 3                  | 924  | 0                           | 61                | 4788                    | 4382  | 842  | 0   |
|                              | Level                            | Four<br>Pixels     | 3                  | 1116 | 0                           | 110               | 10167                   | 9067  | 1019 | 019 0<br>031 0  |
|                              | Two                              | Six Pixels         | 3                  | 1130 | 0                           | 153               | 15677                   | 13689 | 1031 | 0   |
|                              |                                  | Whole<br>Image     | 3                  | 1130 | 0                           | 259               | 978444                  | 67709 | 1031 | 0   |
|                              |                                  | Two<br>Pixels      | 2                  | 536  | 0                           | 282               | 4966                    | 4391  | 573  | 0   |
|                              | Level                            | Four<br>Pixels     | 2                  | 543  | 0                           | 412               | 10487                   | 9000  | 578  | 78 0  |
|                              | One ]                            | Six Pixels         | 2                  | 543  | 0                           | 0 537 15984 13403 | 578                     | 0     |      |   |
| ć2048                        |                                  | Whole<br>Image     | 2                  | 543  | 0                           | 1176              | 979031                  | 67246 | 578  | 0   |
| 2048x                        |                                  | Two<br>Pixels35360 | 0                  | 37   | 4966                        | 4636              | 572                     | 0     |      |   |
|                              | evels                            | Four<br>Pixels     | 3                  | 543  | 0                           | 53                | 10487                   | 9359  | 577  | L $S \rightarrow S$ L $S \rightarrow S$ 2       0         9       0         1       0         9       0         1       0         9       0         1       0         9       0         1       0         9       0         1       0         9       0         1       0         9       0         1       0         6       0         6       0         6       0         7       0         7       0 |
|                              | wo I                             | Six Pixels         | 3                  | 543  | 0                           | 61                | 15984                   | 13879 | 577  | 0   |
|                              | Τ                                | Whole<br>Image     | 3                  | 543  | 0                           | 105               | 979031                  | 68317 | 577  | 0   |

 Table 26: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.
| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | One                | Used                | 2.2647     | 2.2824      | 2.2937     | 0.3773      |
| ¢10                      | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 24x                      | Two                | Used                | 6.9370     | 6.9552      | 6.9672     | 1.1357      |
| 100                      | Levels             | Available           | 12.2542    | 12.1869     | 12.1500    | 12.03966    |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 2.3289     | 2.3423      | 2.3510     | 0.3811      |
| (20                      | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 48,                      | Two                | Used                | 7.0945     | 7.0956      | 7.1057     | 1.1476      |
| 50                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.1850    | 12.1172     | 12.0896    | 12.0434     |

Table 27: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the side viewpoint of the

| Contour Thickness |           | Two   | Pixels | Four | Four Pixels |      | ixels | Whole Image |     |      |
|-------------------|-----------|---|--------|------|-------------|------|-------|-------------|-----|------|
|                   | Lig       | hting   | L→S    | S→L  | L→S         | S→L  | L→S   | S→L         | L→S | S→L  |
|                   |           | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 3      | 924  | 3           | 1116 | 3     | 1130        | 3   | 1130 |
| Resolution        | 1024x1024 | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0      | 45   | 0           | 69   | 0     | 82          | 0   | 116  |
|                   |           | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 398    | 0    | 450         | 0    | 450   | 0           | 450 | 0    |
|                   |           | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0      | 0    | 0           | 0    | 0     | 0           | 0   | 0    |
|                   |           | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0      | 597  | 0           | 1091 | 0     | 1414        | 0   | 4307 |
|                   |           | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0      | 16   | 0           | 41   | 0     | 71          | 0   | 143  |
| v Map             |           | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 3      | 536  | 3           | 543  | 3     | 543         | 3   | 543  |
| hadov             |           | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0      | 14   | 0           | 14   | 0     | 14          | 0   | 21   |
| S                 |           | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 330    | 0    | 331         | 0    | 331   | 0           | 331 | 0    |
|                   | )48x2048  | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0      | 0    | 0           | 0    | 0     | 0           | 0   | 0    |
|                   | 2(        | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0      | 352  | 0           | 473  | 0     | 598         | 0   | 1353 |
|                   |           | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0      | 23   | 0           | 39   | 0     | 47          | 0   | 84   |

Table 28: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

by lighting change for the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| n                 |                                     |                  | 1024x1024        |                  | 2048x2048        |                  |                   |  |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|
| Algorithi<br>Step | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels       | Four Pixels      | Six Pixel        | Two Pixels       | Four Pixels      | Six Pixel         |  |
|                   | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 11000            | 21482            | 31683            | 10750            | 21022            | 31047             |  |
| lap               | Correct Light                       | 4788             | 10167            | 15677            | 4966             | 10487            | 15984             |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (85.00%)         | (90.87%)         | (93.81%)         | (89.62%)         | (94.76%)         | (96.50%)          |  |
| d wobi            | Correct Shadow                      | 4443             | 9177             | 13842            | 4673             | 9412             | 13940             |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (82.78%)         | (89.16%)         | (92.45%)         | (89.71%)         | (94.55%)         | (96.25%)          |  |
| She               | Incorrect Light                     | 845              | 1022             | 1034             | 575              | 580              | 580               |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (15.00%)         | (9.13%)          | (6.19%)          | (10.38%)         | (5.24%)          | (3.50%)           |  |
|                   | Incorrect Shadow                    | 924              | 1116             | 1130             | 536              | 543              | 543               |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (17.22%)         | (10.84%)         | (7.55%)          | (10.29%)         | (5.45%)          | (3.75%)           |  |
|                   | Confirmations in                    | 2755             | 6674             | 11288            | 3356             | 8276             | 13713             |  |
|                   | Light                               | (48.91%)         | (59.65%)         | (67.55%)         | (60.57%)         | (74.78%)         | (82.79%)          |  |
| xel Coherence     | Confirmations in<br>Shadow          | 2570<br>(47.89%) | 5953<br>(57.84%) | 9787<br>(65.37%) | 3064<br>(58.82%) | 7233<br>(72.66%) | 11715<br>(80.89%) |  |
|                   | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 3 (0.05%)        | 3 (0.03%)        | 3 (0.02%)        | 3 (0.05%)        | 3 (0.03%)        | 3 (0.02%)         |  |
| Te                | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)         |  |
| ouring            | Corrections from                    | 400              | 452              | 452              | 333              | 334              | 334               |  |
| cels              | Light                               | (7.10%)          | (4.04%)          | (2.70%)          | (6.01%)          | (3.02%)          | (2.02%)           |  |
| Neighb            | Confirmations in                    | 3823             | 8228             | 12776            | 4335             | 9032             | 13559             |  |
| Tey               | Shadow                              | (71.23%)         | (79.94%)         | (85.33%)         | (83.22%)         | (90.73%)         | (93.62%)          |  |
| Adjacent          | Confirmations in                    | 4398             | 9125             | 13790            | 4659             | 9398             | 13926             |  |
| Geometry          | Shadow                              | (81.95%)         | (88.65%)         | (92.11%)         | (89.44%)         | (94.40%)         | (96.15%)          |  |
| ighting           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 445<br>(7.90%)   | 570<br>(5.09%)   | 582<br>(3.48%)   | 242<br>(4.37%)   | 246<br>(2.22%)   | 246<br>(1.49%)    |  |
| Final L           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 45 (0.84%)       | 52 (0.51%)       | 52 (0.35%)       | 14 (0.27%)       | 14 (0.14%)       | 14 (0.10%)        |  |

Table 29: Algorithm results of the side viewpoint of the primitives scene.

The results of the "with" viewpoint of the "primitives" scene are presented below.



Figure 101: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 102: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 103: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 104: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 105: Result of the single texel approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 106: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 107: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 108: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 109: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 110: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 111: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 112: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map  | A                    | Contour Thickness |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Resolution  | Approach             | Two Pixels        | Four Pixels  | Six Pixels   | Whole Image  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Pixels in<br>Contour | 12244             | 24077        | 35874        | 1048576      |  |  |  |  |
|             | Shadow Map           | 1004 (8.20%)      | 1211 (5.03%) | 1221 (3.40%) | 1221 (0.12%) |  |  |  |  |
|             | Single Texel         | 1310 (10.7%)      | 2087 (8.67%) | 2759 (7.69%) | 9815 (0.94%) |  |  |  |  |
|             | Neighbour            |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Texels (4            | 892 (7.29%)       | 1296 (5.38%) | 1563 (4.36%) | 3583 (0.34%) |  |  |  |  |
|             | Neighbours)          |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024   | Neighbour            |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Texels (9            | 729 (5.95%)       | 1023 (4.25%) | 1211 (3.38%) | 2517 (0.24%) |  |  |  |  |
|             | Neighbours)          |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Adjacent             |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Geometry (One        | 818 (6.68%)       | 1069 (4.44%) | 1213 (3.38%) | 2166 (0.21%) |  |  |  |  |
|             | Level)               |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Adjacent             |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Geometry             | 533 (4.35%)       | 651 (2.70%)  | 662 (1.85%)  | 695 (0.07%)  |  |  |  |  |
|             | (Two Level)          |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Pixels in<br>Contour | 12249             | 24119        | 35959        | 1048576      |  |  |  |  |
|             | Shadow Map           | 620 (5.06%)       | 626 (2.60%)  | 628 (1.75%)  | 628 (0.06%)  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Single Texel         | 725 (5.92%)       | 1210 (5.02%) | 1677 (4.66%) | 5208 (0.50%) |  |  |  |  |
|             | Neighbour            | ( )               |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Texels (4            | 367 (3.00%)       | 523 (2.17%)  | 649 (1.80%)  | 1105 (0.11%) |  |  |  |  |
|             | Neighbours)          |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
| 20.49 20.49 | Neighbour            |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048   | Texels (9            | 279 (2.28%)       | 379 (1.57%)  | 449 (1.25%)  | 692 (0.07%)  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Neighbours)          |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Adjacent             |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Geometry (One        | 381 (3.11%)       | 445 (1.85%)  | 525 (1.46%)  | 728 (0.07%)  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Level)               |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Adjacent             |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|             | Geometry             | 293 (2.39%)       | 300 (1.24%)  | 302 (0.84%)  | 314 (0.03%)  |  |  |  |  |
|             | (Two Level)          |                   |              |              |              |  |  |  |  |

Table 30: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the with viewpoint of

the primitives scene.

| Shadow Man Pasalution |                       | Contour Thickness     |                        |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Shadow Wap Resolution | Two Pixels            | Four Pixels           | Six Pixels             |
| 1024x1024             | 1004 of 1221 (82.23%) | 1211 of 1221 (99.18%) | 1221 of 1221 (100.00%) |
| 2048x2048             | 620 of 628 (98.73%)   | 626 of 628 (99.68%)   | 628 of 628 (100.00%)   |

Table 31: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the with viewpoint of

the primitives scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel Shading  |              |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow       |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 518 of 6752    | 486 of 5492  |  |  |
| 1024+1024             | Four Pixels       | 634 of 13807   | 577 of 10270 |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | Six Pixels        | 642 of 21006   | 579 of 14868 |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 642 of 1001569 | 579 of 47007 |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 292 of 6771    | 328 of 5478  |  |  |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels       | 296 of 13854   | 330 of 10265 |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | Six Pixels        | 298 of 21081   | 330 of 14878 |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 298 of 1001474 | 330 of 47102 |  |  |

| Table 32: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the with viewpoint of the primitives scene, separated in pixels |
|---|
| defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.                         |

| Shadaw     |                | Texel Coherence    |                          |                  |                   |                          |                  |  |  |  |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Map        | Contour        |                    | Light                    |                  |                   | Shadow                   |                  |  |  |  |
| Resolution | Thickness      | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided        | Confirmed         | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided        |  |  |  |
|            | Two Pixels     | 5137<br>(76.08%)   | 3 (0.04%)                | 1615<br>(23.92%) | 3995<br>(72,74%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 1497<br>(27,26%) |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024  | Four Pixels    | 10965<br>(79.42%)  | 6 (0.04%)                | 2842<br>(20.58%) | 7773<br>(75.69%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 2497<br>(24.31%) |  |  |  |
|            | Six Pixels     | 17697<br>(84.25%)  | 8 (0.04%)                | 3309<br>(15.75%) | 12007<br>(80.76%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 2861<br>(19.24%) |  |  |  |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 998128<br>(99.66%) | 8 (0.00%)                | 3441<br>(0.34%)  | 44126<br>(93.87%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 2881<br>(6.13%)  |  |  |  |
|            | Two Pixels     | 5294<br>(78.19%)   | 2 (0.03%)                | 1477<br>(21.81%) | 4143<br>(75.63%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 1335<br>(24.37%) |  |  |  |
| 2048+2048  | Four Pixels    | 12124<br>(87.51%)  | 4 (0.03%)                | 1730<br>(12.49%) | 8800<br>(85.73%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 1465<br>(14.27%) |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels     | 19331<br>(91.70%)  | 6 (0.03%)                | 1750<br>(8.30%)  | 13413<br>(90.15%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 1465<br>(9.85%)  |  |  |  |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 999672<br>(99.82%) | 6 (0.00%)                | 1802<br>(0.18%)  | 45637<br>(96.89%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 1465<br>(3.11%)  |  |  |  |

Table 33: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

|                         |                |                     | Texel Shadowing                              |                     |   |                     |  |                     |   |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 0                       | less           |                     | Li   | ght                 |   |                     | Sha  | dow                 |   |  |  |  |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolutior | Contour Thick  | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |  |  |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 246                 | 211  | 593                 | 563   | 414                 | 408  | 318                 | 236   |  |  |  |
| 024x1024                | Four<br>Pixels | 314                 | 254  | 1172                | 1135  | 795                 | 789  | 394                 | 266   |  |  |  |
|                         | Six<br>Pixels  | 319                 | 256  | 1430                | 1393  | 941                 | 935  | 399                 | 267   |  |  |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 319                 | 256  | 1553                | 1516  | 956                 | 950  | 399                 | 267   |  |  |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 156                 | 114  | 627                 | 612   | 420                 | 407  | 214                 | 158   |  |  |  |
| 2048                    | Four<br>Pixels | 159                 | 114  | 790                 | 775   | 486                 | 473  | 217                 | 159   |  |  |  |
| 048x2                   | Six<br>Pixels  | 159                 | 114  | 810                 | 795   | 486                 | 473  | 217                 | 159   |  |  |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 159                 | 114  | 857                 | 842   | 486                 | 473  | 217                 | 159   |  |  |  |

| Table 34: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the |
|--|
| primitives scene.  |

| Shadow     |                      | Texel Coherence    |                          |                  |                   |                          |                  |  |  |  |
|------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Map        | Contour<br>Thickness |                    | Light                    |                  |                   | Shadow                   |                  |  |  |  |
| Resolution |                      | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided        | Confirmed         | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided        |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024  | Two Pixels           | 4948<br>(73.28%)   | 2 (0.03%)                | 1804<br>(26.72%) | 3906<br>(71.12%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 1586<br>(28.88%) |  |  |  |
|            | Four Pixels          | 10267<br>(74.36%)  | 5 (0.04%)                | 3540<br>(25.64%) | 7245<br>(70.55%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 3025<br>(29.45%) |  |  |  |
|            | Six Pixels           | 15853(75.4<br>7%)  | 6 (0.03%)                | 5153(24.53<br>%) | 10536(70.8<br>6%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 4332(29.14<br>%) |  |  |  |
|            | Whole<br>Image       | 994244(99.<br>27%) | 6 (0.00%)                | 7325(0.73<br>%)  | 41372(88.0<br>1%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 5635(11.99<br>%) |  |  |  |
|            | Two Pixels           | 4945<br>(73.03%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 1826<br>(26.97%) | 3989<br>(72.82%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 1489<br>(27.18%) |  |  |  |
| 2048+2048  | Four Pixels          | 10628<br>(76.71%)  | 1 (0.01%)                | 3226<br>(23.29%) | 7755<br>(75.55%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 2510<br>(24.45%) |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels           | 17344<br>(82.27%)  | 3 (0.01%)                | 3737<br>(17.73%) | 12030<br>(80.86%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 2848<br>(19.14%) |  |  |  |
|            | Whole<br>Image       | 997546<br>(99.61%) | 3 (0.00%)                | 3928<br>(0.39%)  | 44238<br>(93.92%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 2864<br>(6.08%)  |  |  |  |

Table 35: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| 0                   |                         | Shadow Map    |                |               |                |               |                |               |                |  |  |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|
| Map                 | ii.                     |               | 10242          | x1024         |                |               | 2048x2048      |               |                |  |  |
| shadow l<br>Lightir | Texel                   | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |  |  |
| 01                  | 8 S-1 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |  |  |
|                     | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |  |  |
|                     | 7 S-2 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |  |  |
|                     | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |  |  |
|                     | 6 S-3 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |  |  |
|                     | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |  |  |
|                     | 5 S-4 L                 | 21            | 35             | 42            | 44             | 7             | 10             | 10            | 10             |  |  |
| ht                  | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 12            | 12             | 12            | 12             | 4             | 4              | 4             | 4              |  |  |
| Lig                 | 4 S-5 L                 | 363           | 594            | 711           | 756            | 329           | 413            | 418           | 418            |  |  |
|                     | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 147           | 323            | 439           | 484            | 190           | 273            | 278           | 278            |  |  |
|                     | 3 S-6 L                 | 762           | 1466           | 2088          | 2716           | 719           | 1209           | 1344          | 1358           |  |  |
|                     | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 479           | 1125           | 1742          | 2370           | 575           | 1064           | 1199          | 1213           |  |  |
|                     | 2 S-7 L                 | 374           | 708            | 1007          | 1404           | 329           | 552            | 633           | 700            |  |  |
|                     | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 371           | 705            | 1004          | 1401           | 328           | 551            | 632           | 699            |  |  |
|                     | 1 S-8 L                 | 284           | 737            | 1305          | 2405           | 442           | 1042           | 1332          | 1442           |  |  |
|                     | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 282           | 735            | 1302          | 2402           | 438           | 1037           | 1327          | 1437           |  |  |
|                     | 8 S-1 L                 | 111           | 382            | 753           | 1235           | 174           | 484            | 607           | 617            |  |  |
|                     | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 111           | 382            | 753           | 1235           | 172           | 482            | 605           | 615            |  |  |
|                     | 7 S-2 L                 | 248           | 475            | 689           | 892            | 230           | 387            | 437           | 441            |  |  |
|                     | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 244           | 471            | 685           | 888            | 224           | 381            | 431           | 435            |  |  |
|                     | 6 S-3 L                 | 751           | 1428           | 2013          | 2573           | 693           | 1148           | 1308          | 1310           |  |  |
|                     | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 570           | 1214           | 1799          | 2359           | 584           | 1039           | 1199          | 1201           |  |  |
|                     | 5 S-4 L                 | 394           | 651            | 788           | 846            | 338           | 436            | 441           | 441            |  |  |
| low                 | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 175           | 381            | 516           | 574            | 180           | 277            | 282           | 282            |  |  |
| had                 | 4 S-5 L                 | 36            | 41             | 41            | 41             | 19            | 19             | 19            | 19             |  |  |
| S                   | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 36            | 41             | 41            | 41             | 19            | 19             | 19            | 19             |  |  |
|                     | 3 S-6 L                 | 38            | 40             | 40            | 40             | 19            | 19             | 19            | 19             |  |  |
|                     | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 38            | 40             | 40            | 40             | 19            | 19             | 19            | 19             |  |  |
|                     | 2 S-7 L                 | 8             | 8              | 8             | 8              | 6             | 6              | 6             | 6              |  |  |
|                     | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 8             | 8              | 8             | 8              | 6             | 6              | 6             | 6              |  |  |
|                     | 1 S-8 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 10            | 11             | 11            | 11             |  |  |
|                     | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 9             | 10             | 10            | 10             |  |  |

Table 36: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corrected |     | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |       | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-----|------------|------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Inickness   | L→S       | S→L | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S   | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 0         | 486 | 0          | 792  | 6234               | 4214  | 518                     | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 0         | 577 | 0          | 1453 | 13173              | 8240  | 634                     | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 0         | 579 | 0          | 2117 | 20364              | 12172 | 642                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 0         | 579 | 0          | 9173 | 1000927            | 37255 | 642                     | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 0         | 328 | 0          | 433  | 6479               | 4717  | 292                     | 0   |
| 2018-2018          | Four Pixels | 0         | 330 | 0          | 914  | 13558              | 9021  | 296                     | 0   |
| 204882048          | Six Pixels  | 0         | 330 | 0          | 1379 | 20783              | 13169 | 298                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 0         | 330 | 0          | 4910 | 1001176            | 41862 | 298                     | 0   |

 Table 37: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |     | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |       | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|-----|------------|------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S   | L→L                     | S→S |
|            | Two Pixels  | 154       | 486 | 0          | 528  | 6234               | 4478  | 364                     | 0   |
| 1024-1024  | Four Pixels | 191       | 577 | 0          | 853  | 13173              | 8840  | 443                     | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 192       | 579 | 0          | 1113 | 20364              | 13176 | 450                     | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 192       | 579 | 0          | 3133 | 1000927            | 43295 | 450                     | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 135       | 328 | 0          | 210  | 6479               | 4940  | 157                     | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Four Pixels | 135       | 330 | 0          | 362  | 13558              | 9573  | 161                     | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels  | 135       | 330 | 0          | 486  | 20783              | 14062 | 163                     | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 135       | 330 | 0          | 942  | 1001176            | 45830 | 163                     | 0   |

Table 38: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping

approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corrected |     | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |       | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-----|------------|------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Inickness   | L→S       | S→L | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S   | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 192       | 486 | 0          | 403  | 6234               | 4603  | 326                     | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 248       | 577 | 0          | 637  | 13173              | 9056  | 386                     | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 249       | 579 | 0          | 818  | 20364              | 13471 | 393                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 249       | 579 | 0          | 2124 | 1000927            | 44304 | 393                     | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 169       | 328 | 0          | 156  | 6479               | 4994  | 123                     | 0   |
| 2048x2048          | Four Pixels | 171       | 330 | 0          | 254  | 13558              | 9681  | 125                     | 0   |
|                    | Six Pixels  | 171       | 330 | 0          | 322  | 20783              | 14226 | 127                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 171       | 330 | 0          | 565  | 1001176            | 46207 | 127                     | 0   |

 Table 39: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.8071     | 1.7945      | 1.7747     | 0.5350      |
| (10                      | 3                       | Available           | 2.1849     | 2.2240      | 2.2695     | 2.3858      |
| 24,                      | 0                       | Used                | 3.1873     | 3.1604      | 3.1373     | 0.9273      |
| 10                       | 0                       | Available           | 3.8373     | 3.8433      | 3.8414     | 4.0719      |
| 48                       | 3                       | Used                | 1.6202     | 1.5841      | 1.5555     | 0.4183      |
| (20                      | 5                       | Available           | 1.9882     | 2.0587      | 2.0774     | 1.8785      |
| 48,                      | 0                       | Used                | 2.6189     | 2.5901      | 2.5436     | 0.6416      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 3.1642     | 3.2022      | 3.2462     | 2.8590      |

 Table 40: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corrected |     | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |       | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-----|------------|------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S   | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 0         | 486 | 0          | 300  | 6234               | 4706  | 518                     | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 0         | 577 | 0          | 435  | 13173              | 9258  | 634                     | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 0         | 579 | 0          | 571  | 20364              | 13718 | 642                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 0         | 579 | 0          | 1524 | 1000927            | 44904 | 642                     | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 0         | 328 | 0          | 89   | 6479               | 5061  | 292                     | 0   |
| 2048x2048          | Four Pixels | 0         | 330 | 0          | 149  | 13558              | 9786  | 296                     | 0   |
|                    | Six Pixels  | 0         | 330 | 0          | 227  | 20783              | 14321 | 298                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 0         | 330 | 0          | 430  | 1001176            | 46342 | 298                     | 0   |

 Table 41: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corrected |     | Turned Bad |     | Maintained Correct |       | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-----|------------|-----|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L | L→S        | S→L | L→L                | S→S   | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 0         | 486 | 0          | 15  | 6234               | 4991  | 518                     | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 0         | 577 | 0          | 17  | 13173              | 9676  | 634                     | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 0         | 579 | 0          | 20  | 20364              | 14269 | 642                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 0         | 579 | 0          | 53  | 1000927            | 46375 | 642                     | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 0         | 328 | 0          | 1   | 6479               | 5149  | 292                     | 0   |
| 2048x2048          | Four Pixels | 0         | 330 | 0          | 4   | 13558              | 9931  | 296                     | 0   |
|                    | Six Pixels  | 0         | 330 | 0          | 4   | 20783              | 14544 | 298                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 0         | 330 | 0          | 16  | 1001176            | 46756 | 298                     | 0   |

 Table 42: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | One                | Used                | 2.4802     | 2.5010      | 2.5125     | 0.8570      |
| ٤10                      | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 24x                      | Two                | Used                | 7.5215     | 7.1057      | 7.6283     | 2.6583      |
| 10                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.1303    | 12.1380     | 12.1448    | 12.4070     |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 2.5974     | 2.6077      | 2.6164     | 0.8670      |
| <b>έ</b> 20              | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 48,                      | Two                | Used                | 7.9256     | 7.1057      | 7.9950     | 2.6924      |
| 50                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.2053    | 12.2097     | 12.2229    | 12.4218     |

Table 43: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the with viewpoint of the

| Co         | Contour Thickness |   | Two | Pixels | Four | Pixels | Six P | ixels | Whole Image |      |
|------------|-------------------|---|-----|--------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------------|------|
|            | Lig               | hting   | L→S | S→L    | L→S  | S→L    | L→S   | S→L   | L→S         | S→L  |
|            |                   | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 0   | 486    | 0    | 577    | 0     | 579   | 0           | 579  |
|            |                   | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0   | 14     | 0    | 16     | 0     | 18    | 0           | 33   |
|            |                   | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 192 | 0      | 248  | 0      | 249   | 0     | 249         | 0    |
|            | )24x1024          | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0   | 0      | 0    | 0      | 0     | 0     | 0           | 0    |
|            | 1(                | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0   | 389    | 0    | 621    | 0     | 800   | 0           | 2091 |
| Resolution | 1                 | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0   | 1      | 0    | 1      | 0     | 2     | 0           | 20   |
| v Map      |                   | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 0   | 328    | 0    | 330    | 0     | 330   | 0           | 330  |
| hadov      |                   | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0   | 1      | 0    | 2      | 0     | 2     | 0           | 3    |
| S          |                   | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 169 | 0      | 171  | 0      | 171   | 0     | 171         | 0    |
| 8706-38706 | )48x2048          | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0   | 0      | 0    | 0      | 0     | 0     | 0           | 0    |
|            | 2(                | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0   | 155    | 0    | 252    | 0     | 320   | 0           | 562  |
|            |                   | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0   | 0      | 0    | 2      | 0     | 2     | 0           | 13   |

Table 44: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

by lighting change for the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| n                 |                                     |                | 1024x1024      |                |                | 2048x2048      |                |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Algorithi<br>Step | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels     | Four Pixels    | Six Pixel      | Two Pixels     | Four Pixels    | Six Pixel      |
|                   | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 12244          | 24077          | 35874          | 12249          | 24119          | 35959          |
| lap               | Correct Light                       | 6234           | 13173          | 20364          | 6479           | 13558          | 20783          |
|                   | Pixels                              | (92.33%)       | (95.41%)       | (96.94%)       | (95.69%)       | (97.86%)       | (98.59%)       |
| d wobi            | Correct Shadow                      | 5006           | 9693           | 14289          | 5150           | 9935           | 14548          |
|                   | Pixels                              | (91.15%)       | (94.38%)       | (96.11%)       | (94.01%)       | (96.79%)       | (97.78%)       |
| Sha               | Incorrect Light                     | 518            | 634            | 642            | 292            | 296            | 298            |
|                   | Pixels                              | (7.67%)        | (4.59%)        | (3.06%)        | (4.31%)        | (2.14%)        | (1.41%)        |
|                   | Incorrect Shadow                    | 486            | 577            | 579            | 328            | 330            | 330            |
|                   | Pixels                              | (8.85%)        | (5.62%)        | (3.89%)        | (5.99%)        | (3.21%)        | (2.22%)        |
|                   | Confirmations in                    | 5137           | 10965          | 17697          | 5294           | 12124          | 19331          |
|                   | Light                               | (76.08%)       | (79.42%)       | (84.25%)       | (78.19%)       | (87.51%)       | (91.70%)       |
| erence            | Confirmations in                    | 3995           | 7773           | 12007          | 4143           | 8800           | 13413          |
|                   | Shadow                              | (72.74%)       | (75.69%)       | (80.76%)       | (75.63%)       | (85.73%)       | (90.15%)       |
| sxel Coh          | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 3 (0.04%)      | 6 (0.04%)      | 8 (0.04%)      | 2 (0.03%)      | 4 (0.03%)      | 6 (0.03%)      |
| Te                | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 0 (0.00%)      | 0 (0.00%)      | 0 (0.00%)      | 0 (0.00%)      | 0 (0.00%)      | 0 (0.00%)      |
| ouring            | Corrections from                    | 192            | 248            | 249            | 168            | 169            | 169            |
| cels              | Light                               | (2.84%)        | (1.80%)        | (1.19%)        | (2.48%)        | (1.22%)        | (0.80%)        |
| Neighb            | Confirmations in                    | 4614           | 9117           | 13679          | 5006           | 9784           | 14397          |
| Tey               | Shadow                              | (84.01%)       | (88.77%)       | (92.00%)       | (91.38%)       | (95.31%)       | (96.77%)       |
| Adjacent          | Confirmations in                    | 4992           | 9678           | 14274          | 5149           | 9934           | 14547          |
| Geometry          | Shadow                              | (90.90%)       | (94.24%)       | (96.00%)       | (93.99%)       | (96.78%)       | (97.78%)       |
| ighting           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 323<br>(4.78%) | 380<br>(2.75%) | 385<br>(1.83%) | 122<br>(1.80%) | 123<br>(0.89%) | 123<br>(0.58%) |
| Final L           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 14 (0.25%)     | 15 (0.15%)     | 15 (0.10%)     | 1 (0.02%)      | 1 (0.01%)      | 1 (0.01%)      |

Table 45: Algorithm results of the with viewpoint of the primitives scene.

Following are the results of the "against" viewpoint of the "primitives" scene.



Figure 113: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 114: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 115: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 116: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 117: Result of the single texel approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 118: Result of the neighbour texels approach using three pixels for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 119: Result of the neighbour texels approach using eight pixels for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 120: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 121: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.



Figure 122: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.





