

Chief Chefs of Z to Alloy: Using A Kitchen Example to Teach Alloy with Z

Sureyya Tarkan Vibha Sazawal

University of Maryland

November 6, 2009

Outline

Introduction

Z to Alloy Tutorial

Case Studies with Novice Students

Discussion

Conclusion

Related Work



The Problem

- ▶ **Z** is a well-defined and well-known specification language.
- ▶ **Model checkers** enable automatic checking of specifications.
- ▶ The lack of student interest in Formal Methods causes educators to focus only on **one** aspect.
- ▶ Educators need a technique to master tool usage besides Z without burdening the students to learn a **new** language.

The Problem

- ▶ **Z** is a well-defined and well-known specification language.
- ▶ **Model checkers** enable automatic checking of specifications.
- ▶ The lack of student interest in Formal Methods causes educators to focus only on **one** aspect.
- ▶ Educators need a technique to master tool usage besides Z without burdening the students to learn a **new** language.

The Problem

- ▶ **Z** is a well-defined and well-known specification language.
- ▶ **Model checkers** enable automatic checking of specifications.
- ▶ The lack of student interest in Formal Methods causes educators to focus only on **one** aspect.
- ▶ Educators need a technique to master tool usage besides Z without burdening the students to learn a **new** language.

The Problem

- ▶ **Z** is a well-defined and well-known specification language.
- ▶ **Model checkers** enable automatic checking of specifications.
- ▶ The lack of student interest in Formal Methods causes educators to focus only on **one** aspect.
- ▶ Educators need a technique to master tool usage besides Z without burdening the students to learn a **new** language.

Contribution

- ▶ **Alloy** is a model checking tool that provides a language substantially similar to Z.
- ▶ There is a lack of examples and educational material for the transition from Z to Alloy.
- ▶ To populate the materials, we suggest the use of an easy and yet comprehensive and self-explanatory example.
- ▶ We share our experiences with novice students using our online tutorial designed around a Kitchen Environment real-life example.

Contribution

- ▶ **Alloy** is a model checking tool that provides a language substantially similar to Z.
- ▶ There is a lack of examples and educational material for the transition from Z to Alloy.
- ▶ To populate the materials, we suggest the use of an easy and yet comprehensive and self-explanatory example.
- ▶ We share our experiences with novice students using our online tutorial designed around a Kitchen Environment real-life example.

Contribution

- ▶ **Alloy** is a model checking tool that provides a language substantially similar to Z.
- ▶ There is a lack of examples and educational material for the transition from Z to Alloy.
- ▶ To populate the materials, we suggest the use of an easy and yet comprehensive and self-explanatory example.
- ▶ We share our experiences with novice students using our online tutorial designed around a Kitchen Environment real-life example.

Contribution

- ▶ **Alloy** is a model checking tool that provides a language substantially similar to Z.
- ▶ There is a lack of examples and educational material for the transition from Z to Alloy.
- ▶ To populate the materials, we suggest the use of an easy and yet comprehensive and self-explanatory example.
- ▶ We share our experiences with novice students using our online tutorial designed around a Kitchen Environment real-life example.

Birthday Book

- ▶ It records people's birthdays and places a reminder when that day comes.
- ▶ It can be populated and depopulated, and there is a search option.
- ▶ Its Z specification reviews concepts from set theory and teaches the notation.
- ▶ An Alloy model is used to automatically check some assertions.

Birthday Book

- ▶ It records people's birthdays and places a reminder when that day comes.
- ▶ It can be populated and depopulated, and there is a search option.
- ▶ Its Z specification reviews concepts from set theory and teaches the notation.
- ▶ An Alloy model is used to automatically check some assertions.

Birthday Book

- ▶ It records people's birthdays and places a reminder when that day comes.
- ▶ It can be populated and depopulated, and there is a search option.
- ▶ Its Z specification reviews concepts from set theory and teaches the notation.
- ▶ An Alloy model is used to automatically check some assertions.

Birthday Book

- ▶ It records people's birthdays and places a reminder when that day comes.
- ▶ It can be populated and depopulated, and there is a search option.
- ▶ Its Z specification reviews concepts from set theory and teaches the notation.
- ▶ An Alloy model is used to automatically check some assertions.



Kitchen Environment

- ▶ It simulates the actions of a kitchen chef to direct other cook(s) in the preparation of a dish.
- ▶ The primitive object types and built-in functions are given.
- ▶ We define a list of assertions that are crucial for our system.
- ▶ An **event-driven** programming approach is suggested.
- ▶ We develop an approximate Z specification to later convert it into a full Alloy model.

Kitchen Environment

- ▶ It simulates the actions of a kitchen chef to direct other cook(s) in the preparation of a dish.
- ▶ The primitive object types and built-in functions are given.
- ▶ We define a list of assertions that are crucial for our system.
- ▶ An **event-driven** programming approach is suggested.
- ▶ We develop an approximate Z specification to later convert it into a full Alloy model.



Kitchen Environment

- ▶ It simulates the actions of a kitchen chef to direct other cook(s) in the preparation of a dish.
- ▶ The primitive object types and built-in functions are given.
- ▶ We define a list of assertions that are crucial for our system.
- ▶ An **event-driven** programming approach is suggested.
- ▶ We develop an approximate Z specification to later convert it into a full Alloy model.



Kitchen Environment

- ▶ It simulates the actions of a kitchen chef to direct other cook(s) in the preparation of a dish.
- ▶ The primitive object types and built-in functions are given.
- ▶ We define a list of assertions that are crucial for our system.
- ▶ An **event-driven** programming approach is suggested.
- ▶ We develop an approximate Z specification to later convert it into a full Alloy model.



Kitchen Environment

- ▶ It simulates the actions of a kitchen chef to direct other cook(s) in the preparation of a dish.
- ▶ The primitive object types and built-in functions are given.
- ▶ We define a list of assertions that are crucial for our system.
- ▶ An **event-driven** programming approach is suggested.
- ▶ We develop an approximate Z specification to later convert it into a full Alloy model.

Kitchen Schema and Signature

Kitchen

cooks : $\mathbb{P} \text{COOK}$

items : $\mathbb{P} \text{KITCHEN_ITEM}$

ingredients : $\mathbb{P} \text{INGREDIENT}$

AvailCook : $\text{COOK} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}$

AvailItem : $\text{KITCHEN_ITEM} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}$

DirtyItem : $\text{KITCHEN_ITEM} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}$

HeatedItem : $\text{KITCHEN_ITEM} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}$

AvailIngr : $\text{INGREDIENT} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}$

UsedIngr : $\text{INGREDIENT} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$\text{dom } \textit{AvailCook} \subseteq \textit{cooks}$

$\text{dom } \textit{AvailItem} \subseteq \textit{items}$

$\text{dom } \textit{DirtyItem} \subseteq \textit{items}$

$\text{dom } \textit{HeatedItem} \subseteq \textit{items}$

$\text{dom } \textit{AvailIngr} \subseteq \textit{ingredients}$

$\text{dom } \textit{UsedIngr} \subseteq \textit{ingredients}$

$\forall t : \mathbb{N} \bullet \text{dom } (\textit{AvailIngr} \triangleright \{t\}) \cap \text{dom } (\textit{UsedIngr} \triangleright \{t\}) = \emptyset$

$\forall t : \mathbb{N} \bullet \text{dom } (\textit{AvailItem} \triangleright \{t\}) \cap \text{dom } (\textit{DirtyItem} \triangleright \{t\}) = \emptyset$

```
one sig Kitchen {
  cooks : set Cook,
  items : set KitchenItem,
  ingredients : set Ingredient,
  AvailCook : cooks → Time,
  AvailItem : items → Time,
  DirtyItem : items → Time,
  HeatedItem : items → Time,
  AvailIngr : ingredients → Time,
  UsedIngr : ingredients → Time
} {
  all t : Time | no AvailIngr.t & UsedIngr.t
  all t : Time | no AvailItem.t & DirtyItem.t
}
```

Kitchen Environment

- ▶ At each step, we point out the differences and similarities between the two versions.
- ▶ We explain what the limitations of model checking are.
- ▶ We formalize a *guarded* event implementation.
- ▶ Our final Alloy model is long but once a rough Z specification is at hand, Alloy development is not cumbersome.