Figure 123: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 124: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map | Ammanah                               |               | Contour       | Thickness     |               |
|------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Resolution | Approach                              | Two Pixels    | Four Pixels   | Six Pixels    | Whole Image   |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour                  | 11229         | 22196         | 32998         | 1048576       |
|            | Shadow Map                            | 2582 (22.99%) | 3799 (17.12%) | 4272 (12.95%) | 4497 (0.43%)  |
|            | Single Texel                          | 2559 (22.79%) | 4499 (20.27%) | 6021 (18.25%) | 36323 (3.46%) |
|            | Neighbour                             | 1747 (15 56%) | 2066 (12 81%) | 2060 (12 02%) | 12058 (1.24%) |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbours)                           | 1747 (15.50%) | 5000 (15.81%) | 3909 (12.03%) | 12938 (1.24%) |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbour<br>Texels (9<br>Neighbours) | 1352 (12.04%) | 2367 (10.66%) | 3048 (9.24%)  | 8768 (0.84%)  |
|            | Adjacent<br>Geometry (One<br>Level)   | 1882 (16.76%) | 2956 (13.32%) | 3602 (10.92%) | 8643 (0.82%)  |
|            | Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>(Two Level)   | 1311 (11.68%) | 1931 (8.70%)  | 2194 (6.65%)  | 2548 (0.24%)  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour                  | 11186         | 22079         | 32776         | 1048576       |
|            | Shadow Map                            | 1922 (17.18%) | 2222 (10.06%) | 2251 (6.87%)  | 2252 (0.21%)  |
|            | Single Texel                          | 1915 (17.12%) | 3118 (14.12%) | 3976 (12.13%) | 20399 (1.95%) |
|            | Neighbour<br>Texels (4<br>Neighbours) | 1000 (8.94%)  | 1561 (7.07%)  | 1832 (5.59%)  | 4181 (0.40%)  |
| 2048x2048  | Neighbour<br>Texels (9<br>Neighbours) | 776 (6.94%)   | 1169 (5.29%)  | 1340 (4.09%)  | 2731 (0.26%)  |
|            | Adjacent<br>Geometry (One<br>Level)   | 1235 (11.04%) | 1634 (7.40%)  | 1816 (5.54%)  | 3167 (0.30%)  |
|            | Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>(Two Level)   | 940 (8.40%)   | 1103 (5.00%)  | 1135 (3.46%)  | 1237 (0.12%)  |

Table 46: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the against viewpoint of

the primitives scene.

| Shadow Man Pasalution | Contour Thickness     |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels            | Four Pixels           | Six Pixels            |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 2582 of 4497 (57.42%) | 3799 of 4497 (84.48%) | 4272 of 4497 (95.00%) |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 1922 of 2252 (85.35%) | 2222 of 2252 (98.67%) | 2251 of 2252 (99.96%) |  |  |  |  |

Table 47: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the against viewpoint of

the primitives scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel S        | Shading        |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow         |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 1256 of 5663   | 1326 of 5566   |
| 1024-1024             | Four Pixels       | 1827 of 11300  | 1972 of 10896  |
| 1024X1024             | Six Pixels        | 2035 of 16905  | 2237 of 16093  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 2118 of 918386 | 2379 of 130190 |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 932 of 5650    | 990 of 5536    |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels       | 1082 of 11267  | 1140 of 10812  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 1100 of 16833  | 1151 of 15943  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 1100 of 918595 | 1152 of 129981 |

Table 48: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the against viewpoint of the primitives scene, separated inpixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.

| Chadaw     |              |           |                          | Texel Co  | oherence  |                          |           |
|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Map        | Contour      |           | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |
| Resolution | Thickness    | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |
|            | Two Pixels   | 2257      | 1(0.02%)                 | 3406      | 2289      | 0(0.00%)                 | 3277      |
|            | I WO I IACIS | (39.86%)  | 1 (0.0270)               | (60.14%)  | (41.12%)  | 0 (0.00 %)               | (58.88%)  |
|            | Four Divala  | 4788      | 1(0.01%)                 | 6512      | 4588      | 0(0.00%)                 | 6308      |
| 1024+1024  | Four Fixers  | (42.37%)  | 1 (0.01%)                | (57.63%)  | (42.11%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (57.89%)  |
| 1024x1024  | Six Pixels   | 8433      | 1(0.0107)                | 8472      | 7873      | 0(0,0007)                | 8220      |
|            | SIX PIXEIS   | (49.88%)  | 1 (0.01%)                | (50.12%)  | (48.92%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (51.08%)  |
|            | Whole        | 907090    | 1 (0.0007)               | 11296     | 119227    | 1 (0.0007)               | 10963     |
|            | Image        | (98.77%)  | 1 (0.00%)                | (1.23%)   | (91.58%)  | 1 (0.00%)                | (8.42%)   |
|            | Two Divola   | 2392      | 1(0.0207)                | 3258      | 2387      | 0(0,0007)                | 3149      |
|            | I WO FIXEIS  | (42.34%)  | 1 (0.02%)                | (57.66%)  | (43.12%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (56.88%)  |
|            | Four Divala  | 6390      | 1(0.01%)                 | 4877      | 6036      | 0(0.00%)                 | 4776      |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels  | (56.71%)  | 1 (0.01%)                | (43.29%)  | (55.83%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (44.17%)  |
| 204682046  | Six Divola   | 11298     | 1(0.01%)                 | 5535      | 10524     | 0(0.00%)                 | 5419      |
| _          | SIX FIXEIS   | (67.12%)  | 1 (0.01%)                | (32.88%)  | (66.01%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (33.99%)  |
|            | Whole        | 912949    | 1 (0.00%)                | 5646      | 124459    | 1 (0.00%)                | 5522      |
|            | Image        | (99.39%)  | 1 (0.00%)                | (0.61%)   | (95.75%)  | 1 (0.00%)                | (4.25%)   |

Table 49: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the primitives

scene.

|                         |                |                     |  |                     | Texel Sh                                    | adowing             |  |                     |   |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| 0                       | less           |                     | Li   | ght                 |   |                     | Sha  | dow                 |   |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolution | Contour Thickr | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 812                 | 646  | 1236                | 1140  | 1084                | 982  | 856                 | 675   |
| 1024                    | Four<br>Pixels | 1302                | 919  | 2577                | 2456  | 2354                | 2220   | 1352                | 972   |
| 024x                    | Six<br>Pixels  | 1384                | 956  | 3472                | 3346  | 3186                | 3041   | 1438                | 1015  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 1384                | 956  | 4466                | 4340  | 4104                | 3956   | 1438                | 1015  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 640                 | 463  | 1279                | 1216  | 1156                | 1111   | 675                 | 480   |
| 2048                    | Four<br>Pixels | 678                 | 479  | 1968                | 1904  | 1839                | 1794   | 712                 | 496   |
| 2048x                   | Six<br>Pixels  | 678                 | 479  | 2173                | 2109  | 2033                | 1988   | 712                 | 496   |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 678                 | 479  | 2200                | 2136  | 2068                | 2023   | 712                 | 496   |

 Table 50: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow      |                |                    |                          | Texel Co          | oherence           |                          |                   |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Map         | Contour        |                    | Light                    |                   |                    | Shadow                   |                   |
| Resolution  | Thickness      | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided         | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided         |
|             | Two Pixels     | 2103<br>(37.14%)   | 1 (0.02%)                | 3560<br>(62.86%)  | 2266<br>(40.71%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 3300<br>(59.29%)  |
| 1024+1024   | Four Pixels    | 4376<br>(38.73%)   | 1 (0.01%)                | 6924<br>(61.27%)  | 4449<br>(40.83%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 6447<br>(59.17%)  |
| 1024x1024   | Six Pixels     | 6749<br>(39.92%)   | 1 (0.01%)                | 10156<br>(60.08%) | 6575<br>(40.86%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 9518<br>(59.14%)  |
|             | Whole<br>Image | 895539<br>(97.51%) | 1 (0.00%)                | 22847<br>(2.49%)  | 108429<br>(83.29%) | 1 (0.00%)                | 21761<br>(16.71%) |
|             | Two Pixels     | 2220<br>(39.29%)   | 1 (0.02%)                | 3430<br>(60.71%)  | 2295<br>(41.46%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 3241<br>(58.54%)  |
| 2048+2048   | Four Pixels    | 4711<br>(41.81%)   | 1 (0.01%)                | 6556<br>(58.19%)  | 4595<br>(42.50%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 6217<br>(57.50%)  |
| 2048x2048 - | Six Pixels     | 8118<br>(48.23%)   | 1 (0.01%)                | 8715<br>(51.77%)  | 7632<br>(47.87%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 8311<br>(52.13%)  |
|             | Whole<br>Image | 907160<br>(98.76%) | 1 (0.00%)                | 11435<br>(1.24%)  | 118950<br>(91.51%) | 1 (0.00%)                | 11031<br>(8.49%)  |

Table 51: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the primitives

scene.

| 0.             |                         |        |        |        | Shado | w Map  |        |        |       |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Aap<br>g       | ng                      |        | 10242  | x1024  |       |        | 20482  | x2048  |       |
| low N<br>ghtin | [exel<br>idowi          | Two    | Four   | Six    | Whole | Two    | Four   | Six    | Whole |
| Shac           | Sha                     | Pixels | Pixels | Pixels | Image | Pixels | Pixels | Pixels | Image |
|                | 8 S-1 L                 | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     |
|                | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     |
| Light          | 7 S-2 L                 | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3     | 4      | 4      | 4      | 4     |
|                | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3     | 4      | 4      | 4      | 4     |
|                | 6 S-3 L                 | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     |
|                | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     |
|                | 5 S-4 L                 | 37     | 66     | 88     | 99    | 31     | 46     | 46     | 46    |
| ţht            | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 9      | 9      | 9      | 9     | 15     | 15     | 15     | 15    |
| Lig]           | 4 S-5 L                 | 1108   | 2019   | 2749   | 3559  | 1005   | 1652   | 1776   | 1776  |
|                | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 437    | 1015   | 1631   | 2405  | 488    | 1059   | 1179   | 1179  |
|                | 3 S-6 L                 | 1513   | 2880   | 4092   | 9150  | 1412   | 2532   | 3262   | 4525  |
|                | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 955    | 2086   | 3204   | 8215  | 1025   | 2071   | 2787   | 4050  |
|                | 2 S-7 L                 | 580    | 1103   | 1607   | 3924  | 570    | 1071   | 1480   | 2014  |
|                | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 567    | 1088   | 1592   | 3909  | 562    | 1063   | 1472   | 2006  |
|                | 1 S-8 L                 | 318    | 852    | 1616   | 6111  | 408    | 1251   | 2147   | 3070  |
|                | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 318    | 852    | 1616   | 6111  | 408    | 1251   | 2147   | 3070  |
|                | 8 S-1 L                 | 128    | 510    | 1142   | 5420  | 256    | 990    | 1846   | 2752  |
|                | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 126    | 508    | 1140   | 5417  | 256    | 990    | 1846   | 2752  |
|                | 7 S-2 L                 | 500    | 987    | 1472   | 3670  | 500    | 974    | 1349   | 1882  |
|                | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 467    | 941    | 1418   | 3614  | 485    | 959    | 1334   | 1867  |
|                | 6 S-3 L                 | 1448   | 2748   | 3927   | 8893  | 1379   | 2472   | 3172   | 4453  |
|                | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 949    | 2016   | 3078   | 7961  | 977    | 2000   | 2693   | 3974  |
|                | 5 S-4 L                 | 1176   | 2154   | 2929   | 3730  | 1084   | 1757   | 1920   | 1920  |
| MC             | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 431    | 1009   | 1644   | 2390  | 533    | 1126   | 1285   | 1285  |
| nado           | 4 S-5 L                 | 16     | 16     | 16     | 16    | 9      | 11     | 11     | 11    |
| Sł             | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 15     | 15     | 15     | 15    | 9      | 9      | 9      | 9     |
|                | 3 S-6 L                 | 17     | 17     | 17     | 17    | 9      | 9      | 9      | 9     |
|                | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 17     | 17     | 17     | 17    | 9      | 9      | 9      | 9     |
|                | 2 S-7 L                 | 12     | 12     | 12     | 12    | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3     |
|                | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 12     | 12     | 12     | 12    | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3     |
|                | 1 S-8 L                 | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3     | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1     |
|                | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3     | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1     |

Table 52: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 3         | 1326 | 0          | 1306  | 4407               | 2934   | 1253                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 3         | 1972 | 0          | 2675  | 9473               | 6249   | 1824                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 3         | 2237 | 0          | 3989  | 14870              | 9867   | 2032                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 3         | 2379 | 0          | 34208 | 916268             | 93603  | 2115                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 3         | 990  | 0          | 986   | 4718               | 3560   | 929                     | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Four Pixels | 3         | 1140 | 0          | 2039  | 10185              | 7633   | 1079                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels  | 3         | 1151 | 0          | 2879  | 15733              | 11913  | 1097                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 3         | 1152 | 0          | 19302 | 917495             | 109527 | 1097                    | 0   |

 Table 53: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turne | Turned Bad |        | Maintained Correct |      | Maintained<br>Incorrect |  |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|-------|------------|--------|--------------------|------|-------------------------|--|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S   | S→L        | L→L    | S→S                | L→L  | S→S                     |  |
| 1024 1024  | Two Pixels  | 492       | 1326 | 0     | 983        | 4407   | 3257               | 764  | 0                       |  |
|            | Four Pixels | 654       | 1972 | 0     | 1893       | 9473   | 7031               | 1173 | 0                       |  |
| 1024x1024  | Six Pixels  | 692       | 2237 | 0     | 2626       | 14870  | 11230              | 1343 | 0                       |  |
|            | Whole Image | 697       | 2379 | 0     | 11537      | 916268 | 116274             | 1421 | 0                       |  |
|            | Two Pixels  | 496       | 990  | 0     | 564        | 4718   | 3982               | 436  | 0                       |  |
| 2048x2048  | Four Pixels | 526       | 1140 | 0     | 1005       | 10185  | 8667               | 556  | 0                       |  |
|            | Six Pixels  | 526       | 1151 | 0     | 1258       | 15733  | 13534              | 574  | 0                       |  |
|            | Whole Image | 526       | 1152 | 0     | 3607       | 917495 | 125222             | 574  | 0                       |  |

Table 54: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping

approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 650       | 1326 | 0          | 746  | 4407               | 3494   | 606                     | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 880       | 1972 | 0          | 1420 | 9473               | 7504   | 947                     | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 951       | 2237 | 0          | 1964 | 14870              | 11892  | 1084                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 973       | 2379 | 0          | 7623 | 916268             | 120188 | 1145                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 574       | 990  | 0          | 418  | 4718               | 4128   | 358                     | 0   |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels | 631       | 1140 | 0          | 718  | 10185              | 8954   | 451                     | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels  | 634       | 1151 | 0          | 874  | 15733              | 13918  | 466                     | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 634       | 1152 | 0          | 2265 | 917495             | 126564 | 466                     | 0   |

 Table 55: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.6412     | 1.6300      | 1.6140     | 0.3340      |
| (10                      | 3                       | Available           | 1.8256     | 1.8301      | 1.8905     | 2.3087      |
| 243                      | 0                       | Used                | 2.9919     | 2.9659      | 2.9501     | 0.5905      |
| 10                       | 0                       | Available           | 3.3178     | 3.2965      | 3.2863     | 3.8000      |
| 48                       | 3                       | Used                | 1.4886     | 1.3992      | 1.3600     | 0.2555      |
| <b>ć</b> 20              | 5                       | Available           | 1.6243     | 1.7016      | 1.7675     | 1.8358      |
| 48,                      | Q                       | Used                | 2.3250     | 2.2859      | 2.2285     | 0.3950      |
| 8 204                    |                         | Available           | 2.5855     | 2.5631      | 2.5890     | 2.7329      |

 Table 56: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 6         | 1326 | 0          | 632  | 4407               | 3608   | 1250                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 6         | 1972 | 0          | 1135 | 9473               | 7789   | 1821                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 6         | 2237 | 0          | 1573 | 14870              | 12283  | 2029                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 6         | 2379 | 0          | 6531 | 916268             | 121280 | 2112                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 5         | 990  | 0          | 308  | 4718               | 4238   | 927                     | 0   |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels | 5         | 1140 | 0          | 557  | 10185              | 9115   | 1077                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels  | 5         | 1151 | 0          | 721  | 15733              | 14071  | 1095                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 5         | 1152 | 0          | 2072 | 917495             | 126757 | 1095                    | 0   |

 Table 57: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map  | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |     | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution  | THICKNESS   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024   | Two Pixels  | 6         | 1326 | 0          | 61  | 4407               | 4179   | 1250                    | 0   |
|             | Four Pixels | 6         | 1972 | 0          | 110 | 9473               | 8814   | 1821                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024   | Six Pixels  | 6         | 2237 | 0          | 165 | 14870              | 13691  | 2029                    | 0   |
|             | Whole Image | 6         | 2379 | 0          | 436 | 916268             | 127375 | 2112                    | 0   |
|             | Two Pixels  | 5         | 990  | 0          | 13  | 4718               | 4533   | 927                     | 0   |
| 2048x2048 - | Four Pixels | 5         | 1140 | 0          | 26  | 10185              | 9646   | 1077                    | 0   |
|             | Six Pixels  | 5         | 1151 | 0          | 40  | 15733              | 14752  | 1095                    | 0   |
|             | Whole Image | 5         | 1152 | 0          | 142 | 917495             | 128687 | 1095                    | 0   |

 Table 58: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | One                | Used                | 2.2196     | 2.2274      | 2.2412     | 0.5277      |
| (10                      | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 24,                      | Two                | Used                | 6.7627     | 7.1057      | 6.8057     | 1.6326      |
| 10                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.1871    | 12.1625     | 12.1464    | 12.3744     |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 2.2410     | 2.2581      | 2.2689     | 0.5292      |
| (20                      | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 48,                      | Two                | Used                | 6.7846     | 7.1057      | 6.8511     | 1.6362      |
| 50                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.1098    | 12.0856     | 12.0785    | 12.3665     |

Table 59: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the against viewpoint of the

| Co         | ntour    | Thickness                                     | Two | Pixels | Four | Pixels | Six F | ixels | Whole Image |      |
|------------|----------|---|-----|--------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------------|------|
|            | Lig      | hting   | L→S | S→L    | L→S  | S→L    | L→S   | S→L   | L→S         | S→L  |
|            |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 5   | 1326   | 5    | 1972   | 5     | 2237  | 5           | 2379 |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0   | 54     | 0    | 92     | 0     | 130   | 0           | 241  |
|            |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 645 | 0      | 875  | 0      | 946   | 0     | 968         | 0    |
| Resolution | )24x1024 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 1   | 0      | 1    | 0      | 1     | 0     | 1           | 0    |
|            | 1(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0   | 692    | 0    | 1328   | 0     | 1834  | 0           | 7382 |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0   | 7      | 0    | 18     | 0     | 35    | 0           | 195  |
| v Map      |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 5   | 990    | 5    | 1140   | 5     | 1151  | 5           | 1152 |
| hadov      |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0   | 10     | 0    | 11     | 0     | 13    | 0           | 29   |
| S          |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 569 | 0      | 626  | 0      | 629   | 0     | 629         | 0    |
|            | )48x2048 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0   | 0      | 0    | 0      | 0     | 0     | 0           | 0    |
|            | 2(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0   | 408    | 0    | 707    | 0     | 861   | 0           | 2236 |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0   | 3      | 0    | 15     | 0     | 27    | 0           | 113  |

Table 60: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

by lighting change for the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.

| Algorithm<br>Step      |                                     | 1024x1024        |                  |                   | 2048x2048        |                   |                   |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                        | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels       | Four Pixels      | Six Pixel         | Two Pixels       | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         |
| Shadow Map             | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 11229            | 22196            | 32998             | 11186            | 22079             | 32776             |
|                        | Correct Light<br>Pixels             | 4407<br>(77.82%) | 9473<br>(83.83%) | 14870<br>(87.96%) | 4718<br>(83.50%) | 10185<br>(90.40%) | 15733<br>(93.47%) |
|                        | Correct Shadow<br>Pixels            | 4240<br>(76.18%) | 8924<br>(81.90%) | 13856<br>(86.10%) | 4546<br>(82.12%) | 9672<br>(89.46%)  | 14792<br>(92.78%) |
|                        | Incorrect Light<br>Pixels           | 1256<br>(22.18%) | 1827<br>(16.17%) | 2035<br>(12.04%)  | 932<br>(16.50%)  | 1082<br>(9.60%)   | 1100<br>(6.53%)   |
|                        | Incorrect Shadow<br>Pixels          | 1326<br>(23.82%) | 1972<br>(18.10%) | 2237<br>(13.90%)  | 990<br>(17.88%)  | 1140<br>(10.54%)  | 1151<br>(7.22%)   |
| Texel Coherence        | Confirmations in<br>Light           | 2257<br>(39.86%) | 4788<br>(42.37%) | 8433<br>(49.88%)  | 2392<br>(42.34%) | 6390<br>(56.71%)  | 11298<br>(67.12%) |
|                        | Confirmations in Shadow             | 2289<br>(41.12%) | 4588<br>(42.11%) | 7873<br>(48.92%)  | 2387<br>(43.12%) | 6036<br>(55.83%)  | 10524<br>(66.01%) |
|                        | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 10 (0.00%)       | 10 (0.00%)       | 10 (0.00%)        | 10 (0.00%)       | 10 (0.00%)        | 10 (0.00%)        |
|                        | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         |
| Neighbouring<br>Texels | Corrections from<br>Light           | 650<br>(11.48%)  | 880<br>(7.79%)   | 951<br>(5.63%)    | 574<br>(10.16%)  | 631<br>(5.60%)    | 634<br>(3.77%)    |
|                        | Confirmations in<br>Shadow          | 3508<br>(63.03%) | 7534<br>(69.14%) | 12078<br>(75.05%) | 4131<br>(74.62%) | 9051<br>(83.71%)  | 14118<br>(88.55%) |
| Adjacent<br>Geometry   | Confirmations in<br>Shadow          | 4186<br>(75.21%) | 8832<br>(81.06%) | 13753<br>(85.46%) | 4536<br>(81.94%) | 9662<br>(89.36%)  | 14782<br>(92.72%) |
| Final Lighting         | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 606<br>(10.70%)  | 947<br>(8.38%)   | 1084<br>(6.41%)   | 358<br>(6.34%)   | 451<br>(4.00%)    | 466<br>(2.77%)    |
|                        | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 54 (0.97%)       | 92 (0.84%)       | 103<br>(0.64%)    | 10 (0.18%)       | 10 (0.09%)        | 10 (0.06%)        |

Table 61: Algorithm results of the against viewpoint of the primitives scene.

Below are the results for the "side" viewpoint of the "bench" scene.



Figure 125: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 126: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.


Figure 127: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 128: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 129: Result of the single texel approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 130: Result of the neighbour texels approach with four neighbours for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 131: Result of the neighbour texels approach with nine neighbours for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 132: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 133: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 134: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 135: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the side viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

30%

40% 50% 60%

70% 80%

90% 100%

30% 20% 10% 0% 10% 20%

80%

100%

90%

70%

60%

50%

40%



Figure 136: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the side viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map | Ammanah                   |                 | Contour       | Thickness       |               |  |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Resolution | Approach                  | Two Pixels      | Four Pixels   | Six Pixels      | Whole Image   |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour      | 47615           | 85277         | 117574          | 1048576       |  |
|            | Shadow Map                | 5775 (12.13%)   | 6361 (7.46%)  | 6540 (5.56%)    | 6847 (0.65%)  |  |
|            | Single Texel              | 6475 (13.60%)   | 9321 (10.93%) | 11605 (9.87%)   | 33965 (3.24%) |  |
|            | Neighbour                 |                 |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Texels (4                 | 4157 (8.73%)    | 6007 (7.04%)  | 7265 (6.18%)    | 16861 (1.61%) |  |
|            | Neighbours)               |                 |               |                 |               |  |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbour                 |                 |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Texels (9                 | 3772 (7.92%)    | 5395 (6.33%)  | 6445 (5.48%)    | 13606 (1.30%) |  |
|            | Neighbours)               |                 |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Adjacent                  | 5400 (11 50 61) |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Geometry (One             | 5492 (11.53%)   | 7417 (8.70%)  | 8953 (7.61%)    | 21151 (2.02%) |  |
|            | Level)                    |                 |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Adjacent                  | 4722 (0.0401)   | (0(2)(7,110)) | 7127 (6.0701)   | 15002 (1 500) |  |
|            | (Two Level)               | 4732 (9.94%)    | 6062 (7.11%)  | /13/ (6.07%)    | 15923 (1.52%) |  |
|            | (I wo Level)<br>Divola in |                 |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Contour                   | 48096           | 85741         | 118318          | 1048576       |  |
|            | Shadow Map                | 3885 (8.08%)    | 4033 (4.70%)  | 4058 (3.43%)    | 4128 (0.39%)  |  |
|            | Single Texel              | 4928 (10.25%)   | 7120 (8.30%)  | 8732 (7.38%)    | 23592 (2.25%) |  |
|            | Neighbour                 |                 |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Texels (4                 | 2885 (6.00%)    | 4030 (4.70%)  | 4815 (4.07%)    | 10769 (1.03%) |  |
|            | Neighbours)               |                 |               |                 |               |  |
| 2048x2048  | Neighbour                 |                 |               |                 |               |  |
| 2010/2010  | Texels (9                 | 2464 (5.12%)    | 3347 (3.90%)  | 3935 (3.33%)    | 7839 (0.75%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)               |                 |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Adjacent                  |                 |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Geometry (One             | 3728 (7.75%)    | 4985 (5.81%)  | 5897 (4.98%)    | 13244 (1.26%) |  |
|            | Level)                    |                 |               |                 |               |  |
|            | Adjacent                  |                 | 2527 (4.116)  | 4021 (2.41 (2)) |               |  |
|            | Geometry                  | 2896 (6.02%)    | 3527 (4.11%)  | 4031 (3.41%)    | 8084 (0.77%)  |  |
|            | (Two Level)               |                 |               |                 |               |  |

Table 62: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the side viewpoint of the

bench scene.

| Shadow Man Pasalution | Contour Thickness     |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels            | Four Pixels           | Six Pixels            |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 5775 of 6847 (84.34%) | 6361 of 6847 (92.90%) | 6540 of 6847 (95.52%) |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 3885 of 4128 (94.11%) | 4033 of 4128 (97.70%) | 4058 of 4128 (98.30%) |  |  |  |  |

Table 63: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the side viewpoint of

the bench scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel Shading  |                |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow         |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 3536 of 22450  | 2239 of 25165  |  |  |  |
| 1024-1024             | Four Pixels       | 3837 of 38495  | 2524 of 46782  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | Six Pixels        | 3910 of 51325  | 2630 of 66249  |  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 3954 of 727189 | 2893 of 321387 |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 2286 of 22566  | 1599 of 25530  |  |  |  |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels       | 2321 of 38321  | 1712 of 47420  |  |  |  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 2324 of 51024  | 1734 of 67294  |  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 2333 of 726666 | 1795 of 321910 |  |  |  |

 Table 64: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the side viewpoint of the bench scene, separated in pixels

 defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.

| Chadaw             |             |           |                          | Texel Co  | oherence  |                          |            |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|
| Map                | Contour     |           | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |            |
| Resolution         | Thickness   | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided  |
|                    | Two Divola  | 12382     | 347                      | 10068     | 15717     | 15(0.0607)               | 9448       |
|                    | I wo Pixels | (55.15%)  | (1.55%)                  | (44.85%)  | (62.46%)  | 13 (0.00%)               | (37.54%)   |
|                    | Four Pixels | 26537     | 412                      | 11958     | 34515     | 72 (0 15%)               | 12267(26.2 |
| $1024 \times 1024$ |             | (68.94%)  | (1.07%)                  | (31.06%)  | (73.78%)  | 72 (0.13%)               | 2%)        |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 38867     | 440                      | 12458     | 52837     | 116                      | 13412      |
|                    |             | (75.73%)  | (0.86%)                  | (24.27%)  | (79.76%)  | (0.18%)                  | (20.24%)   |
|                    | Whole       | 714366    | 451                      | 12823     | 306506    | 310                      | 14881      |
|                    | Image       | (98.24%)  | (0.06%)                  | (1.76%)   | (95.37%)  | (0.10%)                  | (4.63%)    |
|                    | Two Divola  | 15340     | 129                      | 7226      | 18418     | 22(0.12%)                | 7112       |
|                    | I WO FIXEIS | (67.98%)  | (0.57%)                  | (32.02%)  | (72.14%)  | 33 (0.13%)               | (27.86%)   |
|                    | Four Divola | 30465     | 144                      | 7856      | 38912     | 71(0.15%)                | 8508       |
| 2018-2018          | Four Fixers | (79.50%)  | (0.38%)                  | (20.50%)  | (82.06%)  | /1 (0.15%)               | (17.94%)   |
| 204682046          | Six Divola  | 43081     | 145                      | 7943      | 58399     | 86(0.120)                | 8895       |
|                    | SIX FIXEIS  | (84.43%)  | (0.28%)                  | (15.57%)  | (86.78%)  | 80 (0.15%)               | (13.22%)   |
|                    | Whole       | 718661    | 145                      | 8005      | 312737    | 131                      | 9173       |
|                    | Image       | (98.90%)  | (0.02%)                  | (1.10%)   | (97.15%)  | (0.04%)                  | (2.85%)    |

Table 65: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.

|  | ~              |                     |  |                     | Texel Sh                                    | adowing             |  |                     |   |
|--|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| 0  | less           |                     | Lig  | ght                 |   |                     | Sha  | dow                 |   |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolutior<br>Contour Thick | Contour Thickr | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |
|  | Two<br>Pixels  | 2524                | 1590   | 3912                | 3414  | 4327                | 3895   | 1593                | 960   |
| 1024                                     | Four<br>Pixels | 2718                | 1659   | 4945                | 4403  | 6166                | 5610   | 1766                | 984   |
| 024x                                     | Six<br>Pixels  | 2718                | 1659   | 5291                | 4739  | 7041                | 6440   | 1799                | 989   |
|  | Whole<br>Image | 2734                | 1670   | 5545                | 4980  | 8255                | 7595   | 1810                | 991   |
|  | Two<br>Pixels  | 1544                | 985  | 3003                | 2672  | 3327                | 3060   | 1226                | 713   |
| 2048                                     | Four<br>Pixels | 1547                | 987  | 3431                | 3092  | 4265                | 3960   | 1263                | 715   |
| 048x2                                    | Six<br>Pixels  | 1548                | 988  | 3495                | 3155  | 4531                | 4223   | 1279                | 716   |
|  | Whole<br>Image | 1556                | 993  | 3522                | 3182  | 4687                | 4373   | 1293                | 718   |

| Table 66: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the |
|--|
| bench scene.   |

| Shadaw     |              | Texel Coherence |                          |           |           |                          |           |  |  |  |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Map        | Contour      |                 | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |  |  |  |
| Resolution | Thickness    | Confirmed       | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |  |  |  |
|            | Two Divelo   | 8247            | 174                      | 14203     | 12715     | 2(0.01%)                 | 12450     |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024  | I WO F IXEIS | (36.73%)        | (0.78%)                  | (63.27%)  | (50.53%)  | 2 (0.01%)                | (49.47%)  |  |  |  |
|            | Four Pixels  | 19626           | 183                      | 18869     | 28276     | 8 (0.02%)                | 18506     |  |  |  |
|            |              | (50.98%)        | (0.48%)                  | (49.02%)  | (60.44%)  | 8 (0.02 %)               | (39.56%)  |  |  |  |
|            | Six Pixels   | 30488           | 187                      | 20837     | 44458     | 16(0.02%)                | 21791     |  |  |  |
|            |              | (59.40%)        | (0.36%)                  | (40.60%)  | (67.11%)  | 10 (0.02%)               | (32.89%)  |  |  |  |
|            | Whole        | 704625          | 198                      | 22564     | 293499    | 174                      | 27888     |  |  |  |
|            | Image        | (96.90%)        | (0.03%)                  | (3.10%)   | (91.32%)  | (0.05%)                  | (8.68%)   |  |  |  |
|            | Two Divola   | 11762           | 67 (0 20%)               | 10804     | 15032     | 4(0.02%)                 | 10498     |  |  |  |
|            | I WO FIXEIS  | (52.12%)        | 07 (0.30%)               | (47.88%)  | (58.88%)  | 4 (0.02%)                | (41.12%)  |  |  |  |
|            | Four Divelo  | 25588           | 75 (0.20%)               | 12733     | 33488     | 12(0.03%)                | 13932     |  |  |  |
| 2018-2018  | Four Fixers  | (66.77%)        | 73 (0.20%)               | (33.23%)  | (70.62%)  | 12 (0.05%)               | (29.38%)  |  |  |  |
| 204682046  | Six Divola   | 37864           | 75(0.15%)                | 13160     | 52038     | 18(0.02%)                | 15256     |  |  |  |
|            | SIX FIXEIS   | (74.21%)        | 75 (0.15%)               | (25.79%)  | (77.33%)  | 18 (0.05%)               | (22.67%)  |  |  |  |
|            | Whole        | 713215          | 75(0,01%)                | 13451     | 305286    | 52(0,02%)                | 16624     |  |  |  |
|            | Image        | (98.15%)        | 75 (0.01%)               | (1.85%)   | (94.84%)  | 52 (0.02%)               | (5.16%)   |  |  |  |

Table 67: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.

| 0.                  |                         |               |                |               | Shado          | w Map         |                |               |                |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Mar<br>g            | В                       |               | 10242          | x1024         |                |               | 20482          | x2048         |                |
| Shadow A<br>Lightin | Texel                   | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |
| 01                  | 8 S-1 L                 | 567           | 607            | 607           | 607            | 159           | 159            | 159           | 162            |
|                     | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 352           | 362            | 362           | 362            | 93            | 93             | 93            | 93             |
|                     | 7 S-2 L                 | 663           | 804            | 822           | 823            | 379           | 382            | 382           | 382            |
|                     | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 341           | 392            | 402           | 402            | 187           | 187            | 187           | 187            |
|                     | 6 S-3 L                 | 857           | 1072           | 1104          | 1127           | 662           | 693            | 693           | 699            |
|                     | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 402           | 461            | 470           | 475            | 298           | 298            | 298           | 299            |
|                     | 5 S-4 L                 | 502           | 620            | 651           | 664            | 679           | 770            | 770           | 780            |
| ht                  | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 209           | 231            | 237           | 239            | 250           | 251            | 251           | 253            |
| Lig                 | 4 S-5 L                 | 2323          | 2775           | 2850          | 2874           | 1998          | 2153           | 2159          | 2168           |
|                     | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 1425          | 1828           | 1897          | 1914           | 1344          | 1492           | 1497          | 1504           |
|                     | 3 S-6 L                 | 3800          | 4915           | 5266          | 5466           | 2832          | 3176           | 3246          | 3271           |
|                     | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 3157          | 4227           | 4559          | 4752           | 2360          | 2697           | 2767          | 2790           |
|                     | 2 S-7 L                 | 2618          | 3494           | 3877          | 4147           | 1803          | 2137           | 2216          | 2287           |
|                     | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 2310          | 3155           | 3529          | 3787           | 1624          | 1954           | 2032          | 2103           |
|                     | 1 S-8 L                 | 2873          | 4582           | 5660          | 6856           | 2292          | 3263           | 3535          | 3702           |
|                     | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 2664          | 4348           | 5416          | 6612           | 2206          | 3169           | 3440          | 3607           |
|                     | 8 S-1 L                 | 2307          | 4570           | 6393          | 10973          | 2180          | 3932           | 4741          | 5574           |
|                     | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 2205          | 4414           | 6194          | 10719          | 2122          | 3854           | 4654          | 5478           |
|                     | 7 S-2 L                 | 2810          | 4248           | 4979          | 6062           | 2226          | 3031           | 3348          | 3644           |
|                     | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 2541          | 3902           | 4615          | 5658           | 2056          | 2821           | 3135          | 3426           |
|                     | 6 S-3 L                 | 3664          | 5022           | 5457          | 5707           | 2996          | 3477           | 3581          | 3723           |
|                     | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 3099          | 4427           | 4847          | 5092           | 2575          | 3037           | 3139          | 3272           |
|                     | 5 S-4 L                 | 2406          | 3037           | 3232          | 3363           | 2094          | 2297           | 2336          | 2380           |
| MO                  | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1544          | 2118           | 2298          | 2427           | 1493          | 1687           | 1725          | 1765           |
| had                 | 4 S-5 L                 | 465           | 577            | 606           | 634            | 449           | 541            | 558           | 583            |
| S                   | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 160           | 181            | 181           | 184            | 139           | 145            | 145           | 145            |
|                     | 3 S-6 L                 | 465           | 612            | 653           | 670            | 319           | 373            | 393           | 412            |
|                     | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 149           | 168            | 170           | 170            | 96            | 103            | 103           | 103            |
|                     | 2 S-7 L                 | 265           | 349            | 371           | 377            | 178           | 224            | 241           | 250            |
|                     | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 103           | 120            | 124           | 124            | 86            | 90             | 90            | 90             |
|                     | 1 S-8 L                 | 68            | 91             | 100           | 102            | 56            | 57             | 58            | 58             |
|                     | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 27            | 31             | 32            | 32             | 24            | 24             | 25            | 25             |

Table 68: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Inickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 64        | 2239 | 0          | 3003  | 18914              | 19923  | 3472                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 64        | 2524 | 0          | 5548  | 34658              | 38710  | 3773                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 64        | 2630 | 0          | 7759  | 47415              | 55860  | 3846                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 64        | 2893 | 0          | 30075 | 723235             | 288419 | 3890                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 56        | 1599 | 0          | 2698  | 20280              | 21233  | 2230                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Four Pixels | 56        | 1712 | 0          | 4855  | 36000              | 40853  | 2265                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels  | 56        | 1734 | 0          | 6464  | 48700              | 59096  | 2268                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 56        | 1795 | 0          | 21315 | 724333             | 298800 | 2277                    | 0   |

Table 69: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint

## of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turne | Turned Bad |        | Maintained Correct |      | Maintained<br>Incorrect |  |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|-------|------------|--------|--------------------|------|-------------------------|--|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S   | S→L        | L→L    | S→S                | L→L  | S→S                     |  |
| 1024 1024  | Two Pixels  | 1622      | 2239 | 0     | 2243       | 18914  | 20683              | 1914 | 0                       |  |
|            | Four Pixels | 1661      | 2524 | 0     | 3831       | 34658  | 40427              | 2176 | 0                       |  |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 1669      | 2630 | 0     | 5024       | 47415  | 58595              | 2241 | 0                       |  |
|            | Whole Image | 1681      | 2893 | 0     | 14588      | 723235 | 303906             | 2273 | 0                       |  |
|            | Two Pixels  | 1127      | 1599 | 0     | 1726       | 20280  | 22205              | 1159 | 0                       |  |
| 2048x2048  | Four Pixels | 1133      | 1712 | 0     | 2842       | 36000  | 42866              | 1188 | 0                       |  |
|            | Six Pixels  | 1134      | 1734 | 0     | 3625       | 48700  | 61935              | 1190 | 0                       |  |
|            | Whole Image | 1140      | 1795 | 0     | 9576       | 724333 | 310539             | 1193 | 0                       |  |

Table 70: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping

approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour<br>Thickness | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         |                      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels           | 1795      | 2239 | 0          | 2031  | 18914              | 20895  | 1741                    | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels          | 1854      | 2524 | 0          | 3412  | 34658              | 40846  | 1983                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels           | 1863      | 2630 | 0          | 4398  | 47415              | 59221  | 2047                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image          | 1879      | 2893 | 0          | 11531 | 723235             | 306963 | 2075                    | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels           | 1266      | 1599 | 0          | 1444  | 20280              | 22487  | 1020                    | 0   |
| 2048-2048          | Four Pixels          | 1276      | 1712 | 0          | 2302  | 36000              | 43406  | 1045                    | 0   |
| 204882048          | Six Pixels           | 1277      | 1734 | 0          | 2888  | 48700              | 62672  | 1047                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image          | 1284      | 1795 | 0          | 6790  | 724333             | 313325 | 1049                    | 0   |

 Table 71: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.6106     | 1.4925      | 1.4168     | 0.5363      |
| (10                      | 3                       | Available           | 1.9790     | 1.9579      | 1.9221     | 1.6291      |
| 24,                      | 0                       | Used                | 2.8643     | 2.6245      | 2.4574     | 0.8287      |
| 10                       | 0                       | Available           | 3.2868     | 3.2068      | 3.1183     | 2.4649      |
| 48                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.4633     | 1.3589      | 1.2932     | 0.4819      |
| (20                      | 5                       | Available           | 1.9108     | 1.8710      | 1.8228     | 1.4787      |
| 48,                      | 0                       | Used                | 2.4907     | 2.2582      | 2.1095     | 0.6899      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 3.0470     | 2.9452      | 2.8457     | 2.0924      |