Kitchen Environment

- ▶ At each step, we point out the differences and similarities between the two versions.
- ▶ We explain what the limitations of model checking are.
- ▶ We formalize a *guarded* event implementation.
- ▶ Our final Alloy model is long but once a rough Z specification is at hand, Alloy development is not cumbersome.

Kitchen Environment

- ▶ At each step, we point out the differences and similarities between the two versions.
- ▶ We explain what the limitations of model checking are.
- ▶ We formalize a **guarded** event implementation.
- ▶ Our final Alloy model is long but once a rough Z specification is at hand, Alloy development is not cumbersome.



Kitchen Environment

- ▶ At each step, we point out the differences and similarities between the two versions.
- ▶ We explain what the limitations of model checking are.
- ▶ We formalize a **guarded** event implementation.
- ▶ Our final Alloy model is long but once a rough Z specification is at hand, Alloy development is not cumbersome.



Design

- ▶ With Kolb's theory [4], we decided to have **multiple-choice** questions within the tutorial.
- ▶ The questions ask the student to think beyond what is given rather than passively reading the text.
- ▶ The students reveal the correct answer once they are satisfied with their answer.



Design

- ▶ With Kolb's theory [4], we decided to have **multiple-choice** questions within the tutorial.
- ▶ The questions ask the student to think beyond what is given rather than passively reading the text.
- ▶ The students reveal the correct answer once they are satisfied with their answer.



Design

- ▶ With Kolb's theory [4], we decided to have **multiple-choice** questions within the tutorial.
- ▶ The questions ask the student to think beyond what is given rather than passively reading the text.
- ▶ The students reveal the correct answer once they are satisfied with their answer.



Implementation

The Online Interactive Tutorial

Model Checking the Formal Specification of a Kitchen Environment

http://localhost:8080/ZtoAlloy.html

Our Birthday Book records people's birthdays, and places a reminder when the appropriate day comes. Below are the basic types of the specification that amounts to people's names and their birth dates:

$$[NAME, DATE]$$

NAME and *DATE* are indeed the names of the sets of all names and dates, respectively. We do not have to explicitly specify the objects in them. Next, we describe the state space of the Birthday Book with the following schema declaration:

BirthdayBook

known : $\mathbb{P} NAME$

birthdate : $NAME \leftrightarrow DATE$

known = dom *birthdate*

Before the mid-line, we declare two variables. *known* is declared as a power set of *NAME*. Can you recall what power set amounts to?

- It is the subset of all possible sets of *NAME*.
- It is the set of all possible subsets of *NAME*.

[See Answer](#)

The purpose of *known* is to record sets of names within the *BirthdayBook*. On the other hand, *birthdate* is a partial function from *NAME* to *DATE*. Do you know what the difference between a total and a partial function is?

- Not every element of *NAME* is mapped to an element of *DATE* (only some subset of *NAME*).
- Every element of *NAME* is mapped to an element of *DATE*.

[See Answer](#)

Basics

▶ *Task & Procedure*

- ▶ The students were asked to answer all the questions in the tutorial and fill out the surveys.
- ▶ Each student spent 1-3 hours working on the tutorial.

▶ *Student Background*

- ▶ 8 students took our tutorial.
- ▶ Students **strongly** agreed that they have experience with small- and medium-sized software projects.
- ▶ Students **strongly** agreed that they have not had much experience with formal methods.

Basics

▶ *Task & Procedure*

- ▶ The students were asked to answer all the questions in the tutorial and fill out the surveys.
- ▶ Each student spent 1-3 hours working on the tutorial.