 Table 72: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 99        | 2239 | 0          | 2055  | 18914              | 20871  | 3437                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 99        | 2524 | 0          | 3679  | 34658              | 40579  | 3738                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 99        | 2630 | 0          | 5142  | 47415              | 58477  | 3811                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 99        | 2893 | 0          | 17296 | 723235             | 301198 | 3855                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 82        | 1599 | 0          | 1524  | 20280              | 22407  | 2204                    | 0   |
| 2048+2048  | Four Pixels | 86        | 1712 | 0          | 2750  | 36000              | 42958  | 2235                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels  | 86        | 1734 | 0          | 3659  | 48700              | 61901  | 2238                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 86        | 1795 | 0          | 10997 | 724333             | 309118 | 2247                    | 0   |

 Table 73: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | THICKNESS   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 140       | 2239 | 0          | 1336  | 18914              | 21590  | 3396                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 148       | 2524 | 0          | 2373  | 34658              | 41885  | 3689                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 148       | 2630 | 0          | 3375  | 47415              | 60244  | 3762                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 148       | 2893 | 0          | 12117 | 723235             | 306377 | 3806                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 109       | 1599 | 0          | 719   | 20280              | 23212  | 2177                    | 0   |
| 2049-2049  | Four Pixels | 116       | 1712 | 0          | 1322  | 36000              | 44386  | 2205                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels  | 116       | 1734 | 0          | 1823  | 48700              | 63737  | 2208                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 116       | 1795 | 0          | 5867  | 724333             | 314248 | 2217                    | 0   |

 Table 74: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | One                | Used                | 2.4328     | 2.4567      | 2.4731     | 1.2381      |
| (10                      | Level              | Available           | 3.8549     | 3.8641      | 3.8724     | 3.9006      |
| 24x                      | Two                | Used                | 7.8846     | 7.9396      | 8.0098     | 4.0985      |
| 10.                      | Levels             | Available           | 12.4934    | 12.4881     | 12.5415    | 12.9121     |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 2.4726     | 2.5012      | 2.5187     | 1.2440      |
| ć20                      | Level              | Available           | 3.8447     | 3.8582      | 3.8682     | 3.8978      |
| 48,                      | Two                | Used                | 7.8913     | 7.9598      | 8.0509     | 4.1005      |
| 50                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.2702    | 12.2784     | 12.3648    | 12.8484     |

Table 75: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the side viewpoint of the

| Co                      | ntour    | Thickness                                     | Two  | Pixels | Four | Pixels | Six P | ixels | Whole Image |      |
|-------------------------|----------|---|------|--------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------------|------|
|                         | Lig      | ting  | L→S  | S→L    | L→S  | S→L    | L→S   | S→L   | L→S         | S→L  |
|                         |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 82   | 2239   | 86   | 2524   | 86    | 2630  | 86          | 2893 |
|                         |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 1072   | 0    | 1793   | 0     | 2386  | 0           | 6359 |
| Resolution<br>1024x1024 |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1713 | 0      | 1768 | 0      | 1777  | 0     | 1793        | 0    |
|                         | )24x1024 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 58   | 0      | 62   | 0      | 62    | 0     | 62          | 0    |
|                         | 1(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 959    | 0    | 1619   | 0     | 2012  | 0           | 5172 |
|                         |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 264    | 0    | 580    | 0     | 989   | 0           | 5758 |
| v Map                   |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 76   | 1599   | 78   | 1712   | 78    | 1734  | 78          | 1795 |
| hadov                   |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 487    | 0    | 831    | 0     | 1085  | 0           | 2575 |
| S                       |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1190 | 0      | 1198 | 0      | 1199  | 0     | 1206        | 0    |
|                         | )48x2048 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 33   | 0      | 38   | 0      | 38    | 0     | 38          | 0    |
|                         | 2(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 957    | 0    | 1471   | 0     | 1803  | 0           | 4215 |
|                         |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 232    | 0    | 491    | 0     | 738   | 0           | 3292 |

 Table 76: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

 by lighting change for the side viewpoint of the bench scene.

| n                 |                                     |                 | 1024x1024       |                 |                 | 2048x2048       |                 |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Algorithı<br>Step | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels      | Four Pixels     | Six Pixel       | Two Pixels      | Four Pixels     | Six Pixel       |
|                   | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 47615           | 85277           | 117574          | 48096           | 85741           | 118318          |
| lap               | Correct Light                       | 18914           | 34658           | 47415           | 20280           | 36000           | 48700           |
|                   | Pixels                              | (84.25%)        | (90.03%)        | (92.38%)        | (89.87%)        | (93.94%)        | (95.45%)        |
| M wobi            | Correct Shadow                      | 22926           | 44258           | 63619           | 23931           | 45708           | 65560           |
|                   | Pixels                              | (91.10%)        | (94.60%)        | (96.03%)        | (93.74%)        | (96.39%)        | (97.42%)        |
| Sha               | Incorrect Light                     | 3536            | 3837            | 3910            | 2286            | 2321            | 2324            |
|                   | Pixels                              | (15.75%)        | (9.97%)         | (7.62%)         | (10.13%)        | (6.06%)         | (4.55%)         |
|                   | Incorrect Shadow                    | 2239            | 2524            | 2630            | 1599            | 1712            | 1734            |
|                   | Pixels                              | (8.90%)         | (5.40%)         | (3.97%)         | (6.26%)         | (3.61%)         | (2.58%)         |
|                   | Confirmations in                    | 12382           | 26537           | 38867           | 15340           | 30465           | 43081           |
|                   | Light                               | (55.15%)        | (68.94%)        | (75.73%)        | (67.98%)        | (79.50%)        | (84.43%)        |
| srence            | Confirmations in                    | 15717           | 34515           | 52837           | 18418           | 38912           | 58399           |
|                   | Shadow                              | (62.46%)        | (73.78%)        | (79.76%)        | (72.14%)        | (82.06%)        | (86.78%)        |
| sxel Coh          | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 347<br>(1.55%)  | 412<br>(1.07%)  | 440<br>(0.86%)  | 129<br>(0.57%)  | 144<br>(0.38%)  | 145<br>(0.28%)  |
| Te                | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 15 (0.06%)      | 72 (0.15%)      | 116<br>(0.18%)  | 33 (0.13%)      | 71 (0.15%)      | 86 (0.13%)      |
| oouring           | Corrections from                    | 1765            | 1818            | 1827            | 1248            | 1256            | 1257            |
| kels              | Light                               | (7.86%)         | (4.72%)         | (3.56%)         | (5.53%)         | (3.28%)         | (2.46%)         |
| Neight            | Confirmations in                    | 21056           | 41606           | 60672           | 22796           | 44303           | 64076           |
| Te                | Shadow                              | (83.67%)        | (88.94%)        | (91.58%)        | (89.29%)        | (93.43%)        | (95.22%)        |
| Adjacent          | Confirmations in                    | 21969           | 42965           | 62186           | 23570           | 45277           | 65109           |
| Geometry          | Shadow                              | (87.30%)        | (91.84%)        | (93.87%)        | (92.32%)        | (95.48%)        | (96.75%)        |
| ighting           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 1782<br>(7.94%) | 2030<br>(5.27%) | 2094<br>(4.08%) | 1059<br>(4.69%) | 1086<br>(2.83%) | 1088<br>(2.13%) |
| Final L           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 987<br>(3.92%)  | 1437<br>(3.07%) | 1665<br>(2.51%) | 427<br>(1.67%)  | 573<br>(1.21%)  | 623<br>(0.93%)  |

Table 77: Algorithm results of the side viewpoint of the bench scene.

And below are the results of the "with" viewpoint of the "bench" scene.



Figure 137: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 138: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 139: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 140: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 141: Result of the single texel approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 142: Result of the neighbour texels approach with four neighbours for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 143: Result of the neighbour texels approach with nine neighbours for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 144: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 145: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 146: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 147: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the with viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 148: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the with viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map | Ammanah                |               | Contour       | Fhickness     |               |  |
|------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Resolution | Approach               | Two Pixels    | Four Pixels   | Six Pixels    | Whole Image   |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour   | 36910         | 65811         | 91380         | 1048576       |  |
|            | Shadow Map             | 6268 (16.98%) | 6664 (10.13%) | 6721 (7.36%)  | 6799 (0.65%)  |  |
|            | Single Texel           | 6643 (18.00%) | 8439 (12.82%) | 9284 (10.16%) | 20216 (1.93%) |  |
|            | Neighbour              |               |               |               |               |  |
|            | Texels (4              | 3783 (10.25%) | 4853 (7.37%)  | 5179 (5.67%)  | 5591 (0.53%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)            |               |               |               |               |  |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbour              |               |               |               |               |  |
|            | Texels (9              | 3298 (8.94%)  | 4247 (6.45%)  | 4535 (4.96%)  | 4801 (0.46%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)            |               |               |               |               |  |
|            | Adjacent               |               |               |               |               |  |
|            | Geometry (One          | 5546 (15.03%) | 6669 (10.13%) | 7076 (7.74%)  | 8278 (0.79%)  |  |
|            | Level)                 |               |               |               |               |  |
|            | Adjacent               |               |               |               |               |  |
|            | Geometry               | 4833 (13.09%) | 5619 (8.54%)  | 5911 (6.47%)  | 6800 (0.65%)  |  |
|            | (Two Level)            |               |               |               |               |  |
|            | Pixels in              | 36758         | 65457         | 91013         | 1048576       |  |
|            | Contour<br>Chadaaa Mar | 2602 (0.900)  | 2674 (5 6101) | 2694 (4.0501) | 2710 (0.250)  |  |
|            | Shadow Map             | 3603 (9.80%)  | 36/4 (5.61%)  | 3684 (4.05%)  | 3/10 (0.35%)  |  |
|            | Single Texel           | 4811 (13.09%) | 6084 (9.29%)  | 6629 (7.28%)  | 12081 (1.15%) |  |
|            | Neighbour              | 2506 (7.049)  | 2276 (5.1691) |               | 2754 (0.269)  |  |
|            | Texels (4              | 2586 (7.04%)  | 3376 (5.16%)  | 3629 (3.99%)  | 3754 (0.36%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)            |               |               |               |               |  |
| 2048x2048  | Neighbour              | 2110(5740)    | 27(0(4)22(1)  | 2005(2.000)   | 20(2(0,200))  |  |
|            | Texels (9              | 2110 (5.74%)  | 2760 (4.22%)  | 2985 (3.28%)  | 3063 (0.29%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)            |               |               |               |               |  |
|            | Adjacent               | 2542 (0 (401) | 1000 (( 5501) | 1577 (E 0201) | 5101 (0 400)  |  |
|            | Geometry (One          | 3343 (9.04%)  | 4288 (0.55%)  | 4377 (3.03%)  | 5101 (0.49%)  |  |
|            | Level)                 |               |               |               |               |  |
|            | Geometry               | 2670 (7 20%)  | 3070 (1 70%)  | 2221 (2 550/) | 3630 (0.25%)  |  |
|            | (Two Level)            | 2079 (7.29%)  | 5079 (4.70%)  | 5251 (5.55%)  | 5059 (0.55%)  |  |
|            | (I wo Level)           |               |               |               |               |  |

Table 78: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the with viewpoint of

the bench scene.

| Shadow Man Pasalution | Contour Thickness     |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels            | Four Pixels           | Six Pixels            |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 6268 of 6799 (92.19%) | 6664 of 6799 (98.01%) | 6721 of 6799 (98.85%) |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 3603 of 3710 (97.12%) | 3674 of 3710 (99.03%) | 3684 of 3710 (99.30%) |  |  |  |  |

Table 79: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the with viewpoint of

the bench scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel S        | hading         |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow         |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 3464 of 18814  | 2804 of 18096  |
| 1024+1024             | Four Pixels       | 3648 of 34230  | 3016 of 31581  |
| 1024x1024             | Six Pixels        | 3663 of 47648  | 3058 of 43732  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 3710 of 681367 | 3089 of 367209 |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 1967 of 18481  | 1636 of 18277  |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels       | 1987 of 33605  | 1687 of 31852  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 1991 of 46917  | 1693 of 44096  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 2010 of 681056 | 1700 of 367520 |

Table 80: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the with viewpoint of the bench scene, separated in pixelsdefined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.

| Chadaw             |             |           | Texel Coherence          |           |           |                          |           |  |  |  |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Map                | Contour     |           | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |  |  |  |
| Resolution         | Thickness   | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |  |  |  |
|                    | Two Divola  | 7381      | 390                      | 11433     | 6585      | 12 (0 24%)               | 11511     |  |  |  |
|                    | I wo Pixels | (39.23%)  | (2.07%)                  | (60.77%)  | (36.39%)  | 45 (0.24%)               | (63.61%)  |  |  |  |
|                    | Four Pixels | 20741     | 438                      | 13489     | 17755     | 111                      | 13826     |  |  |  |
| $1024 \times 1024$ |             | (60.59%)  | (1.28%)                  | (39.41%)  | (56.22%)  | (0.35%)                  | (43.78%)  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024          | Six Pixels  | 33681     | 449                      | 13967     | 29414     | 140                      | 14318     |  |  |  |
|                    |             | (70.69%)  | (0.94%)                  | (29.31%)  | (67.26%)  | (0.32%)                  | (32.74%)  |  |  |  |
|                    | Whole       | 667121    | 456                      | 14246     | 352645    | 143                      | 14564     |  |  |  |
|                    | Image       | (97.91%)  | (0.07%)                  | (2.09%)   | (96.03%)  | (0.04%)                  | (3.97%)   |  |  |  |
|                    | Two Divelo  | 11032     | 104                      | 7449      | 10280     | 31(0.17%)                | 7997      |  |  |  |
|                    | I WO FIXEIS | (59.69%)  | (0.56%)                  | (40.31%)  | (56.25%)  | 51 (0.17%)               | (43.75%)  |  |  |  |
|                    | Four Divola | 25827     | 122                      | 7778      | 23227     | 74(0.22%)                | 8625      |  |  |  |
| 2018-2018          | Four Fixers | (76.85%)  | (0.36%)                  | (23.15%)  | (72.92%)  | 74 (0.25%)               | (27.08%)  |  |  |  |
| 204672046          | Siv Divala  | 39120     | 126                      | 7797      | 35399     | 78 (0 18%)               | 8697      |  |  |  |
|                    | Six Pixels  | (83.38%)  | (0.27%)                  | (16.62%)  | (80.28%)  | 78 (0.18%)               | (19.72%)  |  |  |  |
|                    | Whole       | 673171    | 127                      | 7885      | 358774    | 78 (0 02%)               | 8746      |  |  |  |
|                    | Image       | (98.84%)  | (0.02%)                  | (1.16%)   | (97.62%)  | 78 (0.02%)               | (2.38%)   |  |  |  |

Table 81: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

|                                     |                |                     |  |                     | Texel Sh                                    | adowing             |  |                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| 0                                   | less           |                     | Lig  | ght                 | _   |                     | Sha  | dow                 |   |
| Shadow M <sup>£</sup><br>Resolutior | Contour Thickr | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |
|                                     | Two<br>Pixels  | 1960                | 1347   | 4325                | 3839  | 4304                | 3999   | 1903                | 1222  |
| 1024                                | Four<br>Pixels | 2082                | 1387   | 5594                | 5067  | 5746                | 5392   | 2030                | 1257  |
| 1024x                               | Six<br>Pixels  | 2084                | 1387   | 5943                | 5413  | 6089                | 5729   | 2032                | 1257  |
|                                     | Whole<br>Image | 2100                | 1399   | 6105                | 5571  | 6233                | 5871   | 2051                | 1271  |
|                                     | Two<br>Pixels  | 1150                | 789  | 3022                | 2754  | 3203                | 3052   | 1161                | 733   |
| 2048                                | Four<br>Pixels | 1150                | 789  | 3273                | 3003  | 3584                | 3428   | 1168                | 734   |
| 048x2                               | Six<br>Pixels  | 1150                | 789  | 3290                | 3020  | 3619                | 3462   | 1168                | 734   |
|                                     | Whole<br>Image | 1161                | 797  | 3336                | 3066  | 3635                | 3478   | 1170                | 735   |

| Table 82: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the |
|--|
| bench scene.   |

| Shadow     |              |           |                          | Texel Co  | oherence  |                          |           |
|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Map        | Contour      |           | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |
| Resolution | Thickness    | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |
|            | Two Pixels   | 3771      | 189                      | 15043     | 3666      | 5 (0.03%)                | 14430     |
| 1024x1024  | I WO I IACIS | (20.04%)  | (1.00%)                  | (79.96%)  | (20.26%)  | 5 (0.05 %)               | (79.74%)  |
|            | Four Pixels  | 12006     | 201                      | 22224     | 9663      | 12(0.04%)                | 21918     |
|            |              | (35.07%)  | (0.59%)                  | (64.93%)  | (30.60%)  | 12 (0.04%)               | (69.40%)  |
|            | Six Pixels   | 22729     | 206                      | 24919     | 18954     | 21(0.05%)                | 24778     |
|            |              | (47.70%)  | (0.43%)                  | (52.30%)  | (43.34%)  | 21 (0.05%)               | (56.66%)  |
|            | Whole        | 654010    | 211                      | 27357     | 340196    | 24(0.0107)               | 27013     |
|            | Image        | (95.98%)  | (0.03%)                  | (4.02%)   | (92.64%)  | 24 (0.01%)               | (7.36%)   |
|            | True Directo | 6653      | 52 (0.2007)              | 11828     | 5928      | 0(0,000)                 | 12349     |
|            | I wo Pixels  | (36.00%)  | 33 (0.29%)               | (64.00%)  | (32.43%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (67.57%)  |
|            | Four Divala  | 19631     | 64(0.10%)                | 13974     | 16770     | 18 (0.06%)               | 15082     |
| 2018-2018  | Four Fixers  | (58.42%)  | 04 (0.19%)               | (41.58%)  | (52.65%)  | 18 (0.00%)               | (47.35%)  |
| 204882048  | Six Divola   | 32460     | 67(0.14%)                | 14457     | 28473     | 20(0.05%)                | 15623     |
|            | Six Pixels   | (69.19%)  | 07 (0.14%)               | (30.81%)  | (64.57%)  | 20 (0.03%)               | (35.43%)  |
|            | Whole        | 666300    | 68(0.01%)                | 14756     | 351707    | 20(0.01%)                | 15813     |
|            | Image        | (97.83%)  | 08 (0.01%)               | (2.17%)   | (95.70%)  | 20 (0.01%)               | (4.30%)   |

Table 83: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

| 0.                 |                         |               |                |               | Shado          | w Map         |                |               |                |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Maj<br>Ig          | BG.                     |               | 10242          | x1024         |                | <b>•</b>      | 2048           | x2048         |                |
| hadow l<br>Lightir | Texel                   | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |
| S                  | 8 S-1 L                 | 147           | 153            | 153           | 153            | 49            | 49             | 49            | 49             |
|                    | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 105           | 107            | 107           | 107            | 31            | 31             | 31            | 31             |
|                    | 7 S-2 L                 | 332           | 345            | 345           | 346            | 148           | 148            | 148           | 148            |
|                    | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 177           | 178            | 178           | 179            | 77            | 77             | 77            | 77             |
|                    | 6 S-3 L                 | 460           | 513            | 513           | 517            | 258           | 258            | 258           | 259            |
|                    | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 211           | 219            | 219           | 222            | 137           | 137            | 137           | 138            |
|                    | 5 S-4 L                 | 377           | 438            | 446           | 458            | 333           | 341            | 341           | 341            |
| ht                 | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 149           | 161            | 161           | 161            | 114           | 115            | 115           | 115            |
| Lig                | 4 S-5 L                 | 3595          | 4766           | 5092          | 5181           | 2689          | 2887           | 2887          | 2911           |
|                    | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 2048          | 3133           | 3458          | 3534           | 1780          | 1978           | 1978          | 1990           |
|                    | 3 S-6 L                 | 4325          | 5837           | 6250          | 6680           | 3341          | 3699           | 3760          | 3816           |
|                    | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 3743          | 5237           | 5650          | 6060           | 2950          | 3307           | 3368          | 3419           |
|                    | 2 S-7 L                 | 3349          | 5135           | 5711          | 6135           | 2563          | 2976           | 3069          | 3113           |
|                    | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 3090          | 4848           | 5423          | 5847           | 2395          | 2804           | 2897          | 2941           |
|                    | 1 S-8 L                 | 2458          | 5037           | 6409          | 7887           | 2447          | 3616           | 3945          | 4119           |
|                    | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 2213          | 4775           | 6139          | 7612           | 2360          | 3526           | 3854          | 4028           |
|                    | 8 S-1 L                 | 1943          | 4567           | 6074          | 7518           | 2342          | 3755           | 4088          | 4178           |
|                    | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1859          | 4445           | 5947          | 7391           | 2302          | 3705           | 4035          | 4125           |
|                    | 7 S-2 L                 | 3191          | 5009           | 5619          | 6009           | 2656          | 3129           | 3221          | 3253           |
|                    | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 3023          | 4809           | 5406          | 5795           | 2578          | 3041           | 3133          | 3165           |
|                    | 6 S-3 L                 | 4436          | 6130           | 6563          | 6844           | 3561          | 4010           | 4086          | 4141           |
|                    | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 3778          | 5440           | 5864          | 6141           | 3143          | 3584           | 3660          | 3710           |
|                    | 5 S-4 L                 | 3650          | 4847           | 5142          | 5259           | 2720          | 2988           | 3012          | 3022           |
| wol                | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 2131          | 3235           | 3525          | 3620           | 1833          | 2098           | 2121          | 2129           |
| had                | 4 S-5 L                 | 462           | 536            | 547           | 549            | 393           | 446            | 453           | 454            |
| S                  | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 145           | 148            | 149           | 150            | 74            | 75             | 75            | 75             |
|                    | 3 S-6 L                 | 346           | 401            | 404           | 405            | 407           | 476            | 485           | 487            |
|                    | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 96            | 103            | 103           | 103            | 77            | 78             | 78            | 78             |
|                    | 2 S-7 L                 | 262           | 282            | 283           | 283            | 225           | 231            | 231           | 231            |
|                    | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 92            | 92             | 92            | 92             | 46            | 46             | 46            | 46             |
|                    | 1 S-8 L                 | 140           | 146            | 146           | 146            | 45            | 47             | 47            | 47             |
|                    | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 37            | 37             | 37            | 37             | 16            | 16             | 16            | 16             |

Table 84: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 4         | 2804 | 0          | 3183  | 15350              | 12109  | 3460                    | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 5         | 3016 | 0          | 4796  | 30582              | 23769  | 3643                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 5         | 3058 | 0          | 5626  | 43985              | 35048  | 3658                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 6         | 3089 | 0          | 16512 | 677657             | 347608 | 3704                    | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 20        | 1636 | 0          | 2864  | 16514              | 13777  | 1947                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048          | Four Pixels | 21        | 1687 | 0          | 4118  | 31618              | 26047  | 1966                    | 0   |
|                    | Six Pixels  | 21        | 1693 | 0          | 4659  | 44926              | 37744  | 1970                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 21        | 1700 | 0          | 10092 | 679046             | 355728 | 1989                    | 0   |

 Table 85: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corr | Corrected |     | Turned Bad |        | Maintained Correct |      | Maintained<br>Incorrect |  |
|------------|-------------|------|-----------|-----|------------|--------|--------------------|------|-------------------------|--|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S  | S→L       | L→S | S→L        | L→L    | S→S                | L→L  | S→S                     |  |
|            | Two Pixels  | 2004 | 2804      | 0   | 2323       | 15350  | 12969              | 1460 | 0                       |  |
| 1024 1024  | Four Pixels | 2088 | 3016      | 0   | 3293       | 30582  | 25272              | 1560 | 0                       |  |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 2093 | 3058      | 0   | 3609       | 43985  | 37065              | 1570 | 0                       |  |
|            | Whole Image | 2124 | 3089      | 0   | 4005       | 677657 | 360115             | 1586 | 0                       |  |
|            | Two Pixels  | 1212 | 1636      | 0   | 1831       | 16514  | 14810              | 755  | 0                       |  |
| 2048x2048  | Four Pixels | 1214 | 1687      | 0   | 2603       | 31618  | 27562              | 773  | 0                       |  |
|            | Six Pixels  | 1214 | 1693      | 0   | 2852       | 44926  | 39551              | 777  | 0                       |  |
|            | Whole Image | 1231 | 1700      | 0   | 2975       | 679046 | 362845             | 779  | 0                       |  |

Table 86: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping

approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 2174      | 2804 | 0          | 2008 | 15350              | 13284  | 1290                    | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 2264      | 3016 | 0          | 2863 | 30582              | 25702  | 1384                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 2269      | 3058 | 0          | 3141 | 43985              | 37533  | 1394                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 2300      | 3089 | 0          | 3391 | 677657             | 360729 | 1410                    | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 1322      | 1636 | 0          | 1465 | 16514              | 15176  | 645                     | 0   |
| 2018-2018          | Four Pixels | 1325      | 1687 | 0          | 2098 | 31618              | 28067  | 662                     | 0   |
| 204882048          | Six Pixels  | 1325      | 1693 | 0          | 2319 | 44926              | 40084  | 666                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 1342      | 1700 | 0          | 2395 | 679046             | 363425 | 668                     | 0   |

 Table 87: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighboursand the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.7403     | 1.5169      | 1.3771     | 0.5181      |
| (10                      | 5                       | Available           | 1.8936     | 1.8295      | 1.7593     | 1.3163      |
| 24,                      | 0                       | Used                | 2.9387     | 2.5643      | 2.2880     | 0.6783      |
| 10                       | 0                       | Available           | 2.9997     | 2.7842      | 2.6401     | 1.6848      |
| 48                       | 3                       | Used                | 1.5417     | 1.3238      | 1.1987     | 0.4675      |
| (20                      | 5                       | Available           | 1.8342     | 1.7206      | 1.6283     | 1.2018      |
| 48,                      | 0                       | Used                | 2.5304     | 2.1126      | 1.8500     | 0.5646      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 2.7415     | 2.5288      | 2.3489     | 1.4341      |

 Table 88: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 20        | 2804 | 0          | 2102 | 15350              | 13190  | 3444                    | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 23        | 3016 | 0          | 3044 | 30582              | 25521  | 3625                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 24        | 3058 | 0          | 3437 | 43985              | 37237  | 3639                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 25        | 3089 | 0          | 4593 | 677657             | 359527 | 3685                    | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 40        | 1636 | 0          | 1616 | 16514              | 15025  | 1927                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048          | Four Pixels | 41        | 1687 | 0          | 2342 | 31618              | 27823  | 1946                    | 0   |
|                    | Six Pixels  | 41        | 1693 | 0          | 2627 | 44926              | 39776  | 1950                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 41        | 1700 | 0          | 3132 | 679046             | 362688 | 1969                    | 0   |

 Table 89: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | THICKNESS   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 51        | 2804 | 0          | 1420 | 15350              | 13872  | 3413                    | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 55        | 3016 | 0          | 2026 | 30582              | 26539  | 3593                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 56        | 3058 | 0          | 2304 | 43985              | 38370  | 3607                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 57        | 3089 | 0          | 3147 | 677657             | 360973 | 3653                    | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 52        | 1636 | 0          | 764  | 16514              | 15877  | 1915                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048          | Four Pixels | 53        | 1687 | 0          | 1145 | 31618              | 29020  | 1934                    | 0   |
|                    | Six Pixels  | 53        | 1693 | 0          | 1293 | 44926              | 41110  | 1938                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 53        | 1700 | 0          | 1682 | 679046             | 364138 | 1957                    | 0   |

 Table 90: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | One                | Used                | 2.6226     | 2.5888      | 2.5643     | 1.5079      |
| <b>κ</b> 10              | Level              | Available           | 3.8788     | 3.8798      | 3.8819     | 3.9437      |
| 24x                      | Two                | Used                | 8.3600     | 8.2441      | 8.1693     | 5.2342      |
| 10.                      | Levels             | Available           | 12.3645    | 12.3552     | 12.3670    | 13.6896     |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 2.6600     | 2.6235      | 2.5947     | 1.5098      |
| :20                      | Level              | Available           | 3.8605     | 3.8669      | 3.8715     | 3.9412      |
| 48,                      | Two                | Used                | 8.4322     | 8.3126      | 8.2318     | 5.2390      |
| 50                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.2375    | 12.2524     | 12.2826    | 13.6755     |

Table 91: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the with viewpoint of the

| Contour Thickness |          | Two Pixels                                    |      | Four | Pixels | Six Pixels |      | Whole Image |      |      |
|-------------------|----------|---|------|------|--------|------------|------|-------------|------|------|
|                   | Lig      | ting  | L→S  | S→L  | L→S    | S→L        | L→S  | S→L         | L→S  | S→L  |
|                   |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 7    | 2804 | 9      | 3016       | 9    | 3058        | 10   | 3089 |
| Resolution        |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 1137 | 0      | 1602       | 0    | 1780        | 0    | 1846 |
|                   |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 2167 | 0    | 2255   | 0          | 2260 | 0           | 2290 | 0    |
|                   | )24x1024 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 44   | 0    | 46     | 0          | 47   | 0           | 47   | 0    |
|                   | 1(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 871  | 0      | 1261       | 0    | 1361        | 0    | 1545 |
|                   |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 283  | 0      | 424        | 0    | 524         | 0    | 1301 |
| v Map             |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 39   | 1636 | 40     | 1687       | 40   | 1693        | 40   | 1700 |
| hadov             |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 533  | 0      | 804        | 0    | 894         | 0    | 916  |
| S                 |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1283 | 0    | 1285   | 0          | 1285 | 0           | 1302 | 0    |
|                   | )48x2048 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 13   | 0    | 13     | 0          | 13   | 0           | 13   | 0    |
|                   | 2(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 932  | 0      | 1294       | 0    | 1425        | 0    | 1479 |
|                   |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 231  | 0      | 341        | 0    | 399         | 0    | 766  |

 Table 92: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

 by lighting change for the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

| и                 |                                     |                | 1024x1024      |                |                | 2048x2048      |                |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Algorithı<br>Step | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels     | Four Pixels    | Six Pixel      | Two Pixels     | Four Pixels    | Six Pixel      |
|                   | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 36910          | 65811          | 91380          | 96758          | 65457          | 91013          |
| lap               | Correct Light                       | 15350          | 30582          | 43985          | 16514          | 31618          | 44926          |
|                   | Pixels                              | (81.59%)       | (89.34%)       | (92.31%)       | (89.36%)       | (94.09%)       | (95.76%)       |
| M wop             | Correct Shadow                      | 15292          | 28565          | 40674          | 16641          | 30165          | 42403          |
|                   | Pixels                              | (84.50%)       | (90.45%)       | (93.01%)       | (91.05%)       | (94.70%)       | (96.16%)       |
| Sha               | Incorrect Light                     | 3464           | 3648           | 3663           | 1967           | 1987           | 1991           |
|                   | Pixels                              | (18.41%)       | (10.66%)       | (7.69%)        | (10.64%)       | (5.91%)        | (4.24%)        |
|                   | Incorrect Shadow                    | 2804           | 3016           | 3058           | 1636           | 1687           | 1693           |
|                   | Pixels                              | (15.50%)       | (9.55%)        | (6.99%)        | (8.95%)        | (5.30%)        | (3.84%)        |
|                   | Confirmations in                    | 7381           | 20741          | 33681          | 11032          | 25827          | 39120          |
|                   | Light                               | (39.23%)       | (60.59%)       | (70.69%)       | (59.69%)       | (76.85%)       | (83.38%)       |
| erence            | Confirmations in                    | 6585           | 17755          | 29414          | 10280          | 23227          | 35399          |
|                   | Shadow                              | (36.39%)       | (56.22%)       | (67.26%)       | (56.25%)       | (72.92%)       | (80.28%)       |
| xel Coh           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 390<br>(2.07%) | 438<br>(1.28%) | 449<br>(0.94%) | 104<br>(0.56%) | 122<br>(0.36%) | 126<br>(0.27%) |
| Te                | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 43 (0.24%)     | 111<br>(0.35%) | 140<br>(0.32%) | 31 (0.17%)     | 74 (0.23%)     | 78 (0.18%)     |
| ouring            | Corrections from                    | 2148           | 2233           | 2237           | 1311           | 1311           | 1311           |
| cels              | Light                               | (11.42%)       | (6.52%)        | (4.69%)        | (7.09%)        | (3.90%)        | (2.79%)        |
| Neight            | Confirmations in                    | 13900          | 26902          | 39021          | 15726          | 29138          | 41358          |
| Tey               | Shadow                              | (76.81%)       | (85.18%)       | (89.23%)       | (86.05%)       | (91.48%)       | (93.79%)       |
| Adjacent          | Confirmations in                    | 14553          | 27724          | 39854          | 16328          | 29842          | 42082          |
| Geometry          | Shadow                              | (80.42%)       | (87.79%)       | (91.13%)       | (89.34%)       | (93.69%)       | (95.44%)       |
| ighting           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 929<br>(7.01%) | 980<br>(4.14%) | 980<br>(3.00%) | 565<br>(3.62%) | 567<br>(2.05%) | 567<br>(1.48%) |
| Final L           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 782<br>(4.56%) | 952<br>(3.37%) | 960<br>(2.52%) | 344<br>(2.05%) | 397<br>(1.48%) | 399<br>(1.08%) |

Table 93: Algorithm results of the with viewpoint of the bench scene.

Following below are the results of the "against" viewpoint of the "bench" scene.



Figure 149: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 150: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 151: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 152: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 153: Result of the single texel approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 154: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 155: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 156: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 157: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.



Figure 158: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.