▶ *Student Background*

- ▶ 8 students took our tutorial.
- ▶ Students **strongly** agreed that they have experience with small- and medium-sized software projects.
- ▶ Students **strongly** agreed that they have not had much experience with formal methods.



Tutorial Questions

- ▶ Initial questions reviewed the math background but later, more questions became related to the specifics of the examples.
- ▶ Both the Birthday Book specification and model were well-received.
- ▶ Excluding 2 students who dropped out of the Kitchen Environment, there were 45/58 correct answers on average.



Tutorial Questions

- ▶ Initial questions reviewed the math background but later, more questions became related to the specifics of the examples.
- ▶ Both the Birthday Book specification and model were well-received.
- ▶ Excluding 2 students who dropped out of the Kitchen Environment, there were 45/58 correct answers on average.



Tutorial Questions

- ▶ Initial questions reviewed the math background but later, more questions became related to the specifics of the examples.
- ▶ Both the Birthday Book specification and model were well-received.
- ▶ Excluding 2 students who dropped out of the Kitchen Environment, there were 45/58 correct answers on average.

Assessment

- ▶ The quiz questions asked the student to type one line of Alloy code to complete and correct an Address Book model.
- ▶ The questions were evaluated based on legitimate rationale rather than exact syntax.
- ▶ Students received 2/4 on average.



Assessment

- ▶ The quiz questions asked the student to type one line of Alloy code to complete and correct an Address Book model.
- ▶ The questions were evaluated based on legitimate rationale rather than exact syntax.
- ▶ Students received 2/4 on average.



Assessment

- ▶ The quiz questions asked the student to type one line of Alloy code to complete and correct an Address Book model.
- ▶ The questions were evaluated based on legitimate rationale rather than exact syntax.
- ▶ Students received 2/4 on average.

Student Feedback

- ▶ Students' appreciation of formal methods, formal specification, and model checking were **moderate**.
- ▶ Students **strongly** agreed with our statements on the ease of transitioning from Z to Alloy with the tutorial.
- ▶ Students **agreed** that the teaching material and the examples were good and that they were satisfied.
- ▶ Students wanted **visualizations** (pictures, diagrams, tables).
- ▶ Students needed examples that are between the Birthday Book and the Kitchen Environment in complexity.

Student Feedback

- ▶ Students' appreciation of formal methods, formal specification, and model checking were **moderate**.
- ▶ Students **strongly** agreed with our statements on the ease of transitioning from Z to Alloy with the tutorial.
- ▶ Students **agreed** that the teaching material and the examples were good and that they were satisfied.
- ▶ Students wanted **visualizations** (pictures, diagrams, tables).
- ▶ Students needed examples that are between the Birthday Book and the Kitchen Environment in complexity.

Student Feedback

- ▶ Students' appreciation of formal methods, formal specification, and model checking were **moderate**.
- ▶ Students **strongly** agreed with our statements on the ease of transitioning from Z to Alloy with the tutorial.
- ▶ Students **agreed** that the teaching material and the examples were good and that they were satisfied.
- ▶ Students wanted **visualizations** (pictures, diagrams, tables).
- ▶ Students needed examples that are between the Birthday Book and the Kitchen Environment in complexity.

Student Feedback

- ▶ Students' appreciation of formal methods, formal specification, and model checking were **moderate**.
- ▶ Students **strongly** agreed with our statements on the ease of transitioning from Z to Alloy with the tutorial.
- ▶ Students **agreed** that the teaching material and the examples were good and that they were satisfied.
- ▶ Students wanted **visualizations** (pictures, diagrams, tables).
- ▶ Students needed examples that are between the Birthday Book and the Kitchen Environment in complexity.

Student Feedback

- ▶ Students' appreciation of formal methods, formal specification, and model checking were **moderate**.
- ▶ Students **strongly** agreed with our statements on the ease of transitioning from Z to Alloy with the tutorial.
- ▶ Students **agreed** that the teaching material and the examples were good and that they were satisfied.
- ▶ Students wanted **visualizations** (pictures, diagrams, tables).
- ▶ Students needed examples that are between the Birthday Book and the Kitchen Environment in complexity.