Figure 159: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the against viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 160: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the against viewpoint of the bench scene using a 1024x1024 (left) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map | A                    |               | Contour 7      | Fhickness      |               |  |
|------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| Resolution | Approach             | Two Pixels    | Four Pixels    | Six Pixels     | Whole Image   |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour | 36248         | 64370          | 86929          | 1048576       |  |
|            | Shadow Map           | 7171 (19.78%) | 8887 (13.81%)  | 9130 (10.50%)  | 9542 (0.91%)  |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 6661 (18.38%) | 10005 (15.54%) | 12383 (14.24%) | 40722 (3.88%) |  |
|            | Neighbour            |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Texels (4            | 3390 (9.35%)  | 5246 (8.15%)   | 6496 (7.47%)   | 14574 (1.39%) |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |               |                |                |               |  |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbour            |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Texels (9            | 2731 (7.53%)  | 4048 (6.29%)   | 4859 (5.59%)   | 10272 (0.98%) |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Adjacent             |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 5480 (15.12%) | 7526 (11.69%)  | 8816 (10.14%)  | 19608 (1.87%) |  |
|            | Level)               |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Adjacent             |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Geometry             | 4708 (12.99%) | 6107 (9.49%)   | 6803 (7.83%)   | 13372 (1.28%) |  |
|            | (Two Level)          |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Pixels in            | 37821         | 65950          | 88864          | 1048576       |  |
|            | Contour              | 0,021         |                |                |               |  |
|            | Shadow Map           | 5113 (13.52%) | 5315 (8.06%)   | 5338 (6.01%)   | 5392 (0.51%)  |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 4881 (12.91%) | 7234 (10.97%)  | 9191 (10.34%)  | 25404 (2.42%) |  |
|            | Neighbour            |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Texels (4            | 1969 (5.21%)  | 2880 (4.37%)   | 3470 (3.90%)   | 7371 (0.70%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |               |                |                |               |  |
| 2048x2048  | Neighbour            |               |                |                |               |  |
| 2010112010 | Texels (9            | 1465 (3.87%)  | 2097 (3.18%)   | 2494 (2.81%)   | 5022 (0.48%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Adjacent             |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 3648 (9.65%)  | 4722 (7.16%)   | 5560 (6.26%)   | 11058 (1.05%) |  |
|            | Level)               |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Adjacent             |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Geometry             | 3015 (7.97%)  | 3486 (5.29%)   | 3872 (4.36%)   | 6987 (0.67%)  |  |
|            | (Two Level)          |               |                |                |               |  |

Table 94: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the against viewpoint of

the bench scene.

| Shadow Man Desolution | Contour Thickness     |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels            | Four Pixels           | Six Pixels            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 7171 of 9542 (75.15%) | 8887 of 9542 (93.14%) | 9130 of 9542 (95.68%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 5113 of 5392 (94.83%) | 5315 of 5392 (98.57%) | 5338 of 5392 (99.00%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 95: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the against viewpoint of

the bench scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel Shading  |                |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow         |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 4063 of 17429  | 3108 of 18819  |  |  |  |
| 1024-1024             | Four Pixels       | 4966 of 30268  | 3921 of 34102  |  |  |  |
| 1024X1024             | Six Pixels        | 5037 of 40012  | 4093 of 46917  |  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 5080 of 604815 | 4462 of 443761 |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 2647 of 18100  | 2466 of 19721  |  |  |  |
| 2048-2048             | Four Pixels       | 2719 of 30480  | 2596 of 35470  |  |  |  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 2720 of 39980  | 2618 of 48884  |  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 2745 of 604295 | 2647 of 444281 |  |  |  |

 Table 96: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the against viewpoint of the bench scene, separated in pixels

 defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.

| Shadow     |                |                    |                          | Texel Co          | oherence           |                          |                   |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Map        | Contour        |                    | Light                    |                   |                    | Shadow                   |                   |
| Resolution | Thickness      | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided         | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided         |
|            | Two Pixels     | 6944<br>(39.84%)   | 50 (0.29%)               | 10485<br>(60.16%) | 7988<br>(42.45%)   | 7 (0.04%)                | 10831<br>(57.55%) |
| 1024x1024  | Four Pixels    | 13631<br>(45.03%)  | 65 (0.21%)               | 16637<br>(54.97%) | 14920<br>(43.75%)  | 30 (0.09%)               | 19182<br>(56.25%) |
|            | Six Pixels     | 21521<br>(53.79%)  | 79 (0.20%)               | 18491<br>(46.21%) | 24345<br>(51.89%)  | 102<br>(0.22%)           | 22572<br>(48.11%) |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 585401<br>(96.79%) | 85 (0.01%)               | 19414<br>(3.21%)  | 419750<br>(94.59%) | 425<br>(0.10%)           | 24011<br>(5.41%)  |
|            | Two Pixels     | 8223<br>(45.43%)   | 43 (0.24%)               | 9877<br>(54.57%)  | 9084<br>(46.06%)   | 19 (0.10%)               | 10637<br>(53.94%) |
| 2048+2048  | Four Pixels    | 18729<br>(61.45%)  | 52 (0.17%)               | 11751<br>(38.55%) | 22499<br>(63.43%)  | 79 (0.22%)               | 12971<br>(36.57%) |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels     | 28097<br>(70.28%)  | 53 (0.13%)               | 11883<br>(29.72%) | 35753<br>(73.14%)  | 99 (0.20%)               | 13131<br>(26.86%) |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 592322<br>(98.02%) | 53 (0.01%)               | 11973<br>(1.98%)  | 431064<br>(97.03%) | 115<br>(0.03%)           | 13217<br>(2.97%)  |

Table 97: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.

|  |                |                     |  |                     | Texel Sh                                    | adowing             |  |                     |   |  |
|--|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--|
| Jess                                     |                |                     | Li   | ght                 |   |                     | Shadow                                       |                     |   |  |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolutior<br>Contour Thick | Contour Thickr | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |  |
|  | Two<br>Pixels  | 3234                | 2256   | 2869                | 2660  | 5015                | 4465   | 1514                | 1098  |  |
| 1024                                     | Four<br>Pixels | 4451                | 2785   | 4963                | 4709  | 10038               | 9205   | 2024                | 1308  |  |
| 1024x                                    | Six<br>Pixels  | 4519                | 2802   | 5850                | 5590  | 12459               | 11573  | 2068                | 1315  |  |
|  | Whole<br>Image | 4526                | 2807   | 6459                | 6199  | 13524               | 12626  | 2088                | 1332  |  |
|  | Two<br>Pixels  | 2348                | 1463   | 3823                | 3666  | 5269                | 4930   | 1710                | 1095  |  |
| 2048                                     | Four<br>Pixels | 2375                | 1472   | 5045                | 4884  | 6954                | 6596   | 1741                | 1100  |  |
| 2048x                                    | Six<br>Pixels  | 2375                | 1472   | 5153                | 4992  | 7087                | 6728   | 1741                | 1100  |  |
|  | Whole<br>Image | 2388                | 1483   | 5183                | 5020  | 7125                | 6764   | 1747                | 1103  |  |

 Table 98: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow     |                |                              |                          | Texel Co          | oherence           |                          |                   |
|------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Map        | Contour        |                              | Light                    |                   |                    | Shadow                   |                   |
| Resolution | Thickness      | Confirmed                    | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided         | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided         |
|            | Two Pixels     | 5632<br>(32.31%)             | 25 (0.14%)               | 11797<br>(67.69%) | 7863<br>(41.78%)   | 1 (0.01%)                | 10956<br>(58.22%) |
| 1024x1024  | Four Pixels    | 10052<br>(33.21%)            | 26 (0.09%)               | 20216<br>(66.79%) | 12616<br>(36.99%)  | 4 (0.01%)                | 21486<br>(63.01%) |
|            | Six Pixels     | Six Pixels 14305(35.7<br>5%) |                          | 25707(64.2<br>5%) | 16202(34.5<br>3%)  | 12 (0.03%)               | 30715(65.4<br>7%) |
|            | Whole          | 570571                       | 34(0.01%)                | 34244             | 394495             | 242                      | 49266             |
|            | Image          | (94.34%)                     | 34 (0.01%)               | (5.66%)           | (88.90%)           | (0.05%)                  | (11.10)           |
|            | Two Pixels     | 6740<br>(37.24%)             | 25 (0.14%)               | 11360<br>(62.76%) | 7940<br>(40.26%)   | 1 (0.01%)                | 11781<br>(59.74%) |
| 2048+2049  | Four Pixels    | 13285<br>(43.59%)            | 29 (0.10%)               | 17195<br>(56.41%) | 14598<br>(41.16%)  | 12 (0.03%)               | 20872<br>(58.84%) |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels     | 20940<br>(52.38%)            | 30 (0.08%)               | 19040<br>(47.62%) | 23838<br>(48.76%)  | 23 (0.05%)               | 25046<br>(51.24%) |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 584340<br>(96.70%)           | 30 (0.00%)               | 19955<br>(3.30%)  | 417827<br>(94.05%) | 39 (0.01%)               | 26454<br>(5.95%)  |

Table 99: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.

| <u>_</u>            |                         |               |                |               | Shado          | w Map         |                |               |                |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Map<br>18           | ng                      |               | 10242          | x1024         |                | · ·           | 20482          | x2048         |                |
| Shadow N<br>Lightin | Texel<br>Shadowi        | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |
| 01                  | 8 S-1 L                 | 449           | 604            | 608           | 608            | 81            | 81             | 81            | 81             |
| -                   | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 279           | 338            | 340           | 340            | 51            | 51             | 51            | 51             |
|                     | 7 S-2 L                 | 1145          | 1653           | 1691          | 1692           | 511           | 515            | 515           | 515            |
|                     | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 620           | 774            | 777           | 777            | 231           | 232            | 232           | 232            |
|                     | 6 S-3 L                 | 1268          | 1876           | 1991          | 2005           | 620           | 653            | 653           | 653            |
|                     | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 530           | 643            | 653           | 653            | 226           | 226            | 226           | 226            |
|                     | 5 S-4 L                 | 655           | 1087           | 1288          | 1347           | 710           | 829            | 830           | 830            |
| ht                  | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 313           | 389            | 397           | 397            | 222           | 223            | 223           | 223            |
| Lig                 | 4 S-5 L                 | 2134          | 3539           | 4343          | 5019           | 3330          | 4530           | 4615          | 4670           |
|                     | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 986           | 2126           | 2901          | 3569           | 2189          | 3363           | 3448          | 3482           |
|                     | 3 S-6 L                 | 2746          | 4919           | 6603          | 9006           | 3002          | 4875           | 5392          | 5512           |
|                     | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 1735          | 3715           | 5390          | 7765           | 2323          | 4163           | 4680          | 4796           |
|                     | 2 S-7 L                 | 1618          | 3020           | 4143          | 5770           | 1675          | 2856           | 3217          | 3412           |
| -                   | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 1520          | 2890           | 4012          | 5638           | 1626          | 2801           | 3162          | 3357           |
|                     | 1 S-8 L                 | 1782          | 3518           | 5040          | 8797           | 1431          | 2856           | 3737          | 4282           |
|                     | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 1743          | 3469           | 4987          | 8741           | 1408          | 2832           | 3713          | 4258           |
|                     | 8 S-1 L                 | 1950          | 5340           | 9495          | 21727          | 2155          | 6236           | 9129          | 10128          |
|                     | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1713          | 4953           | 9020          | 21155          | 2075          | 6110           | 8994          | 9992           |
|                     | 7 S-2 L                 | 3142          | 5992           | 8131          | 10962          | 2840          | 4660           | 5286          | 5552           |
|                     | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 2599          | 5273           | 7383          | 10206          | 2548          | 4346           | 4972          | 5238           |
|                     | 6 S-3 L                 | 3121          | 5739           | 7722          | 10410          | 3888          | 6070           | 6617          | 6727           |
|                     | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 2323          | 4796           | 6768          | 9450           | 3105          | 5265           | 5810          | 5914           |
|                     | 5 S-4 L                 | 2208          | 3706           | 4597          | 5367           | 2450          | 3430           | 3537          | 3570           |
| wol                 | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 982           | 2179           | 3037          | 3779           | 1362          | 2313           | 2420          | 2447           |
| had                 | 4 S-5 L                 | 239           | 358            | 407           | 437            | 253           | 279            | 279           | 279            |
| S                   | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 121           | 147            | 149           | 149            | 91            | 91             | 91            | 91             |
|                     | 3 S-6 L                 | 103           | 140            | 150           | 150            | 82            | 83             | 84            | 84             |
|                     | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 56            | 63             | 64            | 64             | 44            | 44             | 44            | 44             |
|                     | 2 S-7 L                 | 145           | 161            | 163           | 163            | 80            | 81             | 81            | 81             |
|                     | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 89            | 93             | 93            | 93             | 61            | 61             | 61            | 61             |
|                     | 1 S-8 L                 | 48            | 50             | 50            | 50             | 33            | 33             | 33            | 33             |
|                     | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 37            | 38             | 38            | 38             | 26            | 26             | 26            | 26             |

Table 100: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of

the bench scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour        | Corrected |      | Turne | Turned Bad |        | ed Correct | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|----------------|-----------|------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Inickness      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S   | S→L        | L→L    | S→S        | L→L                     | S→S |
|            | Two<br>Pixels  | 12        | 3108 | 0     | 2610       | 13366  | 13101      | 4051                    | 0   |
| 1024x1024  | Four<br>Pixels | 12        | 3921 | 0     | 5051       | 25302  | 25130      | 4954                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels     | 12        | 4093 | 0     | 7358       | 34975  | 35466      | 5025                    | 0   |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 12        | 4462 | 0     | 35654      | 599735 | 403645     | 5068                    | 0   |
|            | Two<br>Pixels  | 7         | 2466 | 0     | 2241       | 15453  | 15014      | 2640                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Four<br>Pixels | 7         | 2596 | 0     | 4522       | 27761  | 28352      | 2712                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels     | 7         | 2618 | 0     | 6478       | 37260  | 39788      | 2713                    | 0   |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 7         | 2647 | 0     | 22666      | 601550 | 418968     | 2738                    | 0   |

 Table 101: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.

| / Map<br>Ition   | er of<br>ours                  | our<br>ness    | Corr | ected | Turne | ed Bad | Maintaine | Maintained Correct |      | Maintained Incorrect |  |
|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|--------------------|------|----------------------|--|
| Shadow<br>Resolu | Numb<br>Numb<br>Neighl<br>Cont | Cont<br>Thick  | L→S  | S→L   | L→S   | S→L    | L→L       | S→S                | L→L  | S→S                  |  |
|                  |                                | Two<br>Pixels  | 2417 | 3108  | 0     | 1744   | 13366     | 13967              | 1646 | 0                    |  |
|                  | 2                              | Four<br>Pixels | 2891 | 3921  | 0     | 3171   | 25302     | 27010              | 2075 | 0                    |  |
|                  | 5                              | Six<br>Pixels  | 2931 | 4093  | 0     | 4390   | 34975     | 38434              | 2106 | 0                    |  |
| к1024            |                                | Whole<br>Image | 2952 | 4462  | 0     | 12446  | 599735    | 426853             | 2128 | 0                    |  |
| 1024             |                                | Two<br>Pixels  | 2676 | 3108  | 0     | 1344   | 13366     | 14367              | 1387 | 0                    |  |
|                  | Q                              | Four<br>Pixels | 3271 | 3921  | 0     | 2353   | 25302     | 27828              | 1695 | 0                    |  |
|                  | 0                              | Six<br>Pixels  | 3320 | 4093  | 0     | 3142   | 34975     | 39682              | 1717 | 0                    |  |
|                  |                                | Whole<br>Image | 3341 | 4462  | 0     | 8533   | 599735    | 430766             | 1739 | 0                    |  |
|                  |                                | Two<br>Pixels  | 1812 | 2466  | 0     | 1134   | 15453     | 16121              | 835  | 0                    |  |
|                  | 3                              | Four<br>Pixels | 1855 | 2596  | 0     | 2016   | 27761     | 30858              | 864  | 0                    |  |
|                  | 5                              | Six<br>Pixels  | 1855 | 2618  | 0     | 2605   | 37260     | 43661              | 865  | 0                    |  |
| (2048            |                                | Whole<br>Image | 1880 | 2647  | 0     | 6506   | 601550    | 435128             | 865  | 0                    |  |
| 2048>            |                                | Two<br>Pixels  | 2010 | 2466  | 0     | 828    | 15453     | 16427              | 637  | 0                    |  |
|                  | Q                              | Four<br>Pixels | 2058 | 2596  | 0     | 1436   | 27761     | 31438              | 661  | 0                    |  |
|                  | 8                              | Six<br>Pixels  | 2058 | 2618  | 0     | 1832   | 37260     | 44434              | 662  | 0                    |  |
|                  |                                | Whole<br>Image | 2083 | 2647  | 0     | 4360   | 601550    | 437274             | 662  | 0                    |  |

 Table 102: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.7530     | 1.7171      | 1.6463     | 0.6616      |
| (10                      | 5                       | Available           | 1.9611     | 1.9479      | 1.9498     | 1.4692      |
| 24,                      | 0                       | Used                | 3.0846     | 3.0216      | 2.8933     | 0.9510      |
| 10                       | 0                       | Available           | 3.4369     | 3.3241      | 3.2028     | 2.0564      |
| 48                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.5666     | 1.4929      | 1.4331     | 0.5852      |
| ć20                      | 5                       | Available           | 1.7942     | 1.8355      | 1.8413     | 1.3176      |
| 48,                      | 0                       | Used                | 2.6181     | 2.4955      | 2.3712     | 0.7686      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 2.9150     | 2.8159      | 2.7842     | 1.7037      |

 Table 103: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.

| low<br>Ip<br>Lition  | ap<br>ution<br>cency<br>vel |                | Corr | ected | Turn | ed Bad | Maintaine | ed Correct | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------|-------|------|--------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|-----|
| Shad<br>Ma<br>Resolu | Adjac<br>Lev                | Cont<br>Thick  | L→S  | S→L   | L→S  | S→L    | L→L       | S→S        | L→L                     | S→S |
| evel                 | Two<br>Pixels               | 15             | 3108 | 0     | 1432 | 13366  | 14279     | 4048       | 0                       |     |
|                      | Four<br>Pixels              | 16             | 3921 | 0     | 2576 | 25302  | 27605     | 4950       | 0                       |     |
|                      | One L                       | Six<br>Pixels  | 16   | 4093  | 0    | 3795   | 34975     | 39029      | 5021                    | 0   |
| 1024                 |                             | Whole<br>Image | 16   | 4462  | 0    | 14544  | 599735    | 424755     | 5064                    | 0   |
| 1024x                |                             | Two<br>Pixels  | 24   | 3108  | 0    | 669    | 13366     | 15042      | 4039                    | 0   |
|                      | revels                      | Four<br>Pixels | 25   | 3921  | 0    | 1166   | 25302     | 29015      | 4941                    | 0   |
|                      | Two I                       | Six<br>Pixels  | 25   | 4093  | 0    | 1791   | 34975     | 41033      | 5012                    | 0   |
|                      | _                           | Whole<br>Image | 25   | 4462  | 0    | 8317   | 599735    | 430982     | 5055                    | 0   |
|                      |                             | Two<br>Pixels  | 14   | 2466  | 0    | 1015   | 15453     | 16240      | 2633                    | 0   |
|                      | evel                        | Four<br>Pixels | 16   | 2596  | 0    | 2019   | 27761     | 30855      | 2703                    | 0   |
|                      | One L                       | Six<br>Pixels  | 16   | 2618  | 0    | 2856   | 37260     | 43410      | 2704                    | 0   |
| 2048                 |                             | Whole<br>Image | 16   | 2647  | 0    | 8329   | 601550    | 433305     | 2729                    | 0   |
| 2048x                |                             | Two<br>Pixels  | 17   | 2466  | 0    | 385    | 15453     | 16870      | 2630                    | 0   |
|                      | evels                       | Four<br>Pixels | 20   | 2596  | 0    | 787    | 27761     | 32087      | 2699                    | 0   |
|                      | Two I                       | Six<br>Pixels  | 20   | 2618  | 0    | 1172   | 37260     | 45094      | 2700                    | 0   |
|                      |                             | Whole<br>Image | 20   | 2647  | 0    | 4262   | 601550    | 437372     | 2725                    | 0   |

 Table 104: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.

| Shadow<br>Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                          | One                | Used                | 2.3801     | 2.4430      | 2.4713     | 1.7130      |
| (10                         | Level              | Available           | 3.9118     | 3.9188      | 3.9197     | 3.9682      |
| 24,                         | Two                | Used                | 7.1309     | 7.2522      | 7.3577     | 5.7876      |
| 100                         | Levels             | Available           | 11.7199    | 11.6330     | 11.6702    | 13.4077     |
| 48                          | One                | Used                | 2.3990     | 2.4839      | 2.5223     | 1.7168      |
| 50                          | Level              | Available           | 3.9140     | 3.9165      | 3.9187     | 3.9682      |
| 48x                         | Two                | Used                | 7.1897     | 7.3627      | 7.4857     | 5.7982      |
| 20                          | Levels             | Available           | 11.7304    | 11.6090     | 11.6297    | 13.4022     |

Table 105: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the against viewpoint of

the bench scene.

| Со         | ntour    | Thickness                                     | Two  | Pixels | Four | Pixels | Six Pixels |      | Whole Image |      |
|------------|----------|---|------|--------|------|--------|------------|------|-------------|------|
|            | Lig      | hting   | L→S  | S→L    | L→S  | S→L    | L→S        | S→L  | L→S         | S→L  |
|            |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 12   | 3108   | 12   | 3921   | 12         | 4093 | 12          | 4462 |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 477    | 0    | 793    | 0          | 1143 | 0           | 3701 |
| Resolution |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 2664 | 0      | 3259 | 0      | 3308       | 0    | 3329        | 0    |
|            | )24x1024 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 12   | 0      | 13   | 0      | 13         | 0    | 13          | 0    |
|            | 1(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 867    | 0    | 1560   | 0          | 1999 | 0           | 4832 |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 192    | 0    | 373    | 0          | 648  | 0           | 4616 |
| v Map      |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 10   | 2466   | 11   | 2596   | 11         | 2618 | 11          | 2647 |
| hadov      |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 220    | 0    | 428    | 0          | 566  | 0           | 1637 |
| S          |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 2000 | 0      | 2047 | 0      | 2047       | 0    | 2072        | 0    |
|            | )48x2048 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 7    | 0      | 9    | 0      | 9          | 0    | 9           | 0    |
|            | 2(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 608    | 0    | 1008   | 0          | 1266 | 0           | 2723 |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 165    | 0    | 359    | 0          | 606  | 0           | 2625 |

 Table 106: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

 by lighting change for the against viewpoint of the bench scene.

| и                 |                                     |                  | 1024x1024         |                   |                  | 2048x2048         |                   |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Algorithı<br>Step | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels       | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         | Two Pixels       | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         |
|                   | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 36248            | 64370             | 86929             | 37821            | 65950             | 88864             |
| lap               | Correct Light                       | 13366            | 25302             | 34975             | 15453            | 27761             | 37260             |
|                   | Pixels                              | (76.69%)         | (83.59%)          | (87.41%)          | (85.38%)         | (91.08%)          | (93.20%)          |
| M wop             | Correct Shadow                      | 15711            | 30181             | 42824             | 17255            | 32874             | 46266             |
|                   | Pixels                              | (83.48%)         | (88.50%)          | (91.28%)          | (87.50%)         | (92.68%)          | (94.64%)          |
| Sha               | Incorrect Light                     | 4063             | 4966              | 5037              | 2647             | 2719              | 2720              |
|                   | Pixels                              | (23.31%)         | (16.41%)          | (12.59%)          | (14.62%)         | (8.92%)           | (6.80%)           |
|                   | Incorrect Shadow                    | 3108             | 3921              | 4093              | 2466             | 2596              | 2618              |
|                   | Pixels                              | (16.52%)         | (11.50%)          | (8.72%)           | (12.50%)         | (7.32%)           | (5.36%)           |
|                   | Confirmations in                    | 6944             | 13631             | 21521             | 8223             | 18729             | 28097             |
|                   | Light                               | (39.84%)         | (45.03%)          | (53.79%)          | (45.43%)         | (61.45%)          | (70.28%)          |
| erence            | Confirmations in Shadow             | 7988<br>(42.45%) | 14920<br>(43.75%) | 24345<br>(51.89%) | 9084<br>(46.06%) | 22499<br>(63.43%) | 35753<br>(73.14%) |
| xel Coh           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 50 (0.29%)       | 65 (0.21%)        | 79 (0.20%)        | 43 (0.24%)       | 52 (0.17%)        | 53 (0.13%)        |
| Te                | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 7 (0.04%)        | 30 (0.09%)        | 102<br>(0.22%)    | 19 (0.10%)       | 79 (0.22%)        | 99 (0.20%)        |
| ouring            | Corrections in                      | 2676             | 3271              | 3319              | 2008             | 2055              | 2055              |
| cels              | Light                               | (15.35%)         | (10.81%)          | (8.30%)           | (11.09%)         | (6.74%)           | (5.14%)           |
| Neight            | Confirmations in                    | 14399            | 28071             | 40551             | 16518            | 32100             | 45509             |
| Te                | Shadow                              | (76.51%)         | (82.31%)          | (86.43%)          | (83.76%)         | (90.50%)          | (93.10%)          |
| Adjacent          | Confirmations in                    | 15256            | 29526             | 42194             | 17069            | 32731             | 46142             |
| Geometry          | Shadow                              | (81.07%)         | (86.58%)          | (89.93%)          | (86.55%)         | (92.28%)          | (94.39%)          |
| ighting           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 1393<br>(7.99%)  | 1701<br>(5.62%)   | 1724<br>(4.31%)   | 641<br>(3.54%)   | 666<br>(2.19%)    | 667<br>(1.67%)    |
| Final L           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 469<br>(2.49%)   | 715<br>(2.10%)    | 834<br>(1.78%)    | 224<br>(1.14%)   | 301<br>(0.85%)    | 322<br>(0.66%)    |

Table 107: Algorithm results of the against viewpoint of the bench scene.

Below come the results for the "with" viewpoint of the "trees" scene.



Figure 161: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 162: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 163: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 164: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 165: Result of the single texel approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 166: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 167: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 168: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 169: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the trees





Figure 170: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 171: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the with viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 172: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the with viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map | Ammanah              |                | Contour       | Thickness     |                |  |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--|
| Resolution | Approach             | Two Pixels     | Four Pixels   | Six Pixels    | Whole Image    |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour | 21562          | 42323         | 62580         | 1048576        |  |
|            | Shadow Map           | 6812 (31.59%)  | 8289 (19.59%) | 8484 (13.56%) | 8599 (0.82%)   |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 3797 (16.61%)  | 4903 (11.58%) | 5451 (8.71%)  | 20569 (1.96%)  |  |
|            | Neighbour            |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Texels (4            | 436 (2.02%)    | 567 (1.34%)   | 609 (0.97%)   | 1222 (0.12%)   |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |                |               |               |                |  |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbour            |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Texels (9            | 267 (1.24%)    | 342 (0.81%)   | 360 (0.58%)   | 798 (0.08%)    |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 3440 (15.95%)  | 4199 (9.92%)  | 4330 (6.92%)  | 5350 (0.51%)   |  |
|            | Level)               |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Geometry             | 3387 (15.71%)  | 4108 (9.71%)  | 4207 (6.72%)  | 4808 (0.46%)   |  |
|            | (Two Level)          |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour | 21064          | 41215         | 61184         | 1048576        |  |
|            | Shadow Man           | 4319 (20 50%)  | 4431 (10 75%) | 4431 (7 24%)  | 4486 (0.43%)   |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 2165(10.28%)   | 2478 (6.01%)  | 2773 (4 53%)  | 10650(1.02%)   |  |
|            | Neighbour            | 2105 (10.2070) | 2470 (0.0170) | 2113 (4.5570) | 10050 (1.0270) |  |
|            | Texels (4            | 134 (0.64%)    | 150 (0.36%)   | 160 (0.26%)   | 356 (0.03%)    |  |
|            | Neighbours)          | 134 (0.0470)   | 150 (0.5070)  | 100 (0.2070)  | 550 (0.0570)   |  |
|            | Neighbour            |                |               |               |                |  |
| 2048x2048  | Texels (9            | 69 (0.33%)     | 78 (0.19%)    | 85 (0.14%)    | 230 (0.02%)    |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 2016 (9.57%)   | 2089 (5.07%)  | 2106 (3.44%)  | 2673 (0.25%)   |  |
|            | Level)               |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |               |               |                |  |
|            | Geometry(Two         | 2005 (9.52%)   | 2066 (5.01%)  | 2069 (3.38%)  | 2464 (0.23%)   |  |
|            | Level)               |                |               |               |                |  |

Table 108: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the with viewpoint of

the trees scene.

| Shadow Man Desolution | Contour Thickness     |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels            | Four Pixels           | Six Pixels            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 6812 of 8599 (79.22%) | 8289 of 8599 (96.39%) | 8484 of 8599 (98.66%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 4319 of 4486 (96.28%) | 4431 of 4486 (98.77%) | 4431 of 4486 (98.77%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 109: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the with viewpoint of

the trees scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel Shading  |                |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow         |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 3369 of 10766  | 3443 of 10796  |  |  |  |
| $1024 \pm 1024$       | Four Pixels       | 4080 of 21077  | 4209 of 21246  |  |  |  |
| 1024X1024             | Six Pixels        | 4162 of 31085  | 4322 of 31495  |  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 4218 of 655181 | 4381 of 393395 |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 1991 of 10515  | 2328 of 10549  |  |  |  |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels       | 2043 of 20511  | 2388 of 20704  |  |  |  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 2043 of 30352  | 2388 of 30832  |  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 2074 of 655006 | 2412 of 393570 |  |  |  |

Table 110: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the with viewpoint of the trees scene, separated in pixelsdefined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.

| Chadaw     |              |           |                          | Texel Co  | oherence  |                          |           |
|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Map        | Contour      |           | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |
| Resolution | Thickness    | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |
|            | Two Pivels   | 331       | 0 (0 00%)                | 10435     | 327       | 0 (0 00%)                | 10469     |
|            | I WO FIXEIS  | (3.07%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | (96.93%)  | (3.03%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | (96.97%)  |
|            | Ease Discala | 4356      | 0(0.00%)                 | 16721     | 4555      | 2(0.01%)                 | 16691     |
| 1024x1024  | Four Fixers  | (20.67%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (79.33%)  | (21.44%)  | 2(0.01%)                 | (78.56%)  |
|            | Sin Dinala   | 11909     | 0(0.00%)                 | 19176     | 12365     | 4(0.01%)                 | 19130     |
|            | SIX PIXEIS   | (38.31%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (61.69%)  | (39.26%)  | 4 (0.01%)                | (60.74%)  |
|            | Whole        | 634459    | 0(0,000)                 | 20722     | 372685    | 12(0.000)                | 20710     |
|            | Image        | (96.84%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (3.16%)   | (94.74%)  | 15 (0.00%)               | (5.26%)   |
|            | Two Divola   | 2222      | 0(0.00%)                 | 8293      | 2252      | 0(0.00%)                 | 8297      |
|            | I wo Pixels  | (21.13%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (78.87%)  | (21.35%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (78.65%)  |
|            | Equa Divala  | 10672     | 0(0,000)                 | 9839      | 10802     | 2(0.0107)                | 9902      |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels  | (52.03%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (47.97%)  | (52.17%)  | 2 (0.01%)                | (47.83%)  |
| 204682046  | Six Divola   | 20221     | 0(0.00%)                 | 10131     | 20658     | 2(0.01%)                 | 10174     |
|            | SIX FIXEIS   | (66.62%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (33.38%)  | (67.00%)  | 2 (0.01%)                | (33.00%)  |
|            | Whole        | 644692    | 0 (0 00%)                | 10314     | 383204    | 2(0.00%)                 | 10366     |
|            | Image        | (98.43%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (1.57%)   | (97.37%)  | 2 (0.00%)                | (2.63%)   |

Table 111: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.

|                         |                |                     | Texel Shadowing                              |                     |   |                     |  |                     |   |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 0                       | less           |                     | Li   | ght                 | _   | Shadow              |  |                     |   |  |  |  |  |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolution | Contour Thickr | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 1422                | 1207   | 2021                | 1931  | 2064                | 1992   | 1409                | 1131  |  |  |  |  |
| 024                     | Four<br>Pixels | 1976                | 1527   | 3971                | 3865  | 4026                | 3931   | 1964                | 1452  |  |  |  |  |
| 024x                    | Six<br>Pixels  | 2150                | 1575   | 5139                | 5022  | 5173                | 5066   | 2142                | 1518  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 2195                | 1607   | 6447                | 6327  | 6542                | 6429   | 2180                | 1542  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 960                 | 711  | 1919                | 1873  | 1949                | 1884   | 978                 | 747   |  |  |  |  |
| 2048                    | Four<br>Pixels | 1033                | 735  | 2824                | 2771  | 2879                | 2805   | 1061                | 779   |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x                   | Six<br>Pixels  | 1033                | 735  | 3166                | 3063  | 3151                | 3077   | 1061                | 779   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 1049                | 748  | 3216                | 3159  | 3259                | 3185   | 1079                | 792   |  |  |  |  |

| Table 112: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the |
|---|
| trees scene.  |

| Shadow     |                |                    |                          | Texel Co           | oherence           |                          |                    |  |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Map        | Contour        |                    | Light                    |                    | Shadow             |                          |                    |  |
| Resolution | Thickness      | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided          | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided          |  |
|            | Two Pixels     | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)                | 10766<br>(100.00%) | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)                | 10796<br>(100.00%) |  |
| 1024-1024  | Four Pixels    | 590 (2.80)         | 0 (0.00%)                | 20487<br>(97.20%)  | 614<br>(2.89%)     | 0 (0.00%)                | 20632<br>(97.11%)  |  |
| 1024x1024  | Six Pixels     | 3241(10.43<br>%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 27844(89.5<br>7%)  | 3404(10.81<br>%)   | 1 (0.00%)                | 28091(89.1<br>9%)  |  |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 613994<br>(93.71%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 41187<br>(6.29%)   | 351763<br>(89.42%) | 5 (0.00%)                | 41632<br>(10.58%)  |  |
|            | Two Pixels     | 280<br>(2.66%)     | 0 (0.00%)                | 10235<br>(97.34%)  | 327<br>(3.10%)     | 0 (0.00%)                | 10222<br>(96.90%)  |  |
| 2048-2049  | Four Pixels    | 3976<br>(19.38%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 16535<br>(80.62%)  | 4097<br>(19.79%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 16607<br>(80.21%)  |  |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels     | 11202<br>(36.91%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 19150<br>(63.09%)  | 11582<br>(37.56%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 19250<br>(62.44%)  |  |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 634337<br>(96.84%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 20669<br>(3.16%)   | 372782<br>(94.72%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 20788<br>(5.28%)   |  |

Table 113: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.

| 0                         |                         |               |                |               | Shado          | w Map         |                |               |                |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Mar                       | B<br>II.                |               | 10242          | x1024         |                |               | 20482          | x2048         |                |
| Shadow Map<br>Light Light | Texel                   | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |
| Sh                        | ×                       | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                           | 8 S-1 L in              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                           | RT Shadow               | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                           | 7 S-2 L                 | 17            | 18             | 18            | 18             | 1             | 1              | 1             | 1              |
|                           | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 10            | 10             | 10            | 10             | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                           | 6 S-3 L                 | 52            | 56             | 56            | 56             | 21            | 21             | 21            | 21             |
|                           | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 15            | 15             | 15            | 15             | 10            | 10             | 10            | 10             |
|                           | 5 S-4 L                 | 67            | 99             | 119           | 156            | 24            | 27             | 27            | 27             |
| Light                     | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 27            | 30             | 30            | 34             | 5             | 5              | 5             | 5              |
|                           | 4 S-5 L                 | 2307          | 3879           | 4743          | 5646           | 1892          | 2529           | 2724          | 2756           |
|                           | 4 S-5 L 1n<br>RT Light  | 727           | 1856           | 2648          | 3532           | 897           | 1498           | 1693          | 1715           |
|                           | 3 S-6 L                 | 6495          | 12258          | 16326         | 21275          | 6249          | 9651           | 10617         | 10802          |
|                           | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 4761          | 10259          | 14317         | 19233          | 5270          | 8656           | 9622          | 9786           |
|                           | 2 S-7 L                 | 1565          | 3029           | 4123          | 5522           | 1512          | 2391           | 2740          | 2791           |
|                           | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 1562          | 3026           | 4120          | 5519           | 1510          | 2389           | 2738          | 2789           |
|                           | 1 S-8 L                 | 263           | 1148           | 2459          | 8514           | 536           | 1915           | 3020          | 4271           |
|                           | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 263           | 1148           | 2459          | 8514           | 536           | 1915           | 3020          | 4271           |
|                           | 8 S-1 L                 | 283           | 1204           | 2590          | 8845           | 550           | 1960           | 3048          | 4319           |
| Shadow Light              | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 281           | 1199           | 2585          | 8840           | 547           | 1955           | 3043          | 4314           |
|                           | 7 S-2 L                 | 1662          | 3208           | 4351          | 5896           | 1543          | 2480           | 2856          | 2907           |
|                           | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1635          | 3169           | 4309          | 5848           | 1537          | 2474           | 2850          | 2901           |
|                           | 6 S-3 L                 | 6565          | 12399          | 16491         | 21340          | 6230          | 9646           | 10622         | 10795          |
|                           | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 4708          | 10265          | 14345         | 19166          | 4942          | 8342           | 9318          | 9481           |
|                           | 5 S-4 L                 | 2286          | 3821           | 4659          | 5551           | 1895          | 2516           | 2719          | 2762           |
| MC                        | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 729           | 1790           | 2531          | 3402           | 867           | 1446           | 1649          | 1678           |
| hade                      | 4 S-5 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 4             | 5              | 5             | 5              |
| S                         | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 3             | 3              | 3             | 3              |
|                           | 3 S-6 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                           | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                           | 2 S-7 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                           | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                           | 1 S-8 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                           | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |

Table 114: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the

trees scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour        | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|----------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Inickness      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024x1024  | Two<br>Pixels  | 2         | 3443 | 0          | 430   | 7397               | 6923   | 3367                    | 0   |
|            | Four<br>Pixels | 2         | 4209 | 0          | 825   | 16997              | 16212  | 4078                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels     | 2         | 4322 | 0          | 1291  | 26923              | 25882  | 4160                    | 0   |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 2         | 4381 | 0          | 16353 | 650963             | 372661 | 4216                    | 0   |
|            | Two<br>Pixels  | 2         | 2328 | 0          | 176   | 8524               | 8045   | 1989                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Four<br>Pixels | 2         | 2388 | 0          | 437   | 18468              | 17879  | 2041                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels     | 2         | 2388 | 0          | 732   | 28309              | 27712  | 2041                    | 0   |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 2         | 2412 | 0          | 8578  | 652932             | 382580 | 2072                    | 0   |

 Table 115: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.

| / Map<br>ution   | er of<br>ours  | our<br>ness    | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |     | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Shadow<br>Resolı | Numb<br>Neighl | Cont<br>Thick  | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
|                  |                | Two Pixels     | 3149      | 3443 | 0          | 216 | 7397               | 7137   | 220                     | 0   |
|                  |                | Four Pixels    | 3807      | 4209 | 0          | 294 | 16997              | 16743  | 273                     | 0   |
|                  | 3              | Six Pixels     | 3879      | 4322 | 0          | 326 | 26923              | 26847  | 283                     | 0   |
| 24x1024          |                | Whole<br>Image | 3930      | 4381 | 0          | 934 | 650963             | 388080 | 288                     | 0   |
|                  | 8              | Two Pixels     | 3233      | 3443 | 0          | 131 | 7397               | 7222   | 136                     | 0   |
| 10               |                | Four Pixels    | 3915      | 4209 | 0          | 177 | 16997              | 16860  | 165                     | 0   |
|                  |                | Six Pixels     | 3997      | 4322 | 0          | 195 | 26923              | 26978  | 165                     | 0   |
|                  |                | Whole<br>Image | 4050      | 4381 | 0          | 630 | 650963             | 388384 | 168                     | 0   |
|                  |                | Two Pixels     | 1914      | 2328 | 0          | 57  | 8524               | 8164   | 77                      | 0   |
|                  |                | Four Pixels    | 1963      | 2388 | 0          | 70  | 18468              | 18246  | 80                      | 0   |
|                  | 3              | Six Pixels     | 1963      | 2388 | 0          | 80  | 28309              | 28364  | 80                      | 0   |
| 2048             |                | Whole<br>Image | 1922      | 2412 | 0          | 274 | 652932             | 390884 | 82                      | 0   |
| 48,              |                | Two Pixels     | 1950      | 2328 | 0          | 28  | 8524               | 8193   | 41                      | 0   |
| 20               |                | Four Pixels    | 2001      | 2388 | 0          | 36  | 18468              | 18280  | 42                      | 0   |
|                  | 8              | Six Pixels     | 2001      | 2388 | 0          | 43  | 28309              | 28401  | 42                      | 0   |
|                  |                | Whole<br>Image | 2030      | 2412 | 0          | 186 | 652932             | 390972 | 44                      | 0   |

 Table 116: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 3                       | Used                | 1.0619     | 0.9836      | 0.9045     | 0.4759      |
| 24x10                    |                         | Available           | 1.0785     | 1.0965      | 1.1170     | 1.2050      |
|                          | 8                       | Used                | 1.2298     | 1.2151      | 1.1792     | 0.5812      |
| 10                       |                         | Available           | 1.2298     | 1.2323      | 1.2436     | 1.4024      |
| 48                       | 3                       | Used                | 0.9371     | 0.7933      | 0.7265     | 0.4267      |
| (20                      |                         | Available           | 1.0476     | 1.0705      | 1.0851     | 1.1079      |
| 48,                      | 0                       | Used                | 1.1089     | 1.0251      | 0.9417     | 0.4798      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 1.1238     | 1.1346      | 1.1528     | 1.2145      |

Table 117: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the with viewpoint of the

trees scene.

| / Map<br>ation  | Adjacency<br>Level | Contour<br>Thickness | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Shadov<br>Resol |                    |                      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
|                 | One Level          | Two<br>Pixels        | 2         | 3443 | 0          | 73   | 7397               | 7280   | 3367                    | 0   |
|                 |                    | Four<br>Pixels       | 2         | 4209 | 0          | 121  | 16997              | 16916  | 4078                    | 0   |
|                 |                    | Six<br>Pixels        | 2         | 4322 | 0          | 170  | 26923              | 27003  | 4160                    | 0   |
| 1024            |                    | Whole<br>Image       | 2         | 4381 | 0          | 1134 | 650963             | 387880 | 4216                    | 0   |
| 1024x           | Two Levels         | Two<br>Pixels        | 2         | 3443 | 0          | 20   | 7397               | 7333   | 3367                    | 0   |
|                 |                    | Four<br>Pixels       | 2         | 4209 | 0          | 30   | 16997              | 17007  | 4078                    | 0   |
|                 |                    | Six<br>Pixels        | 2         | 4322 | 0          | 47   | 26923              | 27126  | 4160                    | 0   |
|                 |                    | Whole<br>Image       | 2         | 4381 | 0          | 592  | 650963             | 388422 | 4216                    | 0   |
|                 | One Level          | Two<br>Pixels        | 2         | 2328 | 0          | 27   | 8524               | 8194   | 1989                    | 0   |
|                 |                    | Four<br>Pixels       | 2         | 2388 | 0          | 48   | 18468              | 18268  | 2041                    | 0   |
|                 |                    | Six<br>Pixels        | 2         | 2388 | 0          | 65   | 28309              | 28379  | 2041                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048       |                    | Whole<br>Image       | 2         | 2412 | 0          | 601  | 652932             | 390557 | 2072                    | 0   |
|                 | evels              | Two<br>Pixels        | 2         | 2328 | 0          | 16   | 8524               | 8205   | 1989                    | 0   |
|                 |                    | Four<br>Pixels       | 2         | 2388 | 0          | 25   | 18468              | 18291  | 2041                    | 0   |
|                 | Two I              | Six<br>Pixels        | 2         | 2388 | 0          | 28   | 28309              | 28416  | 2041                    | 0   |
|                 |                    | Whole<br>Image       | 2         | 2412 | 0          | 392  | 652932             | 390766 | 2072                    | 0   |

 Table 118: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow<br>Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                          | One                | Used                | 2.0024     | 2.0078      | 2.1030     | 1.5007      |
| (10                         | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 1024x                       | Two                | Used                | 7.1536     | 7.1787      | 7.2008     | 5.3309      |
|                             | Levels             | Available           | 14.2899    | 14.3016     | 14.3087    | 14.2094     |
| 48                          | One                | Used                | 2.0032     | 2.0094      | 2.0157     | 1.5014      |
| 48x20                       | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
|                             | Two                | Used                | 7.1638     | 7.1943      | 7.2195     | 5.3332      |
| 20                          | Levels             | Available           | 14.3045    | 14.3216     | 14.3265    | 14.2090     |

Table 119: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the with viewpoint of the

trees scene.

| Contour Thickness |          |   | Two Pixels |      | Four Pixels |      | Six Pixels |      | Whole Image |      |
|-------------------|----------|---|------------|------|-------------|------|------------|------|-------------|------|
|                   | Lig      | ting  | L→S        | S→L  | L→S         | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→S         | S→L  |
| Map Resolution    |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 2          | 3443 | 2           | 4209 | 2          | 4322 | 2           | 4381 |
|                   |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0          | 4    | 0           | 4    | 0          | 4    | 0           | 13   |
|                   |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 3231       | 0    | 3913        | 0    | 3995       | 0    | 4048        | 0    |
|                   | )24x1024 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0          | 0    | 0           | 0    | 0          | 0    | 0           | 0    |
|                   | 10       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0          | 127  | 0           | 173  | 0          | 191  | 0           | 617  |
|                   |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0          | 16   | 0           | 26   | 0          | 43   | 0           | 579  |
|                   |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 2          | 2328 | 2           | 2388 | 2          | 2388 | 2           | 2412 |
| hadov             |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0          | 3    | 0           | 3    | 0          | 3    | 0           | 3    |
| S                 |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1948       | 0    | 1999        | 0    | 1999       | 0    | 2028        | 0    |
|                   | )48x2048 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0          | 0    | 0           | 0    | 0          | 0    | 0           | 0    |
|                   | 2(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0          | 25   | 0           | 33   | 0          | 40   | 0           | 183  |
|                   |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0          | 13   | 0           | 22   | 0          | 25   | 0           | 389  |

 Table 120: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

 by lighting change for the with viewpoint of the trees scene.

| n                 |                                     |                | 1024x1024      |                | 2048x2048  |             |            |  |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|
| Algorithi<br>Step | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels     | Four Pixels    | Six Pixel      | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixel  |  |
|                   | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 21562          | 42323          | 62580          | 21064      | 41215       | 61184      |  |
| lap               | Correct Light                       | 7397           | 16997          | 26923          | 8524       | 18468       | 28309      |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (68.71%)       | (80.64%)       | (86.61%)       | (81.07%)   | (90.04%)    | (93.27%)   |  |
| d wobi            | Correct Shadow                      | 7353           | 17037          | 27173          | 8221       | 18316       | 28444      |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (68.11%)       | (80.19%)       | (86.28%)       | (77.93%)   | (88.47%)    | (92.25%)   |  |
| She               | Incorrect Light                     | 3369           | 4080           | 4162           | 1991       | 2043        | 2043       |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (31.29%)       | (19.36%)       | (13.39%)       | (18.93%)   | (9.96%)     | (6.73%)    |  |
|                   | Incorrect Shadow                    | 3443           | 4209           | 4322           | 2328       | 2388        | 2388       |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (31.89%)       | (19.81%)       | (13.72%)       | (22.07%)   | (11.53%)    | (7.75%)    |  |
|                   | Confirmations in                    | 331            | 4356           | 11909          | 2222       | 10672       | 20221      |  |
|                   | Light                               | (3.07%)        | (20.67%)       | (38.31%)       | (21.13%)   | (52.03%)    | (66.62%)   |  |
| erence            | Confirmations in                    | 327            | 4555           | 12365          | 2252       | 10802       | 20658      |  |
|                   | Shadow                              | (3.03%)        | (21.44%)       | (39.26%)       | (21.35%)   | (52.17%)    | (67.00%)   |  |
| sxel Coh          | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 0 (0.00%)      | 0 (0.00%)      | 0 (0.00%)      | 0 (0.00%)  | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)  |  |
| Te                | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 0 (0.00%)      | 2 (0.01%)      | 4 (0.01%)      | 0 (0.00%)  | 2 (0.01%)   | 2 (0.01%)  |  |
| ouring            | Corrections in                      | 3233           | 3915           | 3997           | 1950       | 2001        | 2001       |  |
| cels              | Light                               | (30.03%)       | (18.57%)       | (12.86%)       | (18.54%)   | (9.76%)     | (6.59%)    |  |
| Neight            | Confirmations in                    | 7222           | 16867          | 27001          | 8194       | 18290       | 28418      |  |
| Tey               | Shadow                              | (66.90%)       | (79.39%)       | (85.73%)       | (77.68%)   | (88.34%)    | (92.17%)   |  |
| Adjacent          | Confirmations in                    | 7349           | 17035          | 27173          | 8218       | 18315       | 28443      |  |
| Geometry          | Shadow                              | (68.07%)       | (80.18%)       | (86.28%)       | (77.90%)   | (88.46%)    | (92.25%)   |  |
| ghting            | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 136<br>(1.26%) | 165<br>(0.78%) | 165<br>(0.53%) | 41 (0.39%) | 42 (0.20%)  | 42 (0.14%) |  |
| Final L           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 4 (0.04%)      | 6 (0.03%)      | 8 (0.03%)      | 3 (0.03%)  | 5 (0.02%)   | 5 (0.02%)  |  |

Table 121: Algorithm results of the with viewpoint of the trees scene.

Below are the results of the "side" viewpoint of the "trees" scene.



Figure 173: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 174: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 175: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 176: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 177: Result of the single texel approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 178: Result of the neighbour texels approach with four neighbours for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 179: Result of the neighbour texels approach with nine neighbours for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 180: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 181: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 182: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 183: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the side viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.


Figure 184: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the side viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map             | A                    |                 | Contour 7     | Thickness     |               |  |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Resolution             | Approach             | Two Pixels      | Four Pixels   | Six Pixels    | Whole Image   |  |
|                        | Pixels in<br>Contour | 27414           | 54182         | 80266         | 1048576       |  |
|                        | Shadow Map           | 5602 (20.43%)   | 6161 (11.37%) | 6254 (7.79%)  | 6349 (0.61%)  |  |
|                        | Single Texel         | 3021 (11.02%)   | 3831 (7.07%)  | 4594 (5.72%)  | 20004 (1.91%) |  |
|                        | Neighbour            |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Texels (4            | 215 (0.78%)     | 257 (0.47%)   | 306 (0.38%)   | 984 (0.09%)   |  |
|                        | Neighbours)          |                 |               |               |               |  |
| 1024x1024              | Neighbour            |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Texels (9            | 90 (0.33%)      | 101 (0.19%)   | 121 (0.15%)   | 503 (0.05%)   |  |
|                        | Neighbours)          |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Adjacent             |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Geometry (One        | 2640 (9.63%)    | 2928 (5.40%)  | 3027 (3.77%)  | 4635 (0.44%)  |  |
|                        | Level)               |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Adjacent             |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Geometry             | 2601 (9.49%)    | 2852 (5.26%)  | 2906 (3.62%)  | 4127 (0.39%)  |  |
|                        | (Two Level)          |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Pixels in            | 27267           | 53603         | 79206         | 1048576       |  |
| 1024x1024<br>2048x2048 | Contour              | 2100 (11 52 61) |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Shadow Map           | 3198 (11.73%)   | 3256 (6.07%)  | 3257 (4.11%)  | 3283 (0.31%)  |  |
|                        | Single Texel         | 1788 (6.56%)    | 2135 (3.98%)  | 2460 (3.11%)  | 10338 (0.99%) |  |
|                        | Neighbour            |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Texels (4            | 60 (0.22%)      | 70 (0.13%)    | 87 (0.11%)    | 264 (0.03%)   |  |
|                        | Neighbours)          |                 |               |               |               |  |
| 2048x2048              | Neighbour            |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Texels (9            | 25 (0.09%)      | 26 (0.05%)    | 36 (0.05%)    | 153 (0.01%)   |  |
|                        | Neighbours)          |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Adjacent             |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Geometry (One        | 1553 (5.70%)    | 1601 (2.99%)  | 1628 (2.06%)  | 2292 (0.22%)  |  |
|                        | Level)               |                 |               |               |               |  |
|                        | Adjacent             |                 | 1501 (2.050)  | 1500 (0.01 %) | 2114 (0.20%)  |  |
|                        | Geometry             | 1545 (5.67%)    | 1581 (2.95%)  | 1589 (2.01%)  | 2114 (0.20%)  |  |
|                        | (Two Level)          |                 |               |               |               |  |

Table 122: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the side viewpoint of

the trees scene.

| Shadow Man Desolution | Contour Thickness     |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels            | Four Pixels           | Six Pixels            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 5602 of 6349 (88.23%) | 6161 of 6349 (97.04%) | 6254 of 6349 (98.50%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 3198 of 3283 (97.41%) | 3256 of 3283 (99.18%) | 3257 of 3283 (99.21%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 123: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the side viewpoint of

the trees scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness  | Pixel Shading  |                |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness  | Light          | Shadow         |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels   | 2579 of 13645  | 3023 of 13769  |  |  |  |
| 1024-1024             | Four Pixels  | 2811 of 26799  | 3350 of 27383  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | Six Pixels   | 2843 of 39347  | 3411 of 40919  |  |  |  |
|                       | utionContour ThicknessTwo PixelsLigTwo Pixels2579 ofFour Pixels2811 ofSix Pixels2843 ofWhole Image2884 ofTwo Pixels1528 ofFour Pixels1552 ofSix Pixels1552 ofSix Pixels1552 ofWhole Image1564 of | 2884 of 675205 | 3465 of 373371 |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels   | 1528 of 13557  | 1670 of 13710  |  |  |  |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels  | 1552 of 26440  | 1704 of 27163  |  |  |  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels   | 1552 of 38742  | 1705 of 40464  |  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image  | 1564 of 675631 | 1719 of 372945 |  |  |  |

 Table 124: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the side viewpoint of the trees scene, separated in pixels

 defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.

| Shadow   |              | Texel Coherence |                          |           |           |                          |           |  |  |  |
|--|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Map  | Contour      |                 | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |  |  |  |
| Shadow<br>Map<br>Resolution  | Thickness    | Confirmed       | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |  |  |  |
|  | Two Pixels   | 2722            | 0(0.00%)                 | 10923     | 2813      | 0(0.00%)                 | 10956     |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024  | I wo I ixels | (19.95%)        | 0 (0.00 %)               | (80.05%)  | (20.43%)  | 0 (0.00 %)               | (79.57%)  |  |  |  |
|  | Four Divala  | 12457           | 0(0.00%)                 | 14342     | 12960     | 0(0.00%)                 | 14423     |  |  |  |
| 1024+1024  | Four Fixers  | (46.48%)        | 0 (0.00%)                | (53.52%)  | (47.33%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (52.67%)  |  |  |  |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels   | 24007           | 0(0,000)                 | 15340     | 25434     | 1(0,0007)                | 15485     |  |  |  |
| Shadow<br>Map<br>Resolution  |              | (61.01%)        | 0 (0.00%)                | (38.99%)  | (62.16%)  | 1 (0.00%)                | (37.84%)  |  |  |  |
|  | Whole        | 659046          | 0(0,000)                 | 16159     | 357046    | 11(0,0007)               | 16325     |  |  |  |
|  | Image        | (97.61%)        | 0 (0.00%)                | (2.39%)   | (95.63%)  | 11 (0.00%)               | (4.37%)   |  |  |  |
|  | Two Divola   | 6288            | 0(0,000)                 | 7269      | 6514      | 0(0,0007)                | 7196      |  |  |  |
| Shadow<br>Map<br>Resolution<br>T<br>1024x1024<br>S<br>2048x2048<br>S | I wo Pixels  | (46.38%)        | 0 (0.00%)                | (53.62%)  | (47.51%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (52.49%)  |  |  |  |
|  | Equa Divala  | 18358           | 0(0,000)                 | 8082      | 19155     | 0(0,0007)                | 8008      |  |  |  |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels  | (69.43%)        | 0 (0.00%)                | (30.57%)  | (70.52%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (29.48%)  |  |  |  |
| 204682046  | Six Divola   | 30604           | 0(0.00%)                 | 8138      | 32399     | 0(0.00%)                 | 8065      |  |  |  |
|  | SIX FIXEIS   | (78.99%)        | 0 (0.00%)                | (21.01%)  | (80.07%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (19.93%)  |  |  |  |
|  | Whole        | 667411          | 0(0.00%)                 | 8220      | 364797    | 0(0,000)                 | 8148      |  |  |  |
|  | Image        | (98.78%)        | 0 (0.00%)                | (1.22%)   | (97.82%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (2.18%)   |  |  |  |

Table 125: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.

|                         |                |                |                     |  | Texel Sh            | adowing                                     |                     |  |                     |   |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| d _                     | less           |                | Li                  | ght  |                     |   | Sha                 | dow  |                     |   |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolution | Contour Thick1 | Contour Thickr | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 1654           | 1219                | 2842   | 2727                | 2889  | 2796                | 1559   | 1159                |   |
| 1024                    | Four<br>Pixels | 1909           | 1318                | 4492   | 4364                | 4606  | 4493                | 1809   | 1279                |   |
| 024x                    | Six<br>Pixels  | 1909           | 1318                | 5134   | 5006                | 5308  | 5188                | 1809   | 1279                |   |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 1935           | 1337                | 5539   | 5410                | 5740  | 5619                | 1827   | 1294                |   |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 957            | 679                 | 2289   | 2236                | 2282  | 2228                | 909  | 649                 |   |
| 2048                    | Four<br>Pixels | 957            | 679                 | 2793   | 2740                | 2781  | 2726                | 910  | 650                 |   |
| 2048x                   | Six<br>Pixels  | 957            | 679                 | 2824   | 2771                | 2818  | 2762                | 910  | 650                 |   |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 967            | 684                 | 2861   | 2806                | 2853  | 2797                | 923  | 659                 |   |

| Table 126: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the |
|---|
| trees scene.  |

| Shadow     |                |                    |                                    | Texel Co          | oherence           |                          |                   |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Map        | Contour        |                    | Light                              |                   |                    | Shadow                   |                   |
| Resolution | Thickness      | Confirmed          | Confirmed Incorrectly<br>Confirmed |                   | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided         |
|            | Two Pixels     | 105<br>(0.77%)     | 0 (0.00%)                          | 13540<br>(99.23%) | 106<br>(0.77%)     | 0 (0.00%)                | 13663<br>(99.23%) |
|            | Four Pixels    | 4552<br>(16.99%)   | 0 (0.00%)                          | 22247<br>(83.01%) | 4882<br>(17.83%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 22501<br>(82,17%) |
| 1024x1024  | Six Pixels     | 12547<br>(31.89%)  | 0 (0.00%)                          | 26800<br>(68.11%) | 13688<br>(33.45%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 27231<br>(66.55%) |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 643207<br>(95.26%) | 0 (0.00%)                          | 31998<br>(4.74%)  | 340528<br>(91.20%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 32843<br>(8.80%)  |
|            | Two Pixels     | 2340<br>(17.26%)   | 0 (0.00%)                          | 11217<br>(82.74%) | 2470<br>(18.02%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 11240<br>(81.98%) |
| 2049-2049  | Four Pixels    | 11886<br>(44.95%)  | 0 (0.00%)                          | 14554<br>(55.05%) | 12537<br>(46.15%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 14626<br>(53.85%) |
| 204882048  | Six Pixels     | 23125<br>(59.69%)  | 0 (0.00%)                          | 15617<br>(40.31%) | 24737<br>(61.13%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 15727<br>(38.87%) |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 659349<br>(97.59%) | 0 (0.00%)                          | 16282<br>(2.41%)  | 356521<br>(95.60%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 16424<br>(4.40%)  |

Table 127: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.

| 0.                              |                         |               |                |               | Shado          | w Map         |                |               |                |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Maj<br>1g                       | ing                     |               | 10242          | x1024         |                | <b>•</b>      | 20482          | x2048         |                |
| hadow l<br>Lightir              | Texel                   | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |
| N N                             | 8 S-1 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                                 | 8 S-1 L in<br>BT Shadow | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                                 | 7 S-2 L                 | 6             | 6              | 6             | 6              | 6             | 6              | 6             | 6              |
|                                 | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 2             | 2              | 2             | 2              | 1             | 1              | 1             | 1              |
|                                 | 6 S-3 L                 | 60            | 73             | 73            | 73             | 25            | 25             | 25            | 25             |
| Shadow Map<br>Light Light Light | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 12            | 12             | 12            | 12             | 8             | 8              | 8             | 8              |
|                                 | 5 S-4 L                 | 118           | 165            | 182           | 182            | 28            | 32             | 32            | 32             |
| Light                           | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 27            | 28             | 28            | 28             | 6             | 6              | 6             | 6              |
| Lig                             | 4 S-5 L                 | 3102          | 4391           | 4942          | 5088           | 2210          | 2555           | 2556          | 2589           |
|                                 | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 1549          | 2679           | 3219          | 4942           | 1295          | 1637           | 1638          | 1664           |
|                                 | 3 S-6 L                 | 7169          | 10923          | 12971         | 14459          | 5632          | 6941           | 7169          | 7439           |
|                                 | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 6188          | 9870           | 11897         | 13363          | 5039          | 6327           | 6555          | 6820           |
|                                 | 2 S-7 L                 | 2404          | 3716           | 4307          | 5004           | 1873          | 2272           | 2409          | 2511           |
|                                 | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 2400          | 3712           | 4303          | 5000           | 1868          | 2267           | 2404          | 2506           |
|                                 | 1 S-8 L                 | 681           | 2973           | 4319          | 7186           | 1443          | 2723           | 3420          | 3680           |
|                                 | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 681           | 2973           | 4319          | 7186           | 1443          | 2723           | 3420          | 3680           |
|                                 | 8 S-1 L                 | 725           | 3144           | 4618          | 7814           | 1552          | 2903           | 3629          | 3903           |
|                                 | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 719           | 3134           | 4603          | 7791           | 1552          | 2902           | 3627          | 3901           |
|                                 | 7 S-2 L                 | 2620          | 4024           | 4665          | 5427           | 1984          | 2412           | 2553          | 2665           |
|                                 | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 2573          | 3972           | 4613          | 5372           | 1975          | 2403           | 2544          | 2656           |
|                                 | 6 S-3 L                 | 7282          | 11030          | 13096         | 14615          | 5613          | 6891           | 7123          | 7407           |
| mo                              | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 5897          | 9509           | 11528         | 113020         | 4850          | 6104           | 6336          | 6613           |
|                                 | 5 S-4 L                 | 3036          | 4303           | 4852          | 4987           | 2091          | 2420           | 2422          | 2449           |
| wol                             | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1451          | 2536           | 3076          | 3195           | 1193          | 1513           | 1515          | 1535           |
| had                             | 4 S-5 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
| S                               | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                                 | 3 S-6 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                                 | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                                 | 2 S-7 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                                 | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                                 | 1 S-8 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
| Shadow Map<br>Light Light       | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |

Table 128: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the

trees scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 0         | 3023 | 0          | 442   | 11066              | 10304  | 2579                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 0         | 3350 | 0          | 1020  | 23988              | 23013  | 2811                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 0         | 3411 | 0          | 1751  | 36504              | 35757  | 2843                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 0         | 3465 | 0          | 17120 | 672321             | 352786 | 2884                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 1         | 1670 | 0          | 261   | 12029              | 11779  | 1527                    | 0   |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels | 1         | 1704 | 0          | 584   | 24888              | 24875  | 1551                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels  | 1         | 1705 | 0          | 909   | 37190              | 37850  | 1551                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 1         | 1719 | 0          | 8775  | 674067             | 362451 | 1563                    | 0   |

Table 129: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint

| of t | he t | rees  | scene. |
|------|------|-------|--------|
| υι   | nc ı | I CUS | scene. |

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turne | Turned Bad |        | Maintained Correct |     | Maintained<br>Incorrect |  |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|-------|------------|--------|--------------------|-----|-------------------------|--|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S   | S→L        | L→L    | S→S                | L→L | S→S                     |  |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 2463      | 3023 | 0     | 99         | 11066  | 10647              | 116 | 0                       |  |
|            | Four Pixels | 2690      | 3350 | 0     | 136        | 23988  | 23897              | 121 | 0                       |  |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 2722      | 3411 | 0     | 185        | 36504  | 37323              | 121 | 0                       |  |
|            | Whole Image | 2763      | 3465 | 0     | 863        | 672321 | 369043             | 121 | 0                       |  |
|            | Two Pixels  | 1496      | 1670 | 0     | 28         | 12029  | 12012              | 32  | 0                       |  |
| 2048-2048  | Four Pixels | 1520      | 1704 | 0     | 38         | 24888  | 25421              | 32  | 0                       |  |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels  | 1520      | 1705 | 0     | 55         | 37190  | 38704              | 32  | 0                       |  |
|            | Whole Image | 1532      | 1719 | 0     | 232        | 674067 | 370994             | 32  | 0                       |  |

Table 130: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping

approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution<br>1024x1024<br>2048x2048 | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |     | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
|  | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 2523      | 3023 | 0          | 34  | 11066              | 10712  | 56                      | 0   |
|  | Four Pixels | 2753      | 3350 | 0          | 43  | 23988              | 23990  | 58                      | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 2785      | 3411 | 0          | 63  | 36504              | 37445  | 58                      | 0   |
|  | Whole Image | 2826      | 3465 | 0          | 445 | 672321             | 369461 | 58                      | 0   |
|  | Two Pixels  | 1512      | 1670 | 0          | 9   | 12029              | 12031  | 16                      | 0   |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels | 1536      | 1704 | 0          | 10  | 24888              | 25449  | 16                      | 0   |
| 204882048  | Six Pixels  | 1536      | 1705 | 0          | 20  | 37190              | 38739  | 16                      | 0   |
|  | Whole Image | 1548      | 1719 | 0          | 137 | 674067             | 371089 | 16                      | 0   |

 Table 131: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 0.9881     | 0.8686      | 0.8053     | 0.4583      |
| (10                      | 3                       | Available           | 1.0970     | 1.1280      | 1.1490     | 1.2337      |
| 24,                      | 0                       | Used                | 1.2386     | 1.1561      | 1.0835     | 0.5663      |
| 10                       | 0                       | Available           | 1.2434     | 1.2621      | 1.2843     | 1.4649      |
| 48                       | 3                       | Used                | 0.8207     | 0.7143      | 0.6731     | 0.4080      |
| (20                      | 5                       | Available           | 1.0665     | 1.0862      | 1.0968     | 1.1225      |
| 48,                      | Q                       | Used                | 1.0383     | 0.9033      | 0.8327     | 0.4619      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 1.1358     | 1.1606      | 1.1760     | 1.2442      |

 Table 132: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024 1024  | Two Pixels  | 0         | 3023 | 0          | 61   | 11066              | 10685  | 2579                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 0         | 3350 | 0          | 117  | 23988              | 23916  | 2811                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 0         | 3411 | 0          | 184  | 36504              | 37324  | 2843                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 0         | 3465 | 0          | 1751 | 672321             | 368155 | 2884                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 1         | 1670 | 0          | 26   | 12029              | 12014  | 1527                    | 0   |
| 2048+2048  | Four Pixels | 1         | 1704 | 0          | 50   | 24888              | 25409  | 1551                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Six Pixels  | 1         | 1705 | 0          | 77   | 37190              | 38682  | 1551                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 1         | 1719 | 0          | 729  | 674067             | 370497 | 1563                    | 0   |

 Table 133: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |      | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | THICKNESS   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024 1024  | Two Pixels  | 0         | 3023 | 0          | 22   | 11066              | 10724  | 2579                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 0         | 3350 | 0          | 41   | 23988              | 23992  | 2811                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 0         | 3411 | 0          | 63   | 36504              | 37445  | 2843                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 0         | 3465 | 0          | 1243 | 672321             | 368663 | 2884                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 1         | 1670 | 0          | 18   | 12029              | 12022  | 1527                    | 0   |
| 2048-2048  | Four Pixels | 1         | 1704 | 0          | 30   | 24888              | 25429  | 1551                    | 0   |
| 204882048  | Six Pixels  | 1         | 1705 | 0          | 38   | 37190              | 38721  | 1551                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 1         | 1719 | 0          | 551  | 674067             | 370675 | 1563                    | 0   |

 Table 134: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two level of adjacency and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | One                | Used                | 2.0090     | 2.0216      | 2.0392     | 1.4243      |
| <b>κ</b> 10              | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 24,                      | Two                | Used                | 7.2426     | 7.2979      | 7.3708     | 5.1081      |
| 10.                      | Levels             | Available           | 14.4201    | 14.4402     | 14.4584    | 14.3456     |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 2.0114     | 2.0271      | 2.0435     | 1.4227      |
| :20                      | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 48,                      | Two                | Used                | 7.2488     | 7.3201      | 7.3906     | 5.1023      |
| 20                       | Levels             | Available           | 14.4156    | 14.4447     | 14.4662    | 14.3456     |

Table 135: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the side viewpoint of the

trees scene.

| Co         | ntour    | Thickness Two Pixels Four Pixels Six Pixels   |      | Whole | Whole Image |      |      |      |      |      |
|------------|----------|---|------|-------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|
|            | Lig      | hting   | L→S  | S→L   | L→S         | S→L  | L→S  | S→L  | L→S  | S→L  |
|            |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 0    | 3023  | 0           | 3350 | 0    | 3411 | 0    | 3465 |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 5     | 0           | 5    | 0    | 5    | 0    | 14   |
|            |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 2523 | 0     | 2753        | 0    | 2785 | 0    | 2826 | 0    |
|            | )24x1024 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0    | 0     | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| Resolution | 1(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 29    | 0           | 38   | 0    | 58   | 0    | 431  |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 17    | 0           | 36   | 0    | 58   | 0    | 1229 |
| v Map      |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 1    | 1670  | 1           | 1704 | 1    | 1705 | 1    | 1719 |
| hadov      |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 1     | 0           | 1    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 9    |
| S          |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1511 | 0     | 1535        | 0    | 1535 | 0    | 1547 | 0    |
|            | )48x2048 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0    | 0     | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|            | 2(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 8     | 0           | 9    | 0    | 19   | 0    | 128  |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 17    | 0           | 29   | 0    | 37   | 0    | 542  |

 Table 136: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

 by lighting change for the side viewpoint of the trees scene.

|                      |                                     |                   | 1024x1024         |                   |                   | 2048x2048         |                   |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Algorithr<br>Step    | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels        | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         | Two Pixels        | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         |
|                      | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 27414             | 54182             | 80266             | 27267             | 53603             | 79206             |
| dow Map              | Correct Light<br>Pixels             | 11066<br>(81.10%) | 23988<br>(89.51%) | 36504<br>(92.77%) | 12029<br>(88.73%) | 24888<br>(94.13%) | 37190<br>(95.99%) |
|                      | Correct Shadow<br>Pixels            | 10746<br>(78.04%) | 24033<br>(87.77%) | 37508<br>(91.66%) | 12040<br>(87.82%) | 25459<br>(93.73%) | 38759<br>(95.79%) |
| Sha                  | Incorrect Light<br>Pixels           | 2579<br>(18.90%)  | 2811<br>(10.49%)  | 2843<br>(7.23%)   | 1528<br>(11.27%)  | 1552<br>(5.87%)   | 1552<br>(4.01%)   |
|                      | Incorrect Shadow<br>Pixels          | 3023<br>(21.96%)  | 3350<br>(12.23%)  | 3411<br>(8.34%)   | 1670<br>(12.18%)  | 1704<br>(6.27%)   | 1705<br>(4.21%)   |
|                      | Confirmations in<br>Light           | 2722<br>(19.95%)  | 12457<br>(46.48%) | 24007<br>(61.01%) | 6288<br>(46.38%)  | 18358<br>(69.43%) | 30604<br>(78.99%) |
| rence                | Confirmations in Shadow             | 2813<br>(20.43%)  | 12960<br>(47.33%) | 25434<br>(62.16%) | 6514<br>(47.51%)  | 19155<br>(70.52%) | 32399<br>(80.07%) |
| xel Coh              | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         |
| Τe                   | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         | 1 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         |
| ouring<br>cels       | Corrections in<br>Light             | 2523<br>(18.49%)  | 2753<br>(10.27%)  | 2785<br>(7.08%)   | 1512<br>(11.15%)  | 1536<br>(5.81%)   | 1536<br>(3.96%)   |
| Neighb<br>Tex        | Confirmations in<br>Shadow          | 10714<br>(77.81%) | 23994<br>(87.62%) | 37468<br>(91.57%) | 12032<br>(87.76%) | 25450<br>(93.69%) | 38750<br>(95.76%) |
| Adjacent<br>Geometry | Confirmations in<br>Shadow          | 10741<br>(78.01%) | 24028<br>(87.75%) | 37504<br>(91.65%) | 12039<br>(87.81%) | 25458<br>(93.72%) | 38758<br>(95.78%) |
| ighting              | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 56 (0.41%)        | 58 (0.22%)        | 58 (0.15%)        | 16 (0.12%)        | 16 (0.06%)        | 16 (0.04%)        |
| Final L              | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 5 (0.04%)         | 5 (0.02%)         | 6 (0.01%)         | 1 (0.01%)         | 1 (0.00%)         | 1 (0.00%)         |

Table 137: Algorithm results of the side viewpoint of the trees scene.