Findings

- ▶ The student performance did not show a huge drop between Kitchen Environment (77.5%) and Birthday Book (88%).
- ▶ Students believe that Z and Alloy are similar and can be taught together but that textbooks using toy examples for Z or Alloy are insufficient.
- ▶ Students criticized the length of the tutorial but not the content.

Findings

- ▶ The student performance did not show a huge drop between Kitchen Environment (77.5%) and Birthday Book (88%).
- ▶ Students believe that Z and Alloy are similar and can be taught together but that textbooks using toy examples for Z or Alloy are insufficient.
- ▶ Students criticized the length of the tutorial but not the content.

Findings

- ▶ The student performance did not show a huge drop between Kitchen Environment (77.5%) and Birthday Book (88%).
- ▶ Students believe that Z and Alloy are similar and can be taught together but that textbooks using toy examples for Z or Alloy are insufficient.
- ▶ Students criticized the length of the tutorial but not the content.



Final Remarks

- ▶ Novice students are able to learn Z and Alloy and answer questions about an intermediate-sized example after only a couple hours of study.
- ▶ We recommend educators to focus on the Alloy tool while teaching the Z language.
- ▶ It is necessary to provide well-explained and interesting intermediate-level examples for the Z to Alloy transition.

Final Remarks

- ▶ Novice students are able to learn Z and Alloy and answer questions about an intermediate-sized example after only a couple hours of study.
- ▶ We recommend educators to focus on the Alloy tool while teaching the Z language.
- ▶ It is necessary to provide well-explained and interesting intermediate-level examples for the Z to Alloy transition.

Final Remarks

- ▶ Novice students are able to learn Z and Alloy and answer questions about an intermediate-sized example after only a couple hours of study.
- ▶ We recommend educators to focus on the Alloy tool while teaching the Z language.
- ▶ It is necessary to provide well-explained and interesting intermediate-level examples for the Z to Alloy transition.

▶ *Interactive application of Formal Methods*

Dean's interactive case e-study [3], Pandora's e-tutor [2]

▶ *Similar Educational Materials*

Piaget's genetic epistemology theory [8], Z to SPIN module [9]

▶ *Content-wise*

Real-life examples such as a Bluetooth communication protocol, a Eurovision Song Contest [1, 5, 6, 7]



Brakman, H., Driessen, V., Kavuma, J., Bijvank, L.N., Vermolen, S.
Supporting formal method teaching with real-life protocols.

In *Formal Methods in the Teaching Lab: Examples, Cases, Assignments and Projects Enhancing Formal Methods Education*, pages 59–68, McMaster University, Canada, 2006.



Broda, K., Ma, J., Sinnadurai, G., Summers, A.
Friendly e-tutor for natural deduction.

In *TFM: Practice and Experience*, London, 2006. BCS-FACS.



N. Dean.

Development of an interactive case e-study.

In *TFM: Practice and Experience Workshop*, pages 13–20, Oxford Brookes University, 2003. BCS-FACS.



D. Kolb.

Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development.
Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1984.



P. Larsen.

Two courses on vdm++ for embedded systems: Learning by doing.

In *Formal Methods in the Teaching Lab: Examples, Cases, Assignments and Projects Enhancing Formal Methods Education*, pages 21–26, Canada, 2006.



D. Lightfoot.

'voici les votes!' – formal specification as light entertainment: An example of audience participation in developing a specification.

In *TFM: Practice and Experience Workshop*, pages 71–75, Oxford Brookes University, 2003. BCS-FACS.



Reed, J.N., Sinclair, J.E.

Motivating study of formal methods in the classroom.

LNCS, 3294:32–46, 2004.



S. Rosa.

Designing algorithms in high school mathematics.

LNCS, 3294:17–31, 2004.



J. Rudall.

From z to spin in one module.

In *TFM: Practice and Experience Workshop*, pages 71–75, Oxford Brookes University, 2003. BCS-FACS.