Following below are the results for the "against" viewpoint of the "trees" scene.



Figure 185: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 186: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 187: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 188: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 189: Result of the single texel approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 190: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 191: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 192: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.



Figure 193: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two level of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the trees

scene.



Figure 194: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.





Figure 195: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the against viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 196: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the against viewpoint of the trees scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map         | Approach             |               | Contour       | Fhickness    |               |  |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Resolution         | Approach             | Two Pixels    | Four Pixels   | Six Pixels   | Whole Image   |  |
|                    | Pixels in<br>Contour | 24929         | 49398         | 73522        | 1048576       |  |
|                    | Shadow Map           | 6650 (26.68%) | 7170 (14.51%) | 7187 (9.78%) | 7303 (0.70%)  |  |
|                    | Single Texel         | 3592 (14.41%) | 4261 (8.63%)  | 4867 (6.62%) | 21192 (2.02%) |  |
|                    | Neighbour            |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Texels (4            | 387 (1.55%)   | 465 (0.94%)   | 543 (0.74%)  | 1215 (0.12%)  |  |
|                    | Neighbours)          |               |               |              |               |  |
| 1024x1024          | Neighbour            |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Texels (9            | 269 (1.08%)   | 313 (0.63%)   | 313 (0.43%)  | 773 (0.07%)   |  |
|                    | Neighbours)          |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Adjacent             |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Geometry (One        | 3235 (12.98%) | 3539 (7.16%)  | 3608 (4.91%) | 4979 (0.47%)  |  |
|                    | Level)               |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Adjacent             |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Geometry             | 3193 (12.81%) | 3469 (7.02%)  | 3498 (4.76%) | 4583 (0.44%)  |  |
|                    | (Two Level)          |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Pixels in<br>Contour | 24684         | 48825         | 72550        | 1048576       |  |
|                    | Shadow Map           | 3551 (14.39%) | 3556 (7.28%)  | 3557 (4.90%) | 3605 (0.34%)  |  |
|                    | Single Texel         | 1999 (8.10%)  | 2312 (4.74%)  | 2663 (3.67%) | 10819 (1.03%) |  |
|                    | Neighbour            |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Texels (4            | 119 (0.48%)   | 132 (0.27%)   | 152 (0.21%)  | 289 (0.03%)   |  |
|                    | Neighbours)          |               |               |              |               |  |
| $2048 \times 2048$ | Neighbour            |               |               |              |               |  |
| 204072040          | Texels (9            | 69 (0.28%)    | 74 (0.15%)    | 84 (0.12%)   | 178 (0.02%)   |  |
|                    | Neighbours)          |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Adjacent             |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Geometry (One        | 1816 (7.36%)  | 1840 (3.77%)  | 1870 (2.58%) | 2508 (0.24%)  |  |
|                    | Level)               |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Adjacent             |               |               |              |               |  |
|                    | Geometry             | 1806 (7.32%)  | 1814 (3.72%)  | 1822 (2.51%) | 2378 (0.23%)  |  |
|                    | (Two Level)          |               |               |              |               |  |

Table 138: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the against viewpoint

of the trees scene.

| Shadow Man Desolution |                       | Contour Thickness     |                       |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels            | Four Pixels           | Six Pixels            |
| 1024x1024             | 6650 of 7303 (91.06%) | 7170 of 7303 (98.18%) | 7187 of 7303 (98.41%) |
| 2048x2048             | 3551 of 3605 (98.50%) | 3556 of 3605 (98.64%) | 3557 of 3605 (98.67%) |

Table 139: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the against viewpoint

of the trees scene.

| Shaday Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel S        | Shading        |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow         |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 3162 of 12442  | 3488 of 12487  |
| 1024-1024             | Four Pixels       | 3423 of 24570  | 3747 of 24828  |
| 1024x1024             | Six Pixels        | 3430 of 36416  | 3757 of 37106  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 3491 of 626198 | 3812 of 422378 |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 1784 of 12316  | 1767 of 12368  |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels       | 1787 of 24259  | 1769 of 24566  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 1787 of 35907  | 1770 of 36643  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 1806 of 626526 | 1799 of 422050 |

| Table 140: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the against viewpoint of the trees scene, separated in pixels |
|--|
| defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.                        |

| Shadow     |              |           |                          | Texel Co  | oherence  |                          |           |
|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Map        | Contour      |           | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |
| Resolution | Thickness    | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |
|            | Two Pixels   | 301       | 0 (0 00%)                | 12141     | 296       | 0(0.00%)                 | 12191     |
|            | 1 w0 1 1xe13 | (2.42%)   | 0 (0.00 %)               | (97.58%)  | (2.37%)   | 0 (0.00 %)               | (97.63%)  |
|            | Four Pixels  | 8210      | 0(0.00%)                 | 16360     | 8302      | 2(0.01%)                 | 16526     |
| 1024+1024  | Four Fixers  | (33.41%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (66.59%)  | (33.44%)  | 2 (0.01%)                | (66.56%)  |
| 1024X1024  | Cir Dirala   | 19449     | 0(0,0007)                | 16967     | 19976     | 2(0.0107)                | 17130     |
|            | SIX PIXEIS   | (53.41%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (46.59%)  | (53.83%)  | 5 (0.01%)                | (46.17%)  |
|            | Whole        | 608706    | 0(0,0007)                | 17492     | 404722    | 4 (0,0007)               | 17656     |
|            | Image        | (97.21%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (2.79%)   | (95.82%)  | 4 (0.00%)                | (4.18%)   |
|            | Two Divola   | 4070      | 0(0,0007)                | 8246      | 4175      | 0(0,0007)                | 8193      |
|            | I wo Pixels  | (33.05%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (66.95%)  | (33.76%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (66.24%)  |
|            | Equa Divala  | 15594     | 0(0,0007)                | 8665      | 15955     | 0(0,0007)                | 8611      |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels  | (64.28%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (35.72%)  | (64.95%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (35.05%)  |
| 204682046  | Six Divola   | 27225     | 0(0.00%)                 | 8682      | 28018     | 1(0.00%)                 | 8625      |
|            | SIX PIXEIS   | (75.82%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (24.18%)  | (76.46%)  | 1 (0.00%)                | (23.54%)  |
|            | Whole        | 617708    | 0(0,00%)                 | 8818      | 413284    | 3(0,00%)                 | 8766      |
|            | Image        | (98.59%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | (1.41%)   | (97.92%)  | 3 (0.00%)                | (2.08%)   |

Table 141: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.

|                         |                |                     |  |                     | Texel Sh                                    | adowing             |  |                     |   |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| 0                       | less           |                     | Li   | ght                 | _   |                     | Sha  | dow                 |   |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolution | Contour Thick  | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 1437                | 1086   | 2688                | 2627  | 2755                | 2640   | 1417                | 1131  |
| 1024                    | Four<br>Pixels | 1713                | 1187   | 4268                | 4199  | 4454                | 4316   | 1687                | 1248  |
| 1024x                   | Six<br>Pixels  | 1713                | 1187   | 4784                | 4713  | 4976                | 4832   | 1689                | 1248  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 1771                | 1217   | 5141                | 5069  | 5335                | 5184   | 1725                | 1270  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 861                 | 610  | 2135                | 2088  | 2131                | 2082   | 818                 | 595   |
| 2048                    | Four<br>Pixels | 864                 | 610  | 2502                | 2452  | 2506                | 2457   | 819                 | 595   |
| 2048x                   | Six<br>Pixels  | 864                 | 610  | 2519                | 2469  | 2520                | 2471   | 819                 | 595   |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 885                 | 619  | 2584                | 2533  | 2589                | 2538   | 841                 | 613   |

| Table 142: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the against | viewpoint of |
|---|--------------|
| the trees scene.  |              |

| Shadow      |                |                    |                          | Texel Co           | oherence           |                          |                    |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Map         | Contour        |                    | Light                    |                    |                    | Shadow                   |                    |
| Resolution  | Thickness      | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided          | Confirmed          | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided          |
|             | Two Pixels     | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)                | 12442<br>(100.00%) | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)                | 12487<br>(100.00%) |
| 1024+1024   | Four Pixels    | 547<br>(2.23%)     | 0 (0.00%)                | 24023<br>(97.77%)  | 561<br>(2.26%)     | 0 (0.00%)                | 24267<br>(97.74%)  |
| 1024x1024 - | Six Pixels     | 6035<br>(16.57%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 30381(83.4<br>3%)  | 6318<br>(17.03%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 30788(82.9<br>7%)  |
|             | Whole<br>Image | 591357<br>(94.44%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 34841<br>(5.56%)   | 387142<br>(91.66%) | 1 (0.00%)                | 35236<br>(8.34%)   |
|             | Two Pixels     | 282<br>(2.29%)     | 0 (0.00%)                | 12034<br>(97.71%)  | 287<br>(2.32%)     | 0 (0.00%)                | 12081<br>(97.68%)  |
| 2048-2048   | Four Pixels    | 7891<br>(32.53%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 16368<br>(67.47%)  | 7991<br>(32.53%)   | 0 (0.00%)                | 16575<br>(67.47%)  |
| 2048x2048   | Six Pixels     | 18870<br>(52.55%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 17037<br>(47.45%)  | 19397<br>(52.94%)  | 0 (0.00%)                | 17246<br>(47.06%)  |
|             | Whole<br>Image | 609082<br>(97.22%) | 0 (0.00%)                | 17444<br>(2.78%)   | 404380<br>(95.81%) | 1 (0.00%)                | 17670<br>(4.19%)   |

Table 143: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.

| 0.                  |                         |               |                |               | Shado          | w Map         |                |               |                |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Map                 | B.                      |               | 10242          | x1024         |                | ,             | 20482          | x2048         |                |
| Shadow I<br>Lightir | Texel<br>Shadow         | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |
| 01                  | 8 S-1 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                     | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                     | 7 S-2 L                 | 22            | 25             | 25            | 25             | 4             | 4              | 4             | 4              |
|                     | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 9             | 9              | 9             | 9              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                     | 6 S-3 L                 | 65            | 86             | 86            | 90             | 15            | 15             | 15            | 16             |
|                     | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 31            | 31             | 31            | 31             | 3             | 3              | 3             | 3              |
|                     | 5 S-4 L                 | 105           | 146            | 159           | 160            | 49            | 55             | 55            | 55             |
| ht                  | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 29            | 30             | 30            | 30             | 17            | 17             | 17            | 17             |
| Lig                 | 4 S-5 L                 | 2381          | 3709           | 4132          | 4256           | 1806          | 2055           | 2055          | 2081           |
|                     | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 941           | 2120           | 2538          | 2631           | 1019          | 1267           | 1267          | 1282           |
|                     | 3 S-6 L                 | 7567          | 14418          | 18048         | 19453          | 7371          | 9666           | 9758          | 9878           |
|                     | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 5917          | 12657          | 16285         | 17660          | 6395          | 8688           | 8780          | 8892           |
|                     | 2 S-7 L                 | 1687          | 3223           | 3878          | 4056           | 1593          | 2031           | 2040          | 2073           |
|                     | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 1684          | 3220           | 3875          | 4053           | 1592          | 2030           | 2039          | 2072           |
|                     | 1 S-8 L                 | 615           | 2416           | 4053          | 6801           | 1196          | 2542           | 3110          | 3337           |
|                     | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 615           | 2416           | 4053          | 6801           | 1196          | 2542           | 3110          | 3337           |
|                     | 8 S-1 L                 | 686           | 2619           | 4382          | 7099           | 1217          | 2640           | 3215          | 3446           |
|                     | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 680           | 2608           | 4368          | 7083           | 1217          | 2640           | 3215          | 3446           |
|                     | 7 S-2 L                 | 1795          | 3445           | 4191          | 4482           | 1660          | 2145           | 2160          | 2187           |
|                     | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1772          | 3410           | 4152          | 4443           | 1650          | 2133           | 2147          | 2173           |
|                     | 6 S-3 L                 | 7652          | 14585          | 18215         | 19549          | 7393          | 9724           | 9805          | 9935           |
|                     | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 5651          | 12475          | 16104         | 17407          | 6449          | 8780           | 8861          | 8979           |
|                     | 5 S-4 L                 | 2338          | 3593           | 3975          | 4081           | 1811          | 2066           | 2066          | 2102           |
| Mo                  | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 890           | 2013           | 2393          | 2478           | 998           | 1253           | 1253          | 1274           |
| had                 | 4 S-5 L                 | 16            | 25             | 25            | 25             | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
| S                   | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 10            | 11             | 11            | 11             | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                     | 3 S-6 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                     | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                     | 2 S-7 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                     | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                     | 1 S-8 L                 | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |
|                     | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              | 0             | 0              |

Table 144: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of

the trees scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corr | ected | Turne | d Bad | Maintaine | ed Correct | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Thickness   | L→S  | S→L   | L→S   | S→L   | L→L       | S→S        | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 0    | 3488  | 0     | 430   | 9280      | 8569       | 3162                    | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 0    | 3747  | 0     | 838   | 21147     | 20243      | 3423                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 0    | 3757  | 0     | 1437  | 32986     | 31912      | 3430                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 0    | 3812  | 0     | 17701 | 622707    | 400865     | 3491                    | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 1    | 1767  | 0     | 216   | 10532     | 10385      | 1783                    | 0   |
| 2018-2018          | Four Pixels | 1    | 1769  | 0     | 526   | 22472     | 22271      | 1786                    | 0   |
| 2048X2048          | Six Pixels  | 1    | 1770  | 0     | 877   | 34120     | 33996      | 1786                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 1    | 1799  | 0     | 9014  | 624720    | 411237     | 1805                    | 0   |

Table 145: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the against

viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corr | ected | Turne | d Bad | Maintaine | ed Correct | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S  | S→L   | L→S   | S→L   | L→L       | S→S        | L→L                     | S→S |
|            | Two Pixels  | 2973 | 3488  | 0     | 198   | 9280      | 8801       | 189                     | 0   |
| 1024-1024  | Four Pixels | 3212 | 3747  | 0     | 254   | 21147     | 20827      | 211                     | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 3219 | 3757  | 0     | 332   | 32986     | 33017      | 211                     | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 3280 | 3812  | 0     | 1004  | 622707    | 417562     | 211                     | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 1729 | 1767  | 0     | 64    | 10532     | 10537      | 55                      | 0   |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels | 1732 | 1769  | 0     | 77    | 22472     | 22720      | 55                      | 0   |
| 204082040  | Six Pixels  | 1732 | 1770  | 0     | 97    | 34120     | 34776      | 55                      | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 1751 | 1799  | 0     | 234   | 624720    | 420017     | 55                      | 0   |

Table 146: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping

approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corr | ected | Turne | d Bad | Maintaine | ed Correct | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Thickness   | L→S  | S→L   | L→S   | S→L   | L→L       | S→S        | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 3055 | 3488  | 0     | 117   | 9280      | 8882       | 107                     | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 3306 | 3747  | 0     | 152   | 21147     | 20929      | 117                     | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 3313 | 3757  | 0     | 196   | 32986     | 33153      | 117                     | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 3374 | 3812  | 0     | 656   | 622707    | 417910     | 117                     | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 1752 | 1767  | 0     | 37    | 10532     | 10564      | 32                      | 0   |
| 2018-2018          | Four Pixels | 1755 | 1769  | 0     | 42    | 22472     | 22755      | 32                      | 0   |
| 2040X2040          | Six Pixels  | 1755 | 1770  | 0     | 52    | 34120     | 34821      | 32                      | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 1774 | 1799  | 0     | 146   | 624720    | 420105     | 32                      | 0   |

 Table 147: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 1.0563     | 0.9171      | 0.8294     | 0.5056      |
| (10                      | 5                       | Available           | 1.0692     | 1.1000      | 1.1277     | 1.2053      |
| 24,                      | 0                       | Used                | 1.2201     | 1.2047      | 1.1357     | 0.6128      |
| 10                       | 0                       | Available           | 1.2201     | 1.2182      | 1.2372     | 1.4055      |
| 48                       | 3                       | Used                | 0.8771     | 0.7322      | 0.6813     | 0.4552      |
| (20                      | 5                       | Available           | 1.0503     | 1.0759      | 1.0906     | 1.1078      |
| 48,                      | Q                       | Used                | 1.0996     | 0.9549      | 0.8598     | 0.5088      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 1.1124     | 1.1390      | 1.1620     | 1.1240      |

 Table 148: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Contour     | Corre | ected | Turne | d Bad | Maintaine | ed Correct | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution               | Thickness   | L→S   | S→L   | L→S   | S→L   | L→L       | S→S        | L→L                     | S→S |
|                          | Two Pixels  | 0     | 3488  | 0     | 73    | 9280      | 8926       | 3162                    | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$       | Four Pixels | 0     | 3747  | 0     | 116   | 21147     | 20965      | 3423                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024                | Six Pixels  | 0     | 3757  | 0     | 178   | 32986     | 33171      | 3430                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image | 0     | 3812  | 0     | 1488  | 622707    | 417078     | 3491                    | 0   |
|                          | Two Pixels  | 1     | 1767  | 0     | 33    | 10532     | 10568      | 1783                    | 0   |
| 2048+2048                | Four Pixels | 1     | 1769  | 0     | 54    | 22472     | 22743      | 1786                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048                | Six Pixels  | 1     | 1770  | 0     | 84    | 34120     | 34789      | 1786                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image | 1     | 1799  | 0     | 703   | 624720    | 419548     | 1805                    | 0   |

 Table 149: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map         | Contour     | Corre | ected | Turne | d Bad | Maintaine | ed Correct | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution         | Thickness   | L→S   | S→L   | L→S   | S→L   | L→L       | S→S        | L→L                     | S→S |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 0     | 3488  | 0     | 31    | 9280      | 8968       | 3162                    | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Pixels | 0     | 3747  | 0     | 46    | 21147     | 21035      | 3423                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels  | 0     | 3757  | 0     | 68    | 32986     | 33281      | 3430                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 0     | 3812  | 0     | 1092  | 622707    | 417474     | 3491                    | 0   |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 1     | 1767  | 0     | 23    | 10532     | 10578      | 1783                    | 0   |
| 2018-2018          | Four Pixels | 1     | 1769  | 0     | 28    | 22472     | 22769      | 1786                    | 0   |
| 204032040          | Six Pixels  | 1     | 1770  | 0     | 36    | 34120     | 34837      | 1786                    | 0   |
|                    | Whole Image | 1     | 1799  | 0     | 573   | 624720    | 419678     | 1805                    | 0   |

 Table 150: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | One                | Used                | 2.0036     | 2.0104      | 2.0188     | 1.6112      |
| ٤10                      | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 24x                      | Two                | Used                | 7.2241     | 7.2513      | 7.2870     | 5.8435      |
| 10                       | Levels             | Available           | 14.4222    | 14.4273     | 14.4386    | 14.5067     |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 2.0042     | 2.0126      | 2.0203     | 1.6100      |
| (20                      | Level              | Available           | 4.0000     | 4.0000      | 4.0000     | 4.0000      |
| 48,                      | Two                | Used                | 7.2170     | 7.2547      | 7.2928     | 5.8400      |
| 50                       | Levels             | Available           | 14.4036    | 14.4187     | 14.4392    | 14.5093     |

Table 151: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the against viewpoint of

the trees scene.

| Co         | Contour Thickness |   | Two  | Pixels | Four | Pixels | Six F | ixels | Whole | Image |
|------------|-------------------|---|------|--------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|            | Lig               | hting   | L→S  | S→L    | L→S  | S→L    | L→S   | S→L   | L→S   | S→L   |
|            |                   | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 0    | 3488   | 0    | 3747   | 0     | 3757  | 0     | 3812  |
|            |                   | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 11     | 0    | 12     | 0     | 13    | 0     | 16    |
|            |                   | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 3055 | 0      | 3306 | 0      | 3313  | 0     | 3374  | 0     |
|            | )24x1024          | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0      | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Resolution | 1(                | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 106    | 0    | 140    | 0     | 183   | 0     | 640   |
|            |                   | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 20     | 0    | 34     | 0     | 55    | 0     | 1076  |
| v Map      |                   | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 1    | 1767   | 1    | 1769   | 1     | 1770  | 1     | 1799  |
| hadov      |                   | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0    | 10     | 0    | 10     | 0     | 10    | 0     | 11    |
| S          |                   | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1751 | 0      | 1754 | 0      | 1754  | 0     | 1773  | 0     |
|            | )48x2048          | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0      | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
|            | 2(                | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0    | 27     | 0    | 32     | 0     | 42    | 0     | 135   |
|            |                   | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0    | 13     | 0    | 18     | 0     | 26    | 0     | 562   |

 Table 152: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

 by lighting change for the against viewpoint of the trees scene.

| и                 |                                     |                | 1024x1024        |                   |                  | 2048x2048         |                   |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Algorithr<br>Step | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels     | Four Pixels      | Six Pixel         | Two Pixels       | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         |
|                   | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 24929          | 49398            | 73522             | 24684            | 48825             | 72550             |
| 1ap               | Correct Light                       | 9280           | 21147            | 32986             | 10532            | 22472             | 34120             |
|                   | Pixels                              | (74.59%)       | (86.07%)         | (90.58%)          | (85.51%)         | (92.63%)          | (95.02%)          |
| M wobi            | Correct Shadow                      | 8999           | 21081            | 33349             | 10601            | 22797             | 34873             |
|                   | Pixels                              | (72.07%)       | (84.91%)         | (89.87%)          | (85.71%)         | (92.80%)          | (95.17%)          |
| Shé               | Incorrect Light                     | 3162           | 3423             | 3430              | 1784             | 1787              | 1787              |
|                   | Pixels                              | (25.41%)       | (13.93%)         | (9.42%)           | (14.49%)         | (7.37%)           | (4.98%)           |
|                   | Incorrect Shadow                    | 3488           | 3747             | 3757              | 1767             | 1769              | 1770              |
|                   | Pixels                              | (27.93%)       | (15.09%)         | (10.13%)          | (14.29%)         | (7.20%)           | (4.83%)           |
|                   | Confirmations in                    | 301            | 8210             | 19449             | 4070             | 15594             | 27225             |
|                   | Light                               | (2.42%)        | (33.41%)         | (53.41%)          | (33.05%)         | (64.28%)          | (75.82%)          |
| erence            | Confirmations in Shadow             | 296<br>(2.37%) | 8302<br>(33.44%) | 19976<br>(53.83%) | 4175<br>(33.76%) | 15955<br>(64.95%) | 28018<br>(76.46%) |
| sxel Coh          | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 0 (0.00%)      | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)         | 0 (0.00%)         |
| Te                | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 0 (0.00%)      | 2 (0.01%)        | 3 (0.01%)         | 0 (0.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)         | 1 (0.00%)         |
| ouring            | Corrections in                      | 3055           | 3306             | 3313              | 1752             | 1755              | 1755              |
| kels              | Light                               | (24.55%)       | (13.46%)         | (9.10%)           | (14.23%)         | (7.23%)           | (4.89%)           |
| Neight            | Confirmations in                    | 8882           | 20945            | 33210             | 10564            | 22760             | 34837             |
| Tey               | Shadow                              | (71.13%)       | (84.36%)         | (89.50%)          | (85.41%)         | (92.65%)          | (95.07%)          |
| Adjacent          | Confirmations in                    | 8988           | 21072            | 33341             | 10591            | 22787             | 24864             |
| Geometry          | Shadow                              | (71.98%)       | (84.87%)         | (89.85%)          | (85.63%)         | (92.76%)          | (95.15%)          |
| ighting           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 107<br>(0.86%) | 117<br>(0.48%)   | 117<br>(0.32%)    | 32 (0.26%)       | 32 (0.13%)        | 32 (0.09%)        |
| Final L           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 11 (0.09%)     | 13 (0.05%)       | 14 (0.04%)        | 10 (0.08%)       | 10 (0.04%)        | 11 (0.03%)        |

Table 153: Algorithm results of the against viewpoint of the trees scene.

And following below are the results of the "side" viewpoint of the "flowers" scene.



Figure 197: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 198: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 199: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 200: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 201: Result of the single texel approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 202: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 203: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 204: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 205: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 206: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.



f) 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Figure 207: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 208: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map | Ammanah               | Contour Thickness       |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Resolution | Approach              | Two Pixels              | Four Pixels                    | Six Pixels     | Whole Image   |  |  |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour  | 47368                   | 80814                          | 106784         | 1048576       |  |  |  |
|            | Shadow Map            | 8434 (17.81%)           | 8434 (17.81%) 11724 (14.51%) 1 |                | 14226 (1.36%) |  |  |  |
|            | Single Texel          | 8486 (17.92%)           | 12690 (15.70%)                 | 15046 (14.09%) | 35332 (3.37%) |  |  |  |
|            | Neighbour             |                         |                                |                | 21596 (2.06%) |  |  |  |
|            | Texels (4             | 6287 (13.27%)           | 9444 (11.69%)                  | 11295 (10.58%) |               |  |  |  |
|            | Neighbours)           |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbour             |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|            | Texels (9             | 6015 (12.70%)           | 8994 (11.13%)                  | 10793 (10.11%) | 19922 (1.90%) |  |  |  |
|            | Neighbours)           |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|            | Adjacent              |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|            | Geometry (One         | 7785 (16.44%)           | 11410 (14.12%)                 | 13259 (12.42%) | 23940 (2.28%) |  |  |  |
|            | Level)                |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|            | Adjacent              |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|            | Geometry              | 7067 (14.92%)           | 10156 (12.57%)                 | 11546 (10.81%) | 19721 (1.88%) |  |  |  |
|            | (Two Level)           |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|            | Pixels in             | 49049                   | 81863                          | 106977         | 1048576       |  |  |  |
|            | Contour               | (000 (14 11 (1)         | 7025 (0.56%)                   | 0040 (7.50%)   | 0545 (0.00%)  |  |  |  |
|            | Shadow Map            | 6923 (14.11%)           | 7825 (9.56%)                   | 8049 (7.52%)   | 8547 (0.82%)  |  |  |  |
|            | Single Texel          | 8079 (16.47%)           | 10915 (13.33%)                 | 12794 (11.96%) | 26177 (2.50%) |  |  |  |
|            | Neighbour             |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|            | Texels (4             | Texels (4 5775 (11.77%) |                                | 9325 (8.72%)   | 16347 (1.56%) |  |  |  |
|            | Neighbours)           |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048  | Neighbour             | 5001 (10 000)           |                                | 0100 (7.65%)   | 1050((1.00%)) |  |  |  |
|            | Texels (9             | 5091 (10.38%)           | 6926 (8.46%)                   | 8180 (7.65%)   | 13796 (1.32%) |  |  |  |
|            | Neighbours)           |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|            | Adjacent              |                         | 0.570 (10.47%)                 | 0004 (0.10%)   |               |  |  |  |
|            | Geometry (One         | 6745 (13.75%)           | 8570 (10.47%)                  | 9834 (9.19%)   | 16461 (1.57%) |  |  |  |
|            | Level)                |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |
|            | Adjacent              | 5250 (10 720)           | 6245 (7 7501)                  | 7041 (6 5901)  | 10510 (1 000) |  |  |  |
|            | Geometry 5259 (10.72% |                         | 0343 (7.73%)                   | /041 (6.58%)   | 10510 (1.00%) |  |  |  |
|            | (I wo Level)          |                         |                                |                |               |  |  |  |

Table 154: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the side viewpoint of

the flowers scene.

| Shadow Man Desolution | Contour Thickness      |                         |                         |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels             | Four Pixels             | Six Pixels              |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 8434 of 14226 (59.29%) | 11724 of 14226 (82.41%) | 12834 of 14226 (90.22%) |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 6923 of 8547 (81.00%)  | 7825 of 8547 (91.55%)   | 8049 of 8547 (94.17%)   |  |  |  |

Table 155: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the side viewpoint of

the flowers scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel Shading  |                |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow         |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 4866 of 24534  | 3568 of 22834  |  |  |
| 1024-1024             | Four Pixels       | 6604 of 43942  | 5120 of 36872  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | Six Pixels        | 7144 of 59487  | 5690 of 47297  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 7550 of 639660 | 6676 of 408916 |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 3967 of 25180  | 2956 of 23869  |  |  |
| 2048-2048             | Four Pixels       | 4422 of 43927  | 3403 of 37936  |  |  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 4485 of 58619  | 3564 of 48358  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 4634 of 639507 | 3913 of 409069 |  |  |

 Table 156: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the side viewpoint of the flowers scene, separated in pixels defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.

| Chadaw             |                      | Texel Coherence |                          |           |           |                          |           |  |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| Map<br>Resolution  | Contour<br>Thickness | Light           |                          |           | Shadow    |                          |           |  |
|                    |                      | Confirmed       | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |  |
|                    | T D 1                | 13931           | 642                      | 10603     | 13402     | 20(0.1707)               | 9432      |  |
|                    | I wo Pixels          | (56.78%)        | (2.62%)                  | (43.22%)  | (58.69%)  | 39 (0.17%)               | (41.31%)  |  |
|                    | Four Divala          | 25723           | 773                      | 18219     | 20682     | 81 (0.22%)               | 16190     |  |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Fixels          | (58.54%)        | (1.76%)                  | (41.46%)  | (56.09%)  | 81 (0.22%)               | (43.91%)  |  |
| 1024X1024          | Six Pixels           | 36427           | 825                      | 23060     | 26880     | 144                      | 20417     |  |
|                    |                      | (61.24%)        | (1.39%)                  | (38.76%)  | (56.83%)  | (0.30%)                  | (43.17%)  |  |
|                    | Whole                | 612550          | 956                      | 27110     | 384379    | 787                      | 24537     |  |
|                    | Image                | (95.76%)        | (0.15%)                  | (4.24%)   | (94.00%)  | (0.19%)                  | (6.00%)   |  |
|                    | Two Pixels           | 14763           | 389                      | 10417     | 13648     | 17 (0.07%)               | 10221     |  |
|                    |                      | (58.63%)        | (1.54%)                  | (41.37%)  | (57.18%)  | 17 (0.07%)               | (42.82%)  |  |
|                    | Four Pixels          | 29524           | 500                      | 14403     | 23479     | 125                      | 14457     |  |
| 2018-2018          |                      | (67.21%)        | (1.14%)                  | (32.79%)  | (61.89%)  | (0.33%)                  | (38.11%)  |  |
| 2048x2048          | Six Pixels           | 43425           | 543                      | 15194     | 32922     | 234                      | 15436     |  |
|                    |                      | (74.08%)        | (0.93%)                  | (25.92%)  | (68.08%)  | (0.48%)                  | (31.92%)  |  |
|                    | Whole                | 623784          | 633                      | 15723     | 392923    | 498                      | 16146     |  |
|                    | Image                | (97.54%)        | (0.10%)                  | (2.46%)   | (96.05%)  | (0.12%)                  | (3.95%)   |  |

Table 157: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.

|                         |                | Texel Shadowing     |  |                     |   |                     |  |                     |   |  |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--|
| 0                       | iess           |                     | Li   | ght                 | _   | Shadow              |  |                     |   |  |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolution | Contour Thickr | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 2268                | 1623   | 3973                | 3053  | 3015                | 2524   | 2431                | 1582  |  |
| 1024x1024               | Four<br>Pixels | 3389                | 2247   | 7374                | 6060  | 5728                | 4896   | 3660                | 2225  |  |
|                         | Six<br>Pixels  | 3760                | 2360   | 10018               | 8527  | 7833                | 6791   | 4093                | 2400  |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 3898                | 2446   | 13286               | 11714                                       | 10890               | 9680   | 4286                | 2503  |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 2163                | 1345   | 3558                | 3011  | 3674                | 3232   | 1945                | 1277  |  |
| 048x2048                | Four<br>Pixels | 2410                | 1423   | 5837                | 5156  | 6102                | 5522   | 2208                | 1365  |  |
|                         | Six<br>Pixels  | 2410                | 1423   | 6585                | 5887  | 6949                | 6332   | 2212                | 1367  |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 2462                | 1457   | 6932                | 6227  | 7421                | 6785   | 2275                | 1408  |  |

| Table 158: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the side viewpoint of the |
|---|
| flowers scene.  |

| Shadaw          |                      | Texel Coherence |                          |            |           |                          |            |  |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|--|
| Shadow          | Contour<br>Thickness | Light           |                          |            | Shadow    |                          |            |  |
| Resolution      |                      | Confirmed       | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided  | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided  |  |
|                 | Two Pivels           | 11732           | 323                      | 12802      | 12701     | 11 (0.05%)               | 10133      |  |
|                 | I WO FIXEIS          | (47.82%)        | (1.32%)                  | (52.18%)   | (55.62%)  | 11 (0.05%)               | (44.38%)   |  |
|                 | Four Divala          | 21763           | 403                      | 22179      | 19290     | 22(0.06%)                | 17582      |  |
| $1024 \pm 1024$ | Four Fixers          | (49.53%)        | (0.92%)                  | (50.47%)   | (52.32%)  | 22 (0.00%)               | (47.68%)   |  |
| 1024X1024       | Six Pixels           | 30119           | 420(0.71%                | 29368(49.3 | 24059     | 20(0.06%)                | 23238(49.1 |  |
|                 |                      | (50.63%)        | )                        | 7%)        | (50.87%)  | 29 (0.00%)               | 3%)        |  |
|                 | Whole                | 589825          | 449                      | 49835      | 367989    | 205                      | 40927      |  |
|                 | Image                | (92.21%)        | (0.07%)                  | (7.79%)    | (89.99%)  | (0.05%)                  | (10.01%)   |  |
|                 | Two Pixels           | 13147           | 250                      | 12033      | 12809     | 4 (0.0207)               | 11060      |  |
|                 |                      | (52.21%)        | (0.99%)                  | (47.79%)   | (53.66%)  | 4 (0.02%)                | (46.34%)   |  |
|                 | Four Pixels          | 24443           | 309                      | 19484      | 19830     | 10(0.05%)                | 18106      |  |
| 2048x2048       |                      | (55.64%)        | (0.70%)                  | (44.36%)   | (52.27%)  | 19 (0.05%)               | (47.73%)   |  |
|                 | Six Pixels           | 24856           | 324                      | 23763      | 25923     | 47(0.100)                | 22435      |  |
|                 |                      | (59.46%)        | (0.55%)                  | (40.54%)   | (53.61%)  | 47 (0.10%)               | (46.39%)   |  |
|                 | Whole                | 611579          | 402                      | 27928      | 381863    | 206                      | 27206      |  |
|                 | Image                | (95.63%)        | (0.06%)                  | (4.37%)    | (93.35%)  | (0.05%)                  | (6.65%)    |  |

Table 159: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.
| 0           |                         | Shadow Map |        |        |       |        |        |        |       |  |  |  |
|-------------|-------------------------|------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| Map         | B<br>II.                |            | 10242  | x1024  |       |        | 20482  | x2048  |       |  |  |  |
| btin        | exel                    | Тщо        | Four   | Siv    | Whole | Тшо    | Four   | Siv    | Whole |  |  |  |
| ladc<br>Lig | Tc                      | Pixels     | Pixels | Pixels | Image | Pixels | Pixels | Pixels | Image |  |  |  |
| Sł          | 0<br>0 0 1 1            | 176        | 212    | 214    | 214   | 125    | 144    | 144    | 144   |  |  |  |
|             | 8 S-1 L in              | 1/0        | 213    | 214    | 214   | 155    | 144    | 144    | 144   |  |  |  |
|             | RT Shadow               | 113        | 134    | 134    | 134   | 79     | 80     | 80     | 80    |  |  |  |
|             | 7 S-2 L                 | 580        | 874    | 970    | 976   | 551    | 634    | 634    | 634   |  |  |  |
|             | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 399        | 565    | 604    | 610   | 305    | 326    | 326    | 326   |  |  |  |
|             | 6 S-3 L                 | 802        | 1234   | 1407   | 1458  | 833    | 1005   | 1009   | 1010  |  |  |  |
|             | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 477        | 659    | 734    | 752   | 383    | 419    | 423    | 424   |  |  |  |
|             | 5 S-4 L                 | 1088       | 1783   | 2178   | 2324  | 966    | 1254   | 1278   | 1280  |  |  |  |
| ıt          | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 562        | 817    | 907    | 920   | 375    | 417    | 422    | 422   |  |  |  |
| Ligl        | 4 S-5 L                 | 2489       | 4302   | 5578   | 7847  | 2527   | 3960   | 4541   | 4752  |  |  |  |
|             | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 1142       | 2353   | 3434   | 5558  | 1311   | 2604   | 3183   | 3349  |  |  |  |
|             | 3 S-6 L                 | 3020       | 5293   | 7075   | 10355 | 3089   | 5087   | 6032   | 6351  |  |  |  |
|             | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 2180       | 4231   | 5947   | 9130  | 2320   | 4254   | 5193   | 5503  |  |  |  |
|             | 2 S-7 L                 | 2402       | 4450   | 6224   | 10314 | 2365   | 4023   | 5047   | 5482  |  |  |  |
|             | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 1956       | 3890   | 5631   | 9673  | 2001   | 3625   | 4637   | 5068  |  |  |  |
|             | 1 S-8 L                 | 2245       | 4030   | 5722   | 16347 | 1567   | 3377   | 5078   | 8275  |  |  |  |
|             | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 1886       | 3575   | 5242   | 15817 | 1341   | 3093   | 4775   | 7960  |  |  |  |
|             | 8 S-1 L                 | 696        | 1443   | 2328   | 9873  | 895    | 2075   | 3487   | 6594  |  |  |  |
|             | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 617        | 1295   | 2127   | 9438  | 807    | 1943   | 3315   | 6339  |  |  |  |
|             | 7 S-2 L                 | 1749       | 3301   | 4665   | 8372  | 2272   | 4014   | 5238   | 6023  |  |  |  |
|             | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1517       | 2961   | 4248   | 7746  | 2092   | 3765   | 4944   | 5698  |  |  |  |
|             | 6 S-3 L                 | 2752       | 4942   | 6786   | 10926 | 2904   | 5050   | 6192   | 6773  |  |  |  |
|             | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1945       | 3844   | 5591   | 9592  | 2267   | 4330   | 5449   | 6012  |  |  |  |
|             | 5 S-4 L                 | 2266       | 3924   | 5055   | 7111  | 2634   | 4096   | 4588   | 4866  |  |  |  |
| MC          | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1022       | 2003   | 2873   | 4726  | 1409   | 2700   | 3180   | 3401  |  |  |  |
| hade        | 4 S-5 L                 | 1151       | 1872   | 2172   | 2386  | 1125   | 1437   | 1468   | 1476  |  |  |  |
| SI          | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 495        | 656    | 691    | 712   | 384    | 413    | 419    | 420   |  |  |  |
|             | 3 S-6 L                 | 756        | 1049   | 1127   | 1143  | 747    | 896    | 922    | 934   |  |  |  |
|             | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 342        | 429    | 447    | 447   | 257    | 279    | 286    | 286   |  |  |  |
|             | 2 S-7 L                 | 623        | 890    | 942    | 953   | 392    | 440    | 442    | 442   |  |  |  |
|             | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 313        | 457    | 479    | 483   | 137    | 147    | 147    | 147   |  |  |  |
|             | 1 S-8 L                 | 140        | 161    | 163    | 163   | 91     | 98     | 98     | 98    |  |  |  |
|             | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 45         | 49     | 49     | 49    | 44     | 48     | 48     | 48    |  |  |  |

Table 160: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the side viewpoint of the

| Shadow Map | Contour        | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|----------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024x1024  | Two<br>Pixels  | 61        | 3568 | 0          | 3681  | 19668              | 15585  | 4805                    | 0   |
|            | Four<br>Pixels | 66        | 5120 | 0          | 6152  | 37338              | 25600  | 6538                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels     | 69        | 5690 | 0          | 7971  | 52343              | 33636  | 7075                    | 0   |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 71        | 6676 | 0          | 27853 | 632110             | 374387 | 7479                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Two<br>Pixels  | 95        | 2956 | 0          | 4207  | 21213              | 16706  | 3872                    | 0   |
|            | Four<br>Pixels | 105       | 3403 | 0          | 6598  | 39505              | 27935  | 4317                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels     | 108       | 3564 | 0          | 8417  | 54134              | 36377  | 4377                    | 0   |
|            | Whole<br>Image | 108       | 3913 | 0          | 21651 | 634873             | 383505 | 4526                    | 0   |

| Table 161: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint |
|--|
| of the flowers scene.  |

| ' Map<br>Ition   | er of<br>ours  | our<br>ness    | Corr | ected | Turne | ed Bad | Maintaine | ed Correct | Maintaineo | d Incorrect |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Shadow<br>Resolu | Numb<br>Neight | Cont<br>Thick  | L→S  | S→L   | L→S   | S→L    | L→L       | S→S        | L→L        | S→S         |
|                  |                | Two<br>Pixels  | 1850 | 3568  | 0     | 3271   | 19668     | 15995      | 3016       | 0           |
|                  | 2              | Four<br>Pixels | 2557 | 5120  | 0     | 5397   | 37338     | 26355      | 4047       | 0           |
|                  | 3              | Six<br>Pixels  | 2724 | 5690  | 0     | 6875   | 52343     | 34732      | 4420       | 0           |
| (1024            |                | Whole<br>Image | 2889 | 6676  | 0     | 16935  | 632110    | 385305     | 4661       | 0           |
| 1024x            |                | Two<br>Pixels  | 1957 | 3568  | 0     | 3106   | 19668     | 16160      | 2909       | 0           |
|                  | 0              | Four<br>Pixels | 2700 | 5120  | 0     | 5090   | 37338     | 26662      | 3904       | 0           |
|                  | 8              | Six<br>Pixels  | 2877 | 5690  | 0     | 6472   | 52343     | 35135      | 4267       | 0           |
|                  |                | Whole<br>Image | 3048 | 6676  | 0     | 15420  | 632110    | 386820     | 4502       | 0           |
|                  |                | Two<br>Pixels  | 1675 | 2956  | 0     | 3483   | 21213     | 17430      | 2292       | 0           |
|                  | 3              | Four<br>Pixels | 1824 | 3403  | 0     | 5283   | 39505     | 29250      | 2598       | 0           |
|                  | 5              | Six<br>Pixels  | 1829 | 3564  | 0     | 6669   | 54134     | 38125      | 2656       | 0           |
| 2048             |                | Whole<br>Image | 1881 | 3913  | 0     | 13594  | 634873    | 391562     | 2753       | 0           |
| 2048x            | Two<br>Pixels  | 1897           | 2956 | 0     | 3021  | 21213  | 17892     | 2070       | 0          |             |
|                  | 0              | Four<br>Pixels | 2083 | 3403  | 0     | 4587   | 39505     | 29946      | 2339       | 0           |
|                  | δ              | Six<br>Pixels  | 2093 | 3564  | 0     | 5788   | 54134     | 39006      | 2392       | 0           |
|                  |                | Whole<br>Image | 2148 | 3913  | 0     | 11310  | 634873    | 393846     | 2486       | 0           |

 Table 162: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 2.1668     | 2.0608      | 1.9861     | 0.7183      |
| (10                      | 5                       | Available           | 2.6905     | 2.5855      | 2.5031     | 1.5644      |
| 24,                      | 0                       | Used                | 4.0729     | 3.8122      | 3.6333     | 1.8020      |
| 10                       | 0                       | Available           | 5.0200     | 4.7517      | 4.5350     | 2.3070      |
| 48                       | 2                       | Used                | 2.0622     | 1.9137      | 1.8058     | 0.6521      |
| (20                      | 5                       | Available           | 2.5513     | 2.4328      | 2.3459     | 1.4350      |
| 48,                      | Q                       | Used                | 3.7121     | 3.4157      | 3.2112     | 0.9178      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 4.5758     | 4.2683      | 4.0352     | 1.9982      |

Table 163: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the side viewpoint of the

| low<br>P<br>ution    | ency<br>el                          | our<br>ness    | Corr | ected | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------|-------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Shad<br>Ma<br>Resolu | Shac<br>Má<br>Resol<br>Adjac<br>Lev | Cont<br>Thick  | L→S  | S→L   | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
|                      |                                     | Two<br>Pixels  | 100  | 3568  | 0          | 3019  | 19668              | 16247  | 4766                    | 0   |
|                      | evel                                | Four<br>Pixels | 124  | 5120  | 0          | 4930  | 37338              | 26822  | 6480                    | 0   |
|                      | One L                               | Six<br>Pixels  | 129  | 5690  | 0          | 6244  | 52343              | 35363  | 7015                    | 0   |
| 1024                 |                                     | Whole<br>Image | 131  | 6676  | 0          | 16521 | 632110             | 385719 | 7419                    | 0   |
| 1024x                |                                     | Two<br>Pixels  | 170  | 3568  | 0          | 2371  | 19668              | 16895  | 4696                    | 0   |
| 1<br>Two Levels      | evels                               | Four<br>Pixels | 209  | 5120  | 0          | 3761  | 37338              | 27991  | 6395                    | 0   |
|                      | Two I                               | Six<br>Pixels  | 215  | 5690  | 0          | 4617  | 52343              | 36990  | 6929                    | 0   |
|                      | _                                   | Whole<br>Image | 222  | 6676  | 0          | 12393 | 632110             | 389847 | 7328                    | 0   |
|                      |                                     | Two<br>Pixels  | 155  | 2956  | 0          | 2933  | 21213              | 17980  | 3812                    | 0   |
|                      | evel                                | Four<br>Pixels | 184  | 3403  | 0          | 4332  | 39505              | 30201  | 4238                    | 0   |
|                      | One L                               | Six<br>Pixels  | 187  | 3564  | 0          | 5536  | 54134              | 39258  | 4298                    | 0   |
| 2048                 |                                     | Whole<br>Image | 187  | 3913  | 0          | 12014 | 634873             | 393142 | 4447                    | 0   |
| 2048x2<br>evels      |                                     | Two<br>Pixels  | 238  | 2956  | 0          | 1530  | 21213              | 19383  | 3729                    | 0   |
|                      | evels                               | Four<br>Pixels | 290  | 3403  | 0          | 2213  | 39505              | 32320  | 4132                    | 0   |
|                      | Two I                               | Six<br>Pixels  | 296  | 3564  | 0          | 2852  | 54134              | 41942  | 4189                    | 0   |
|                      | L                                   | Whole<br>Image | 296  | 3913  | 0          | 6172  | 634873             | 398984 | 4338                    | 0   |

 Table 164: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach and the shadow mapping approach for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | One                | Used                | 2.7295     | 2.6990      | 2.6858     | 1.7635      |
| (10                      | Level              | Available           | 3.8489     | 3.8588      | 3.8681     | 3.9550      |
| 24x                      | Two                | Used                | 8.5830     | 8.4226      | 8.3134     | 5.7143      |
| 100                      | Levels             | Available           | 12.1029    | 12.0421     | 11.9728    | 12.8153     |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 2.8069     | 2.7537      | 2.7375     | 1.7690      |
| :20                      | Level              | Available           | 3.8693     | 3.8748      | 3.8850     | 3.9583      |
| 48x                      | Two                | Used                | 9.0359     | 8.7366      | 8.6129     | 5.7363      |
| 20                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.4558    | 12.2932     | 12.2235    | 12.8352     |

Table 165: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the side viewpoint of the

| Co         | ntour    | Thickness                                     | Two Pixels |      | Four | Four Pixels |      | Six Pixels |      | Whole Image |  |
|------------|----------|---|------------|------|------|-------------|------|------------|------|-------------|--|
|            | Lig      | hting   | L→S        | S→L  | L→S  | S→L         | L→S  | S→L        | L→S  | S→L         |  |
|            |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 76         | 3568 | 82   | 5120        | 85   | 5690       | 87   | 6676        |  |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0          | 2148 | 0    | 3355        | 0    | 4072       | 0    | 10147       |  |
|            |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1881       | 0    | 2618 | 0           | 2792 | 0          | 2961 | 0           |  |
|            | )24x1024 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 94         | 0    | 127  | 0           | 130  | 0          | 135  | 0           |  |
| Resolution | 1(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0          | 958  | 0    | 1735        | 0    | 2400       | 0    | 5273        |  |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0          | 223  | 0    | 406         | 0    | 545        | 0    | 2246        |  |
| v Map      |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 128        | 2956 | 146  | 3403        | 150  | 3564       | 150  | 3913        |  |
| hadov      |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0          | 1240 | 0    | 1753        | 0    | 2268       | 0    | 4596        |  |
| S          |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1769       | 0    | 1937 | 0           | 1943 | 0          | 1998 | 0           |  |
| οκας,οκας  | )48x2048 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 110        | 0    | 144  | 0           | 146  | 0          | 146  | 0           |  |
|            | 2(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0          | 1781 | 0    | 2834        | 0    | 3520       | 0    | 6714        |  |
|            |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0          | 290  | 0    | 460         | 0    | 584        | 0    | 1576        |  |

 Table 166: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

 by lighting change for the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| и                 |                                     |                  | 1024x1024       |                 |                 | 2048x2048       |                 |  |  |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Algorithı<br>Step | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels       | Four Pixels     | Six Pixel       | Two Pixels      | Four Pixels     | Six Pixel       |  |  |
|                   | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 47368            | 80814           | 106784          | 49049           | 81863           | 106977          |  |  |
| ap                | Correct Light                       | 19668            | 37338           | 52343           | 21213           | 39505           | 54134           |  |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (80.17%)         | (84.97%)        | (87.99%)        | (84.25%)        | (89.93%)        | (92.35%)        |  |  |
| M wobi            | Correct Shadow                      | 19266            | 31752           | 41607           | 20913           | 34533           | 44794           |  |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (84.37%)         | (86.11%)        | (87.97%)        | (87.62%)        | (91.03%)        | (92.63%)        |  |  |
| Sha               | Incorrect Light                     | 4866             | 6604            | 7144            | 3967            | 4422            | 4485            |  |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (19.83%)         | (15.03%)        | (12.01%)        | (15.75%)        | (10.07%)        | (7.65%)         |  |  |
|                   | Incorrect Shadow                    | 3568             | 5120            | 5690            | 2956            | 3403            | 3564            |  |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (15.63%)         | (13.89%)        | (12.03%)        | (12.38%)        | (8.97%)         | (7.37%)         |  |  |
|                   | Confirmations in                    | 13931            | 25723           | 36427           | 14763           | 29524           | 43425           |  |  |
|                   | Light                               | (56.78%)         | (58.54%)        | (61.24%)        | (58.63%)        | (67.21%)        | (74.08%)        |  |  |
| erence            | Confirmations in                    | 13402            | 20682           | 26880           | 13648           | 23479           | 32922           |  |  |
|                   | Shadow                              | (58.69%)         | (56.09%)        | (56.83%)        | (57.18%)        | (61.89%)        | (68.08%)        |  |  |
| xel Coh           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 642<br>(2.62%)   | 773<br>(1.76%)  | 825<br>(1.39%)  | 389<br>(1.54%)  | 500<br>(1.14%)  | 543<br>(0.93%)  |  |  |
| Te                | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 39 (0.17%)       | 81 (0.22%)      | 144<br>(0.30%)  | 17 (0.07%)      | 125<br>(0.33%)  | 234<br>(0.48%)  |  |  |
| ouring            | Corrections in                      | 1936             | 2677            | 2853            | 1852            | 2020            | 2027            |  |  |
| cels              | Light                               | (7.89%)          | (6.09%)         | (4.80%)         | (7.36%)         | (4.60%)         | (3.46%)         |  |  |
| Neight            | Confirmations in                    | 16610            | 27430           | 36408           | 18349           | 31241           | 41442           |  |  |
| Tey               | Shadow                              | (72.74%)         | (74.39%)        | (76.98%)        | (76.87%)        | (82.35%)        | (85.70%)        |  |  |
| Adjacent          | Confirmations in                    | 17445            | 28958           | 38406           | 19888           | 33348           | 43646           |  |  |
| Geometry          | Shadow                              | (76.40%)         | (78.54%)        | (81.20%)        | (83.32%)        | (87.91%)        | (90.26%)        |  |  |
| ighting           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 2959<br>(12.06%) | 3959<br>(9.01%) | 4325<br>(7.27%) | 2163<br>(8.59%) | 2457<br>(5.59%) | 2515<br>(4.29%) |  |  |
| Final L           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 1899<br>(8.32%)  | 2956<br>(8.02%) | 3489<br>(7.38%) | 1059<br>(4.44%) | 1435<br>(3.78%) | 1616<br>(3.34%) |  |  |

Table 167: Algorithm results of the side viewpoint of the flowers scene.

Below are the results of the "against" viewpoint of the "flowers" scene.



Figure 209: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 210: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 211: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 212: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 213: Result of the single texel approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 214: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 215: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 216: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 217: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 218: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 219: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 220: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map | A                    |               | Contour 7      | Fhickness      |               |  |
|------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| Resolution | Approach             | Two Pixels    | Four Pixels    | Six Pixels     | Whole Image   |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour | 48869         | 85292          | 114428         | 1048576       |  |
|            | Shadow Map           | 7239 (14.81%) | 9885 (11.59%)  | 10649 (9.31%)  | 12037 (1.15%) |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 6915 (14.15%) | 10756 (12.61%) | 13139 (11.48%) | 48387 (4.61%) |  |
|            | Neighbour            |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Texels (4            | 4905 (10.04%) | 7778 (9.12%)   | 9575 (8.37%)   | 26983 (2.57%) |  |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbours)          |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Neighbour            |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Texels (9            | 4545 (9.30%)  | 7165 (8.40%)   | 8755 (7.65%)   | 22720 (2.17%) |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Adjacent             |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 6153 (12.59%) | 9384 (11.00%)  | 11095 (9.70%)  | 32269 (3.08%) |  |
|            | Level)               |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Adjacent             |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Geometry             | 5514 (11.28%) | 8143 (9.55%)   | 9315 (8.14%)   | 25575 (2.44%) |  |
|            | (Two Level)          |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Pixels in            | 51578         | 88386          | 117375         | 1048576       |  |
|            | Contour              | 01070         |                |                |               |  |
|            | Shadow Map           | 6154 (11.93%) | 6869 (7.77%)   | 7091 (6.04%)   | 7398 (0.71%)  |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 7006 (13.58%) | 9685 (10.96%)  | 12180 (10.38%) | 35979 (3.43%) |  |
|            | Neighbour            |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Texels (4            | 4727 (9.16%)  | 6511 (7.37%)   | 8109 (6.91%)   | 19187 (1.83%) |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |               |                |                |               |  |
| 2048x2048  | Neighbour            |               |                |                |               |  |
| 2010112010 | Texels (9            | 3996 (7.75%)  | 5419 (6.13%)   | 6602 (5.62%)   | 14209 (1.36%) |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Adjacent             |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 5776 (11.20%) | 7335 (8.30%)   | 9128 (7.78%)   | 22385 (2.13%) |  |
|            | Level)               |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Adjacent             |               |                |                |               |  |
|            | Geometry(Two         | 4581 (8.88%)  | 5461 (6.18%)   | 6502 (5.54%)   | 14343 (1.37%) |  |
|            | Level)               |               |                |                |               |  |

Table 168: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the against viewpoint

of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Man Desolution | Contour Thickness      |                        |                         |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Two Pixels             | Four Pixels            | Six Pixels              |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 7239 of 12037 (60.14%) | 9885 of 12037 (82.12%) | 10649 of 12037 (88.47%) |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 6154 of 7398 (83.18%)  | 6869 of 7398 (92.85%)  | 7091 of 7398 (95.85%)   |  |  |  |  |

Table 169: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the against viewpoint

of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel Shading  |                |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow         |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 3951 of 24424  | 3288 of 24445  |  |  |
| $1024 \pm 1024$       | Four Pixels       | 5267 of 43488  | 4618 of 41804  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | Six Pixels        | 5617 of 59048  | 5032 of 55380  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 5995 of 520136 | 6042 of 528440 |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 3469 of 25696  | 2685 of 25882  |  |  |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels       | 3785 of 44613  | 3084 of 43773  |  |  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 3826 of 59717  | 3265 of 57658  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 3880 of 520545 | 3518 of 528031 |  |  |

| Table 170: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the against viewpoint of the flowers scene, separated in pixels |
|--|
| defined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.                          |

| Shadow             |             |           | Texel Coherence          |           |           |                          |           |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Map                | Contour     |           | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |  |  |  |  |
| Resolution         | Thickness   | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Two Divola  | 14408     | 463                      | 10016     | 15777     | 47(0.10%)                | 8668      |  |  |  |  |
|                    | I wo Pixels | (58.99%)  | (1.90%)                  | (41.01%)  | (64.54%)  | 47 (0.19%)               | (35.46%)  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Four Divels | 27199     | 581                      | 16289     | 26788     | 106                      | 15016     |  |  |  |  |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Fixers | (62.54%)  | (1.34%)                  | (37.46%)  | (64.08%)  | (0.25%)                  | (35.92%)  |  |  |  |  |
| 1024X1024          | Siv Divala  | 39441     | 652                      | 19607     | 36411     | 163                      | 18969     |  |  |  |  |
|                    | SIX FIXEIS  | (66.79%)  | (1.10%)                  | (33.21%)  | (65.75%)  | (0.29%)                  | (34.25%)  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Whole       | 498299    | 759                      | 21837     | 506648    | 898                      | 21792     |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Image       | (95.80%)  | (0.15%)                  | (4.20%)   | (95.88%)  | (0.17%)                  | (4.12%)   |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Two Divelo  | 15783     | 322                      | 9913      | 16397     | 53 (0.20%)               | 9485      |  |  |  |  |
|                    | I WO FIXEIS | (61.42%)  | (1.25%)                  | (38.58%)  | (63.35%)  | 33 (0.20%)               | (36.65%)  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Four Divels | 31631     | 397                      | 12982     | 30556     | 156                      | 13217     |  |  |  |  |
| 2048-2048          | Four Fixers | (70.90%)  | (0.89%)                  | (29.10%)  | (69.81%)  | (0.36%)                  | (30.19%)  |  |  |  |  |
| 204672046          | Siv Divala  | 46289     | 412                      | 13428     | 43684     | 294                      | 13974     |  |  |  |  |
|                    | SIX FIXEIS  | (77.51%)  | (0.69%)                  | (22.49%)  | (75.76%)  | (0.51%)                  | (24.24%)  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Whole       | 506838    | 426                      | 13707     | 513766    | 505                      | 14265     |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Image       | (97.37%)  | (0.08%)                  | (2.63%)   | (97.30%)  | (0.10%)                  | (2.70%)   |  |  |  |  |

Table 171: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the against viewpoint of the flowers

scene.

|                        |                |                     |  |                     | Texel Sh                                    | adowing             |  |                     |   |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| 0                      | less           |                     | Li   | ght                 |   |                     | Sha  | dow                 |   |
| Shadow M.<br>Resolutio | Contour Thick  | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |
|                        | Two<br>Pixels  | 2107                | 1387   | 3901                | 3243  | 2962                | 2401   | 2011                | 1347  |
| 1024                   | Four<br>Pixels | 3105                | 1894   | 6592                | 5655  | 5820                | 4944   | 2790                | 1763  |
| 024x1                  | Six<br>Pixels  | 3450                | 1975   | 8407                | 7352  | 8078                | 7055   | 3028                | 1845  |
|                        | Whole<br>Image | 3503                | 2015   | 10191               | 8990  | 10330               | 9141   | 3056                | 1871  |
|                        | Two<br>Pixels  | 1929                | 1255   | 3493                | 2991  | 3448                | 3062   | 1720                | 1111  |
| 2048                   | Four<br>Pixels | 2169                | 1334   | 5152                | 4562  | 5692                | 5200   | 1931                | 1195  |
| 2048x                  | Six<br>Pixels  | 2170                | 1334   | 5517                | 4914  | 6355                | 5832   | 1933                | 1196  |
|                        | Whole<br>Image | 2210                | 1361   | 5702                | 5094  | 6526                | 5988   | 1964                | 1216  |

| Table 172: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the against viewp | oint of |
|---|---------|
| the flowers scene.  |         |

| Shadow             |             |           |                          | Texel Co   | oherence  |                          |            |  |  |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|--|--|
| Map                | Contour     |           | Light                    |            |           | Shadow                   |            |  |  |
| Resolution         | Thickness   | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided  | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided  |  |  |
|                    | Two Pixels  | 11566     | 264                      | 12858      | 15275     | 5 (0.02%)                | 9170(37.51 |  |  |
|                    |             | (47.36%)  | (1.08%)                  | (52.64%)   | (62.49%)  | · · ·                    | %)         |  |  |
|                    | Four Pivels | 21589     | 307                      | 21899      | 25650     | 13(0.02%)                | 16154      |  |  |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | Four Fixers | (49.64%)  | (0.71%)                  | (50.36%)   | (61.36%)  | 13 (0.0270)              | (38.64%)   |  |  |
| 1024X1024          | Six Divola  | 30745     | 313                      | 28303      | 33314     | 28 (0.05%)               | 22066      |  |  |
|                    | SIX FIXEIS  | (52.07%)  | (0.53%)                  | (47.93%)   | (60.16%)  | 28 (0.03%)               | (39.84%)   |  |  |
|                    | Whole       | 477354    | 327                      | 42782(8.23 | 488483    | 404                      | 39957(7.56 |  |  |
|                    | Image       | (91.77%)  | (0.06%)                  | %)         | (92.44%)  | (0.08%)                  | %)         |  |  |
|                    | Two Divola  | 13556     | 174                      | 12140      | 15632     | 14(0.0507)               | 10250      |  |  |
|                    | I wo Pixels | (52.76%)  | (0.68%)                  | (47.24%)   | (60.40%)  | 14 (0.05%)               | (39.60%)   |  |  |
|                    | Four Divala | 25933     | 198                      | 18680      | 26378     | 22(0.07%)                | 17395      |  |  |
| 2018-2018          | Four Fixers | (58.13%)  | (0.44%)                  | (41.87%)   | (60.26%)  | 52 (0.07%)               | (39.74%)   |  |  |
| 204882048          | Six Divola  | 37926     | 200                      | 21791      | 35633     | 53(0.00%)                | 22025      |  |  |
|                    | SIX PIXEIS  | (63.51%)  | (0.33%)                  | (36.49%)   | (61.80%)  | 33 (0.09%)               | (38.20%)   |  |  |
|                    | Whole       | 496717    | 203                      | 23828      | 502859    | 201                      | 25172      |  |  |
|                    | Image       | (95.42%)  | (0.04%)                  | (4.58%)    | (95.23%)  | (0.04%)                  | (4.77%)    |  |  |

Table 173: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of the flowers

scene.

| <u> </u>           |                         | Shadow Map    |                |               |                |               |                |               |                |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Aap<br>g           | ng                      |               | 10242          | x1024         |                | · ·           | 20482          | x2048         |                |  |  |  |  |
| hadow N<br>Lightin | Texel                   | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |  |  |  |  |
| N N                | 8 S-1 L                 | 304           | 501            | 576           | 576            | 121           | 135            | 135           | 141            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 8 S-1 L in<br>BT Shadow | 153           | 218            | 226           | 226            | 75            | 81             | 81            | 87             |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 7 S-2 L                 | 530           | 803            | 942           | 975            | 654           | 800            | 802           | 820            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 327           | 459            | 495           | 512            | 312           | 332            | 332           | 344            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 6 S-3 L                 | 673           | 1046           | 1207          | 1271           | 825           | 1069           | 1093          | 1103           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 314           | 427            | 463           | 505            | 357           | 392            | 402           | 403            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 5 S-4 L                 | 643           | 1048           | 1305          | 1434           | 756           | 1003           | 1048          | 1057           |  |  |  |  |
| t                  | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 360           | 520            | 575           | 627            | 338           | 382            | 386           | 389            |  |  |  |  |
| Lig                | 4 S-5 L                 | 2253          | 3607           | 4453          | 5485           | 2307          | 3461           | 3793          | 3865           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 1107          | 2017           | 2796          | 3753           | 1258          | 2343           | 2670          | 2726           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 3 S-6 L                 | 2840          | 4819           | 6243          | 8588           | 3182          | 4881           | 5625          | 5795           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 2113          | 3905           | 5270          | 7592           | 2460          | 4102           | 4837          | 5003           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 2 S-7 L                 | 2276          | 3940           | 5104          | 7613           | 2065          | 3317           | 3927          | 4186           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 1898          | 3452           | 4565          | 6979           | 1795          | 3014           | 3620          | 3875           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 1 S-8 L                 | 3339          | 6135           | 8473          | 16840          | 2230          | 4014           | 5368          | 6861           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 3057          | 5791           | 8097          | 16404          | 2058          | 3814           | 5161          | 6649           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 8 S-1 L                 | 987           | 2387           | 4033          | 14179          | 1035          | 2705           | 4693          | 7111           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 778           | 2013           | 3575          | 13375          | 945           | 2552           | 4487          | 6847           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 7 S-2 L                 | 1565          | 3024           | 4385          | 7702           | 2089          | 3934           | 5048          | 5453           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1398          | 2765           | 4067          | 7273           | 1875          | 3645           | 4710          | 5103           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 6 S-3 L                 | 2168          | 3915           | 5467          | 8499           | 2898          | 4922           | 6046          | 6251           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1550          | 3096           | 4596          | 7589           | 2294          | 4259           | 5347          | 5544           |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 5 S-4 L                 | 2170          | 3566           | 4509          | 5651           | 2261          | 3339           | 3673          | 3774           |  |  |  |  |
| Mo                 | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1058          | 1958           | 2799          | 3871           | 1282          | 2273           | 2596          | 2680           |  |  |  |  |
| had                | 4 S-5 L                 | 826           | 1185           | 1360          | 1498           | 885           | 1190           | 1253          | 1267           |  |  |  |  |
| S                  | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 398           | 517            | 551           | 573            | 312           | 353            | 363           | 370            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 3 S-6 L                 | 685           | 1024           | 1158          | 1206           | 621           | 725            | 726           | 727            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 321           | 430            | 464           | 476            | 242           | 261            | 261           | 261            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 2 S-7 L                 | 548           | 767            | 852           | 918            | 375           | 450            | 456           | 457            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 332           | 442            | 472           | 505            | 187           | 207            | 208           | 209            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 1 S-8 L                 | 221           | 286            | 302           | 304            | 86            | 130            | 130           | 132            |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 126           | 156            | 160           | 161            | 43            | 60             | 60            | 62             |  |  |  |  |

Table 174: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the against viewpoint of

the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour<br>Thickness | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution |                      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels           | 53        | 3288 | 0          | 3017  | 20473              | 18140  | 3898                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels          | 58        | 4618 | 0          | 5547  | 38221              | 31639  | 5209                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels           | 59        | 5032 | 0          | 7581  | 53431              | 42767  | 5558                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image          | 59        | 6042 | 0          | 42451 | 514141             | 479947 | 5936                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels           | 84        | 2685 | 0          | 3621  | 22227              | 19576  | 3385                    | 0   |
| 2018-2018  | Four Pixels          | 86        | 3084 | 0          | 5986  | 40828              | 34703  | 3699                    | 0   |
| 2048X2048  | Six Pixels           | 86        | 3265 | 0          | 8440  | 55891              | 45953  | 3740                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image          | 86        | 3518 | 0          | 32185 | 516665             | 492328 | 3794                    | 0   |

Table 175: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the against

| viewpoint | of | the | flowers | scene. |
|-----------|----|-----|---------|--------|
|-----------|----|-----|---------|--------|

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Contour<br>Thickness | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
|                          |                      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024 1024                | Two Pixels           | 1616      | 3288 | 0          | 2570  | 20473              | 18587  | 2335                    | 0   |
|                          | Four Pixels          | 2122      | 4618 | 0          | 4633  | 38221              | 32553  | 3145                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024                | Six Pixels           | 2195      | 5032 | 0          | 6153  | 53431              | 44195  | 3422                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 2278      | 6042 | 0          | 23266 | 514141             | 499132 | 3717                    | 0   |
|                          | Two Pixels           | 1526      | 2685 | 0          | 2784  | 22227              | 20413  | 1943                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048                | Four Pixels          | 1607      | 3084 | 0          | 4333  | 40828              | 36356  | 2178                    | 0   |
|                          | Six Pixels           | 1613      | 3265 | 0          | 5896  | 55891              | 48497  | 2213                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 1634      | 3518 | 0          | 16941 | 516665             | 507572 | 2246                    | 0   |

Table 176: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping

approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Contour<br>Thickness | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
|                          |                      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
|                          | Two Pixels           | 1757      | 3288 | 0          | 2351  | 20473              | 18806  | 2194                    | 0   |
| $1024 \times 1024$       | Four Pixels          | 2328      | 4618 | 0          | 4226  | 38221              | 32960  | 2939                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024                | Six Pixels           | 2421      | 5032 | 0          | 5559  | 53431              | 44789  | 3196                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 2520      | 6042 | 0          | 19245 | 514141             | 503153 | 3475                    | 0   |
|                          | Two Pixels           | 1769      | 2685 | 0          | 2296  | 22227              | 20901  | 1700                    | 0   |
| 2048+2048                | Four Pixels          | 1883      | 3084 | 0          | 3517  | 40828              | 37172  | 1902                    | 0   |
| 204082048                | Six Pixels           | 1894      | 3265 | 0          | 4670  | 55891              | 49723  | 1932                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 1918      | 3518 | 0          | 12247 | 516665             | 512266 | 1962                    | 0   |

 Table 177: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 2                       | Used                | 2.1533     | 2.1021      | 2.0545     | 0.9310      |
| (10                      | 5                       | Available           | 2.5577     | 2.4988      | 2.4580     | 1.6046      |
| 24,                      | 0                       | Used                | 3.9267     | 3.8082      | 3.7200     | 1.4111      |
| 10                       | 0                       | Available           | 4.6192     | 4.4847      | 4.3664     | 2.3970      |
| 48                       | 3                       | Used                | 2.0065     | 1.9038      | 1.8255     | 0.8386      |
| (20                      | 5                       | Available           | 2.3639     | 2.3097      | 2.2575     | 1.4568      |
| 48,                      | Q                       | Used                | 3.5757     | 3.3819      | 3.2368     | 1.1931      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 4.1947     | 3.9851      | 3.8410     | 2.0553      |

 Table 178: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Contour<br>Thickness | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
|                          |                      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024 1024                | Two Pixels           | 108       | 3288 | 0          | 2310  | 20473              | 18847  | 3843                    | 0   |
|                          | Four Pixels          | 130       | 4618 | 0          | 4247  | 38221              | 32939  | 5137                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024                | Six Pixels           | 135       | 5032 | 0          | 5613  | 53431              | 44735  | 5482                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 135       | 6042 | 0          | 26409 | 514141             | 495989 | 5860                    | 0   |
|                          | Two Pixels           | 170       | 2685 | 0          | 2477  | 22227              | 20720  | 3299                    | 0   |
| 2049-2049                | Four Pixels          | 181       | 3084 | 0          | 3731  | 40828              | 36958  | 3604                    | 0   |
| 2048X2048                | Six Pixels           | 181       | 3265 | 0          | 5483  | 55891              | 48910  | 3645                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 181       | 3518 | 0          | 18686 | 516665             | 505827 | 3699                    | 0   |

 Table 179: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 198       | 3288 | 0          | 1761  | 20473              | 19396  | 3753                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 247       | 4618 | 0          | 3123  | 38221              | 34063  | 5020                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 256       | 5032 | 0          | 3954  | 53431              | 46394  | 5361                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 256       | 6042 | 0          | 19836 | 514141             | 502562 | 5739                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 240       | 2685 | 0          | 1352  | 22227              | 21845  | 3229                    | 0   |
| 2049-2049  | Four Pixels | 266       | 3084 | 0          | 1942  | 40828              | 38747  | 3519                    | 0   |
| 2048X2048  | Six Pixels  | 266       | 3265 | 0          | 2942  | 55891              | 51451  | 3560                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 266       | 3518 | 0          | 10729 | 516665             | 513784 | 3614                    | 0   |

 Table 180: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | One                | Used                | 2.8186     | 2.8161      | 2.8204     | 2.2372      |
| (10                      | Level              | Available           | 3.8822     | 3.8900      | 3.8944     | 3.9568      |
| 24x                      | Two                | Used                | 8.7063     | 8.5779      | 8.5006     | 7.5952      |
| 10                       | Levels             | Available           | 11.9917    | 11.8492     | 11.7373    | 13.4333     |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 2.8608     | 2.8438      | 2.8525     | 2.2440      |
| (20                      | Level              | Available           | 3.9049     | 3.9011      | 3.9022     | 3.9619      |
| 48,                      | Two                | Used                | 9.0159     | 8.7586      | 8.6815     | 7.6312      |
| 50                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.3064    | 12.0149     | 11.8764    | 13.4734     |

Table 181: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the against viewpoint of

the flowers scene.

| Co                      | ntour    | Thickness                                     | Two J | Pixels | Four | Pixels | Six P | ixels | Whole Image |       |
|-------------------------|----------|---|-------|--------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|
|                         | Lig      | ting  | L→S   | S→L    | L→S  | S→L    | L→S   | S→L   | L→S         | S→L   |
|                         |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 69    | 3288   | 77   | 4618   | 78    | 5032  | 78          | 6042  |
|                         |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0     | 1468   | 0    | 2562   | 0     | 3146  | 0           | 12504 |
| Resolution<br>1024x1024 |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1688  | 0      | 2251 | 0      | 2343  | 0     | 2442        | 0     |
|                         | )24x1024 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 129   | 0      | 170  | 0      | 178   | 0     | 178         | 0     |
|                         | 1(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0     | 883    | 0    | 1664   | 0     | 2413  | 0           | 6471  |
|                         |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0     | 293    | 0    | 561    | 0     | 808   | 0           | 7332  |
| v Map                   |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 140   | 2685   | 147  | 3084   | 147   | 3265  | 147         | 3518  |
| hadov                   |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0     | 957    | 0    | 1318   | 0     | 1902  | 0           | 5435  |
| S                       |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 1629  | 0      | 1736 | 0      | 1747  | 0     | 1771        | 0     |
|                         | )48x2048 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 100   | 0      | 119  | 0      | 119   | 0     | 119         | 0     |
|                         | 2(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0     | 1339   | 0    | 2199   | 0     | 2768  | 0           | 6812  |
|                         |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0     | 395    | 0    | 624    | 0     | 1040  | 0           | 5294  |

 Table 182: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

 by lighting change for the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| n                    |                                     |                   | 1024x1024         |                   |                   | 2048x2048         |                   |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Algorithı<br>Step    | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels        | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         | Two Pixels        | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         |
|                      | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 48869             | 85292             | 114428            | 51578             | 88386             | 117375            |
| Shadow Map           | Correct Light<br>Pixels             | 20473<br>(83.82%) | 38221<br>(87.89%) | 53431<br>(90.49%) | 22227<br>(86.50%) | 40828<br>(91.52%) | 55891<br>(93.59%) |
|                      | Correct Shadow<br>Pixels            | 21157<br>(86.55%) | 37186<br>(88.95%) | 50348<br>(90.91%) | 23197<br>(89.63%) | 40689<br>(92.95%) | 54393<br>(94.34%) |
|                      | Incorrect Light<br>Pixels           | 3951<br>(16.18%)  | 5267<br>(12.11%)  | 5617<br>(9.51%)   | 3469<br>(13.50%)  | 3785<br>(8.48%)   | 3826<br>(6.41%)   |
|                      | Incorrect Shadow<br>Pixels          | 3288<br>(13.45%)  | 4618<br>(11.05%)  | 5032<br>(9.09%)   | 2685<br>(10.37%)  | 3084<br>(7.05%)   | 3265<br>(5.66%)   |
|                      | Confirmations in<br>Light           | 14408<br>(58.99%) | 27199<br>(62.54%) | 39441<br>(66.79%) | 15783<br>(61.42%) | 31631<br>(70.90%) | 46289<br>(77.51%) |
| erence               | Confirmations in<br>Shadow          | 15777<br>(64.54%) | 26788<br>(64.08%) | 36411<br>(65.75%) | 16397<br>(63.35%) | 30556<br>(69.81%) | 43684<br>(75.76%) |
| xel Coh              | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 463<br>(1.90%)    | 581<br>(1.34%)    | 652<br>(1.10%)    | 322<br>(1.25%)    | 397<br>(0.89%)    | 412<br>(0.69%)    |
| Te                   | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 47 (0.19%)        | 106<br>(0.25%)    | 163<br>(0.29%)    | 53 (0.20%)        | 156<br>(0.36%)    | 294<br>(0.51%)    |
| oouring<br>kels      | Corrections in<br>Light             | 1740<br>(7.12%)   | 2310<br>(5.31%)   | 2399<br>(4.06%)   | 1721<br>(6.70%)   | 1820<br>(4.08%)   | 1828<br>(3.06%)   |
| Neight<br>Tey        | Confirmations in<br>Shadow          | 19055<br>(77.95%) | 33596<br>(80.37%) | 45916<br>(82.91%) | 21200<br>(81.91%) | 38101<br>(87.04%) | 51791<br>(89.82%) |
| Adjacent<br>Geometry | Confirmations in<br>Shadow          | 19865<br>(81.26%) | 35082<br>(83.92%) | 47966<br>(86.61%) | 22382<br>(86.48%) | 39781<br>(90.88%) | 53562<br>(92.90%) |
| ighting              | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 2226<br>(9.11%)   | 2976<br>(6.84%)   | 3238<br>(5.48%)   | 1790<br>(6.97%)   | 2009<br>(4.50%)   | 2042<br>(3.42%)   |
| Final L              | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 1386<br>(5.67%)   | 2316<br>(5.54%)   | 2708<br>(4.89%)   | 921<br>(3.56%)    | 1220<br>(2.79%)   | 1419<br>(2.46%)   |

Table 183: Algorithm results of the against viewpoint of the flowers scene.

Finally, the results of the "with" viewpoint of the "flowers" scene are presented below.



Figure 221: Result of the ray-tracing approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 222: Result of the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 223: Result of texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 224: Result of texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 225: Result of the single texel approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 226: Result of the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 227: Result of the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 228: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.



Figure 229: Result of the adjacent geometry approach with two levels of adjacency for the with viewpoint of the flowers





Figure 230: Result of the algorithm with a six pixel thick contour and a 2048x2048 resolution shadow map for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.





Figure 231: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by each method for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.



Figure 232: Corrected/confirmed/hinted contour pixels by the chaining of methods for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene using a 1024x1024 (top) and a 2048x2048 (bottom) resolution shadow map.

| Shadow Map | Ammanah              |                | Contour         | Fhickness       |                |  |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Resolution | Approach             | Two Pixels     | Four Pixels     | Six Pixels      | Whole Image    |  |
|            | Pixels in<br>Contour | 47045          | 82557           | 111069          | 1048576        |  |
|            | Shadow Map           | 10457 (22.23%) | 13806 (16.72%)  | 14567 (13.12%)  | 15230 (1.45%)  |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 11660 (24.78%) | 18038 (21.85%)  | 21958 (19.77%)  | 43091 (4.11%)  |  |
|            | Neighbour            |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Texels (4            | 7994 (16.99%)  | 12578 (15.24%)  | 15247 (13.73%)  | 22742 (2.17%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |                |                 |                 |                |  |
| 1024x1024  | Neighbour            |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Texels (9            | 7136 (15.17%)  | 11083 (13.42%)  | 13419 (12.08%)  | 19799 (1.89%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 9959 (21.17%)  | 14750 (17.87%)  | 17406 (15.67%)  | 26192 (2.50%)  |  |
|            | Level)               |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Adjacent             |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Geometry             | 8585 (18.25%)  | 12121 (14.68%)  | 13820 (12.44%)  | 20171 (1.92%)  |  |
|            | (Two Level)          |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Pixels in            | 50263          | 85450           | 113229          | 1048576        |  |
|            | Contour              | 0050 (16 00 %) | 0010 (10 440)   | 0000 (0.040)    | 0045 (0.00%)   |  |
|            | Shadow Map           | 8050 (16.02%)  | 8919 (10.44%)   | 9099 (8.04%)    | 9245 (0.88%)   |  |
|            | Single Texel         | 10740 (21.37%) | 15196 (17.78%)  | 18211 (16.08%)  | 30531 (2.91%)  |  |
|            | Neighbour            |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Texels (4            | 7054 (14.03%)  | 9821 (11.49%)   | 11739 (10.37%)  | 16311 (1.56%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |                |                 |                 |                |  |
| 2048x2048  | Neighbour            | (010 (11 0(0)) | 0004 (0 (0 %)   | 0045 (0.60%)    | 12200 (1 200)  |  |
|            | Texels (9            | 6012 (11.96%)  | 8284 (9.69%)    | 9845 (8.69%)    | 13388 (1.28%)  |  |
|            | Neighbours)          |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Adjacent             | 0176 (16 078)  | 10(01 (10 510)) | 10507 (11.0(%)) | 17250 (1 ((0)) |  |
|            | Geometry (One        | 81/6(16.27%)   | 10691 (12.51%)  | 12527 (11.06%)  | 1/358 (1.66%)  |  |
|            | Level)               |                |                 |                 |                |  |
|            | Adjacent             | 6160 (12 2701) | 7400 (0 7701)   | 9501(7510)      | 11240 (1.0701) |  |
|            | Geometry(1wo         | 0109 (12.27%)  | /498 (8.//%)    | 8301 (7.51%)    | 11249 (1.07%)  |  |
|            | Level)               |                |                 |                 |                |  |

Table 184: Difference between the approaches that use ray-tracing and the actual ray-tracer for the with viewpoint of

the flowers scene.

| Shadow Man Posalution | Contour Thickness       |                         |                         |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Shadow Wap Resolution | Two Pixels              | Four Pixels             | Six Pixels              |  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | 10457 of 15230 (68.66%) | 13806 of 15230 (90.65%) | 14567 of 15230 (95.65%) |  |  |  |  |
| 2048x2048             | 8050 of 9245 (87.07%)   | 8919 of 9245 (96.47%)   | 9099 of 9245 (98.42%)   |  |  |  |  |

Table 185: Wrongly defined pixels in the shadow mapping result which are inside the contour in the with viewpoint of

the flowers scene.

| Shadaw Man Desclution | Contour Thiskness | Pixel Shading  |                |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Shadow Map Resolution | Contour Thickness | Light          | Shadow         |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 6196 of 24223  | 4261 of 22822  |  |  |  |
| 1024+1024             | Four Pixels       | 7878 of 42799  | 5928 of 39758  |  |  |  |
| 1024x1024             | Six Pixels        | 8205 of 57993  | 6362 of 53076  |  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 8397 of 611823 | 6833 of 436753 |  |  |  |
|                       | Two Pixels        | 4860 of 25531  | 3190 of 24732  |  |  |  |
| 2048+2048             | Four Pixels       | 5328 of 43680  | 3591 of 41770  |  |  |  |
| 2048X2048             | Six Pixels        | 5379 of 58316  | 3720 of 54913  |  |  |  |
|                       | Whole Image       | 5411 of 611836 | 3834 of 436740 |  |  |  |

Table 186: Pixels that the shadow map defines wrongly in the with viewpoint of the flowers scene, separated in pixelsdefined in light and in shadow, compared to the total amount of pixels lighted in the same way.

| Chadaw     |             |           |                          | Texel Co  | oherence  |                          |           |
|------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Map        | Contour     |           | Light                    |           |           | Shadow                   |           |
| Resolution | Thickness   | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided |
|            | Two Divola  | 10080     | 713                      | 14143     | 9759      | 24(0.15%)                | 13063     |
| 1024x1024  | I wo Pixels | (41.61%)  | (2.94%)                  | (58.39%)  | (42.76%)  | 54 (0.15%)               | (57.24%)  |
|            | Four Divelo | 20347     | 939                      | 22452     | 17113     | 105                      | 22645     |
|            | Four Fixers | (47.54%)  | (2.19%)                  | (52.46%)  | (43.04%)  | (0.26%)                  | (56.96%)  |
|            | Six Divels  | 32596     | 991                      | 25397     | 26505     | 199                      | 26571     |
|            | SIX PIXEIS  | (56.21%)  | (1.71%)                  | (43.79%)  | (49.94%)  | (0.37%)                  | (50.06%)  |
|            | Whole       | 584793    | 1125                     | 27030     | 408274    | 568                      | 28479     |
|            | Image       | (95.58%)  | (0.18%)                  | (4.42%)   | (93.48%)  | (0.13%)                  | (6.52%)   |
|            | Two Divola  | 12029     | 426                      | 13502     | 10932     | 20(0.16%)                | 13800     |
|            | I WO FIXEIS | (47.12%)  | (1.67%)                  | (52.88%)  | (44.20%)  | 39 (0.10%)               | (55.80%)  |
|            | Four Divala | 26951     | 562                      | 16729     | 24353     | 138                      | 17417     |
| 2018-2018  | Four Fixers | (61.70%)  | (1.29%)                  | (38.30%)  | (58.30%)  | (0.33%)                  | (41.70%)  |
| 204682046  | Six Divola  | 41212     | 591                      | 17104     | 36967     | 242                      | 17946     |
|            | SIX FIXEIS  | (70.67%)  | (1.01%)                  | (29.33%)  | (67.32%)  | (0.44%)                  | (32.68%)  |
|            | Whole       | 594584    | 608                      | 17252     | 418483    | 340                      | 18257     |
|            | Image       | (97.18%)  | (0.10%)                  | (2.82%)   | (95.82%)  | (0.08%)                  | (4.18%)   |

Table 187: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.

|                         |                | Texel Shadowing     |  |                     |   |                     |  |                     |   |  |  |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--|--|
| <u> </u>                | less           |                     | Li   | ght                 |   |                     | Sha  | dow                 |   |  |  |
| Shadow Ma<br>Resolutior | Contour Thickr | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light | 3 shadow/1<br>light | 3 shadow/1<br>light in ray-<br>tracer shadow | 1 shadow/3<br>light | 1 shadow/3<br>light in ray-<br>tracer light |  |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 3149                | 2192   | 5563                | 4372  | 5024                | 4328   | 2853                | 1723  |  |  |
| 1024                    | Four<br>Pixels | 4242                | 2705   | 9334                | 7743  | 9917                | 8812   | 3916                | 2224  |  |  |
| 024x                    | Six<br>Pixels  | 4408                | 2767   | 11154               | 9406  | 12362               | 11140  | 4180                | 2321  |  |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 4430                | 2778   | 12566               | 10785                                       | 13795               | 12546  | 4233                | 2357  |  |  |
|                         | Two<br>Pixels  | 2475                | 1607   | 5362                | 4494  | 5545                | 5119   | 2458                | 1459  |  |  |
| 2048                    | Four<br>Pixels | 2627                | 1654   | 7306                | 6283  | 7607                | 7113   | 2690                | 1549  |  |  |
| 2048x                   | Six<br>Pixels  | 2627                | 1654   | 7579                | 6540  | 7987                | 7480   | 2695                | 1553  |  |  |
|                         | Whole<br>Image | 2638                | 1662   | 7681                | 6640  | 8184                | 7672   | 2703                | 1559  |  |  |

| Table 188: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with four texels for the with viewpoint of the |
|---|
| flowers scene.  |

| Shadaw     |              |            |                          | Texel Co  | oherence   |                          |            |
|------------|--------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Shadow     | Contour      |            | Light                    |           |            | Shadow                   |            |
| Resolution | Thickness    | Confirmed  | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided | Confirmed  | Incorrectly<br>Confirmed | Undecided  |
|            | Two Pixels   | 6946       | 319                      | 17277     | 8893       | 5(0.02%)                 | 13929      |
|            | I WO I IACIS | (28.68%)   | (1.32%)                  | (71.32%)  | (38.97%)   | 5 (0.02 %)               | (61.03%)   |
|            | Eaur Divala  | 13915      | 418                      | 28884     | 14404      | 22(0.06%)                | 25354      |
| 1024x1024  | Four Fixers  | (32.51%)   | (0.98%)                  | (67.49%)  | (36.23%)   | 23 (0.00%)               | (63.77%)   |
|            | Six Pixels   | 21354(36.8 | 426                      | 36639     | 18524(34.9 | 27(0.0507)               | 34552(65.1 |
|            |              | 2%)        | (0.73%)                  | (63.18%)  | 0%)        | 27 (0.03%)               | 0%)        |
|            | Whole        | 562833     | 480                      | 48990     | 387597     | 187                      | 49156      |
|            | Image        | (91.99%)   | (0.08%)                  | (8.01%)   | (88.75%)   | (0.04%)                  | (11.25%)   |
|            | Two Divola   | 9180       | 222                      | 16351     | 9315       | 4(0.0207)                | 15417      |
|            | I wo Pixels  | (35.96%)   | (0.87%)                  | (64.04%)  | (37.66%)   | 4 (0.02%)                | (62.34%)   |
|            | Equa Divala  | 18885      | 293                      | 24795     | 16234      | 20(0.05%)                | 25536      |
| 2018-2018  | Four Fixers  | (43.23%)   | (0.67%)                  | (56.77%)  | (38.87%)   | 20 (0.05%)               | (61.13%)   |
| 204882048  | Sin Divala   | 30789      | 302                      | 27527     | 25273      | 24(0.0607)               | 29640      |
|            | SIX PIXEIS   | (52.80%)   | (0.52%)                  | (47.20%)  | (46.02%)   | 54 (0.00%)               | (53.98%)   |
|            | Whole        | 583005     | 315                      | 28831     | 405149     | 101                      | 31591      |
|            | Image        | (95.29%)   | (0.05%)                  | (4.71%)   | (92.77%)   | (0.02%)                  | (7.23%)    |

Table 189: Pixel confirmation when using texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| <u> </u>           |                         | Shadow Map    |                |               |                |               |                |               |                |  |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--|
| Map<br>18          | ng                      |               | 10242          | x1024         |                | · ·           | 20482          | x2048         |                |  |
| hadow N<br>Lightin | Texel                   | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image | Two<br>Pixels | Four<br>Pixels | Six<br>Pixels | Whole<br>Image |  |
| S                  | 8 S-1 L                 | 367           | 470            | 475           | 475            | 88            | 91             | 91            | 91             |  |
|                    | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 246           | 288            | 289           | 289            | 64            | 67             | 67            | 67             |  |
|                    | 7 S-2 L                 | 861           | 1149           | 1179          | 1179           | 684           | 741            | 741           | 741            |  |
|                    | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 565           | 674            | 678           | 678            | 379           | 392            | 392           | 392            |  |
|                    | 6 S-3 L                 | 1198          | 1730           | 1872          | 1881           | 924           | 1067           | 1070          | 1071           |  |
|                    | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 643           | 788            | 805           | 806            | 446           | 462            | 462           | 463            |  |
|                    | 5 S-4 L                 | 1180          | 1736           | 1940          | 2001           | 1216          | 1524           | 1552          | 1558           |  |
| ht                 | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 570           | 709            | 736           | 738            | 541           | 584            | 585           | 585            |  |
| Lig]               | 4 S-5 L                 | 2695          | 4502           | 5601          | 6556           | 3310          | 4589           | 4813          | 4874           |  |
|                    | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 1109          | 2363           | 3363          | 4299           | 1924          | 3131           | 3355          | 3407           |  |
|                    | 3 S-6 L                 | 3805          | 6505           | 8463          | 10362          | 4147          | 6449           | 7044          | 7151           |  |
|                    | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 2733          | 5219           | 7124          | 9001           | 3133          | 5338           | 5920          | 6022           |  |
|                    | 2 S-7 L                 | 3285          | 5853           | 7757          | 10424          | 3018          | 4883           | 5397          | 5560           |  |
|                    | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 2691          | 5069           | 6913          | 9551           | 2535          | 4320           | 4822          | 4983           |  |
|                    | 1 S-8 L                 | 3886          | 6939           | 9352          | 16112          | 2964          | 5451           | 6819          | 7785           |  |
|                    | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 3285          | 6147           | 8502          | 15197          | 2639          | 5053           | 6405          | 7369           |  |
|                    | 8 S-1 L                 | 1625          | 4010           | 6919          | 14904          | 1986          | 5205           | 7222          | 8415           |  |
|                    | 8 S-1 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1464          | 3753           | 6602          | 14463          | 1900          | 5073           | 7058          | 8235           |  |
|                    | 7 S-2 L                 | 2957          | 5622           | 7871          | 11072          | 3171          | 5510           | 6441          | 6779           |  |
|                    | 7 S-2 L in<br>RT Shadow | 2549          | 5026           | 7213          | 10347          | 2918          | 5227           | 6128          | 6451           |  |
|                    | 6 S-3 L                 | 3300          | 6048           | 8241          | 10478          | 4000          | 6461           | 7243          | 7578           |  |
|                    | 6 S-3 L in<br>RT Shadow | 2281          | 4671           | 6807          | 8997           | 3343          | 5721           | 6474          | 6806           |  |
|                    | 5 S-4 L                 | 3109          | 5291           | 6696          | 7775           | 3414          | 4855           | 5169          | 5229           |  |
| wol                | 5 S-4 L in<br>RT Shadow | 1656          | 3319           | 4603          | 5639           | 2138          | 3496           | 3799          | 3846           |  |
| had                | 4 S-5 L                 | 967           | 1510           | 1687          | 1730           | 1082          | 1366           | 1394          | 1409           |  |
| S                  | 4 S-5 L in<br>RT Light  | 382           | 518            | 555           | 562            | 276           | 322            | 327           | 327            |  |
|                    | 3 S-6 L                 | 925           | 1423           | 1582          | 1621           | 938           | 1163           | 1192          | 1202           |  |
|                    | 3 S-6 L in<br>RT Light  | 391           | 562            | 602           | 609            | 262           | 296            | 301           | 301            |  |
|                    | 2 S-7 L                 | 695           | 960            | 1022          | 1026           | 673           | 779            | 782           | 782            |  |
|                    | 2 S-7 L in<br>RT Light  | 321           | 435            | 459           | 462            | 304           | 349            | 352           | 352            |  |
|                    | 1 S-8 L                 | 351           | 490            | 534           | 550            | 153           | 197            | 197           | 197            |  |
|                    | 1 S-8 L in<br>RT Light  | 121           | 188            | 217           | 230            | 72            | 90             | 90            | 90             |  |

Table 190: Pixel shadowing for pixels that don't achieve texel coherence with nine texels for the with viewpoint of the

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Contour<br>Thickness | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
|                          |                      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024x1024                | Two Pixels           | 81        | 4261 | 0          | 5545  | 18027              | 13016  | 6115                    | 0   |
|                          | Four Pixels          | 104       | 5928 | 0          | 10264 | 34921              | 23566  | 7774                    | 0   |
|                          | Six Pixels           | 110       | 6362 | 0          | 13863 | 49788              | 32851  | 8095                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 118       | 6833 | 0          | 34812 | 603426             | 395108 | 8279                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048                | Two Pixels           | 150       | 3190 | 0          | 6030  | 20671              | 15512  | 4710                    | 0   |
|                          | Four Pixels          | 167       | 3591 | 0          | 10035 | 38352              | 28144  | 5161                    | 0   |
|                          | Six Pixels           | 167       | 3720 | 0          | 12999 | 52937              | 38194  | 5212                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 167       | 3834 | 0          | 25287 | 606425             | 407619 | 5244                    | 0   |

Table 191: Pixel correction between the single texel approach and the shadow mapping approach for the with

viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Contour<br>Thickness | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
|                          |                      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024x1024                | Two Pixels           | 2467      | 4261 | 0          | 4265  | 18027              | 14296  | 3729                    | 0   |
|                          | Four Pixels          | 3080      | 5928 | 0          | 7780  | 34921              | 26050  | 4798                    | 0   |
|                          | Six Pixels           | 3166      | 6362 | 0          | 10208 | 49788              | 36506  | 5039                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 3196      | 6833 | 0          | 17541 | 603426             | 412379 | 5201                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048                | Two Pixels           | 2113      | 3190 | 0          | 4307  | 20671              | 17235  | 2747                    | 0   |
|                          | Four Pixels          | 2213      | 3591 | 0          | 6706  | 38352              | 31473  | 3115                    | 0   |
|                          | Six Pixels           | 2216      | 3720 | 0          | 8576  | 52937              | 42617  | 3163                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 2230      | 3834 | 0          | 13130 | 606425             | 419776 | 3181                    | 0   |

Table 192: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using four neighbours and the shadow mapping

approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Contour<br>Thickness | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
|                          |                      | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024x1024                | Two Pixels           | 2803      | 4261 | 0          | 3743  | 18027              | 14818  | 3393                    | 0   |
|                          | Four Pixels          | 3514      | 5928 | 0          | 6719  | 34921              | 27111  | 4364                    | 0   |
|                          | Six Pixels           | 3603      | 6362 | 0          | 8817  | 49788              | 37897  | 4602                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 3635      | 6833 | 0          | 15037 | 603426             | 414883 | 4762                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048                | Two Pixels           | 2422      | 3190 | 0          | 3574  | 20671              | 17968  | 2438                    | 0   |
|                          | Four Pixels          | 2560      | 3591 | 0          | 5516  | 38352              | 32663  | 2768                    | 0   |
|                          | Six Pixels           | 2563      | 3720 | 0          | 7029  | 52937              | 44164  | 2816                    | 0   |
|                          | Whole Image          | 2577      | 3834 | 0          | 10554 | 606425             | 422352 | 2834                    | 0   |

 Table 193: Pixel correction between the neighbour texels approach using nine neighbours and the shadow mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.
| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Number of<br>Neighbours | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 24                       | 3                       | Used                | 2.4112     | 2.3467      | 2.2626     | 0.7686      |
| 1024x10                  |                         | Available           | 2.5506     | 2.4912      | 2.4558     | 1.5562      |
|                          | 8                       | Used                | 4.4772     | 4.3261      | 4.1689     | 1.1469      |
|                          |                         | Available           | 4.7030     | 4.5393      | 4.3816     | 2.2792      |
| 48                       | 3                       | Used                | 2.2667     | 2.1329      | 2.0153     | 0.6925      |
| (20                      |                         | Available           | 2.4164     | 2.3558      | 2.2805     | 1.4165      |
| 48,                      | 0                       | Used                | 4.0599     | 3.8321      | 3.6037     | 0.9629      |
| 20                       | 0                       | Available           | 4.2812     | 4.0566      | 3.8968     | 1.9494      |

 Table 194: Average of triangle intersections when using the neighbour texels approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Inickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024 1024  | Two Pixels  | 165       | 4261 | 0          | 3928  | 18027              | 14633  | 6031                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 228       | 5928 | 0          | 7100  | 34921              | 26730  | 7650                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 244       | 6362 | 0          | 9445  | 49788              | 37269  | 7961                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 257       | 6833 | 0          | 18052 | 603426             | 411868 | 8140                    | 0   |
| 2048x2048  | Two Pixels  | 287       | 3190 | 0          | 3603  | 20671              | 17939  | 4573                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 341       | 3591 | 0          | 5704  | 38352              | 32475  | 4987                    | 0   |
|            | Six Pixels  | 346       | 3720 | 0          | 7494  | 52937              | 43699  | 5033                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 346       | 3834 | 0          | 12293 | 606425             | 420613 | 5065                    | 0   |

 Table 195: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with one level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map | Contour     | Corrected |      | Turned Bad |       | Maintained Correct |        | Maintained<br>Incorrect |     |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| Resolution | Thickness   | L→S       | S→L  | L→S        | S→L   | L→L                | S→S    | L→L                     | S→S |
| 1024-1024  | Two Pixels  | 268       | 4261 | 0          | 2657  | 18027              | 15904  | 5928                    | 0   |
|            | Four Pixels | 398       | 5928 | 0          | 4641  | 34921              | 29189  | 7480                    | 0   |
| 1024X1024  | Six Pixels  | 429       | 6362 | 0          | 6044  | 49788              | 40670  | 7776                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 452       | 6833 | 0          | 12226 | 603426             | 417694 | 7945                    | 0   |
|            | Two Pixels  | 395       | 3190 | 0          | 1704  | 20671              | 19838  | 4465                    | 0   |
| 2049-2049  | Four Pixels | 494       | 3591 | 0          | 2664  | 38352              | 35515  | 4834                    | 0   |
| 204882048  | Six Pixels  | 504       | 3720 | 0          | 3626  | 52937              | 47567  | 4875                    | 0   |
|            | Whole Image | 507       | 3834 | 0          | 6345  | 606425             | 426561 | 4904                    | 0   |

 Table 196: Pixel correction between the adjacent geometry approach with two level of adjacency and the shadow

 mapping approach for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| Shadow Map<br>Resolution | Adjacency<br>Level | Triangle<br>Average | Two Pixels | Four Pixels | Six Pixels | Whole Image |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1024x1024                | One                | Used                | 3.0413     | 3.0660      | 3.0733     | 1.8966      |
|                          | Level              | Available           | 3.8668     | 3.8695      | 3.8740     | 3.9621      |
|                          | Two                | Used                | 9.6289     | 9.6693      | 9.6106     | 6.4508      |
|                          | Levels             | Available           | 12.2423    | 12.2033     | 12.1147    | 13.4759     |
| 48                       | One                | Used                | 3.0904     | 3.1070      | 3.1055     | 1.9001      |
| 48x20                    | Level              | Available           | 3.8679     | 3.8638      | 3.8649     | 3.9595      |
|                          | Two                | Used                | 9.7832     | 9.6871      | 9.5584     | 6.4438      |
| 50                       | Levels             | Available           | 12.2443    | 12.0465     | 11.8957    | 13.4276     |

Table 197: Average of triangle intersections when using the adjacent geometry approach for the with viewpoint of the

flowers scene.

| Contour Thickness |          |   | Two Pixels |      | Four Pixels |      | Six Pixels |      | Whole Image |      |
|-------------------|----------|---|------------|------|-------------|------|------------|------|-------------|------|
|                   | Lig      | ting  | L→S        | S→L  | L→S         | S→L  | L→S        | S→L  | L→S         | S→L  |
|                   |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 97         | 4261 | 128         | 5928 | 135        | 6362 | 143         | 6833 |
| / Map Resolution  |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0          | 2205 | 0           | 3825 | 0          | 4922 | 0           | 9037 |
|                   |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 2706       | 0    | 3386        | 0    | 3468       | 0    | 3492        | 0    |
|                   | )24x1024 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 171        | 0    | 270         | 0    | 294        | 0    | 309         | 0    |
|                   | 1(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0          | 1538 | 0           | 2894 | 0          | 3895 | 0           | 6000 |
|                   |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0          | 452  | 0           | 816  | 0          | 1122 | 0           | 3189 |
|                   |          | Corrected by<br>Both                          | 218        | 3190 | 250         | 3591 | 252        | 3720 | 252         | 3834 |
| hadov             |          | Turned Bad<br>by Both                         | 0          | 1298 | 0           | 1923 | 0          | 2610 | 0           | 4227 |
| S                 |          | Corrected by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only      | 2204       | 0    | 2310        | 0    | 2311       | 0    | 2325        | 0    |
|                   | )48x2048 | Corrected by<br>Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only  | 177        | 0    | 244         | 0    | 252        | 0    | 255         | 0    |
|                   | 2(       | Turned Bad<br>by<br>Neighbour<br>Texels Only  | 0          | 2276 | 0           | 3593 | 0          | 4419 | 0           | 6327 |
|                   |          | Turned Bad<br>by Adjacent<br>Geometry<br>Only | 0          | 406  | 0           | 741  | 0          | 1016 | 0           | 2118 |

 Table 198: Pixel correction by the neighbour texels (9 texels) and the adjacent geometry (2 levels) approaches separated

 by lighting change for the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.

| n                 |                                     |                   | 1024x1024         |                   | 2048x2048         |                   |                   |  |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Algorithı<br>Step | Confirmations<br>and Errors         | Two Pixels        | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         | Two Pixels        | Four Pixels       | Six Pixel         |  |
| ap                | Total Contour<br>Pixels             | 47045             | 82557             | 111069            | 50263             | 85450             | 113229            |  |
|                   | Correct Light<br>Pixels             | 18027<br>(74.42%) | 34921<br>(81.59%) | 49788<br>(85.85%) | 20671<br>(80.96%) | 38352<br>(87.80%) | 52937<br>(90.78%) |  |
| M wobi            | Correct Shadow                      | 18561             | 33830             | 46714             | 21542             | 38179             | 51193             |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (81.33%)          | (85.09%)          | (88.01%)          | (87.10%)          | (91.40%)          | (93.23%)          |  |
| Sha               | Incorrect Light                     | 6196              | 7878              | 8205              | 4860              | 5328              | 5379              |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (25.58%)          | (18.41%)          | (14.15%)          | (19.04%)          | (12.20%)          | (9.22%)           |  |
|                   | Incorrect Shadow                    | 4261              | 5928              | 6362              | 3190              | 3591              | 3720              |  |
|                   | Pixels                              | (18.67%)          | (14.91%)          | (11.99%)          | (12.90%)          | (8.60%)           | (6.77%)           |  |
|                   | Confirmations in                    | 10080             | 20347             | 32596             | 12029             | 26951             | 41212             |  |
|                   | Light                               | (41.61%)          | (47.54%)          | (56.21%)          | (47.12%)          | (61.70%)          | (70.67%)          |  |
| erence            | Confirmations in                    | 9759              | 17113             | 26505             | 10932             | 24353             | 36967             |  |
|                   | Shadow                              | (42.76%)          | (43.04%)          | (49.94%)          | (44.20%)          | (58.30%)          | (67.32%)          |  |
| Texel Cohe        | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 713<br>(2.94%)    | 939<br>(2.19%)    | 991<br>(1.71%)    | 426<br>(1.67%)    | 562<br>(1.29%)    | 591<br>(1.01%)    |  |
|                   | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 34 (0.15%)        | 105<br>(0.26%)    | 199<br>(0.37%)    | 39 (0.16%)        | 138<br>(0.33%)    | 242<br>(0.44%)    |  |
| ouring            | Corrections in                      | 2767              | 3468              | 3556              | 2355              | 2472              | 2475              |  |
| cels              | Light                               | (11.42%)          | (8.10%)           | (6.13%)           | (9.22%)           | (5.66%)           | (4.24%)           |  |
| Neight            | Confirmations in                    | 15496             | 28704             | 40702             | 18630             | 34623             | 47617             |  |
| Tey               | Shadow                              | (67.90%)          | (72.20%)          | (76.69%)          | (75.33%)          | (82.89%)          | (86.71%)          |  |
| Adjacent          | Confirmations in                    | 16796             | 31056             | 43623             | 20532             | 37064             | 50148             |  |
| Geometry          | Shadow                              | (73.60%)          | (78.11%)          | (82.19%)          | (83.02%)          | (88.73%)          | (91.32%)          |  |
| ighting           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Light  | 3460<br>(14.28%)  | 4455<br>(10.41%)  | 4697<br>(8.10%)   | 2573<br>(10.08%)  | 2931<br>(6.71%)   | 2979<br>(5.11%)   |  |
| Final L           | Wrong<br>Confirmations in<br>Shadow | 1833<br>(8.03%)   | 2984<br>(7.51%)   | 3489<br>(6.57%)   | 1088<br>(4.40%)   | 1391<br>(3.33%)   | 1529<br>(2.78%)   |  |

Table 199: Algorithm results of the with viewpoint of the flowers scene.